Food Science of Animal Resources

Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 2019 October 39(5):725~741 DOI https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2019.e55

ARTICLE



Enhanced Production of Galactooligosaccharides Enriched Skim Milk and Applied to Potentially Synbiotic Fermented Milk with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* 4B15

Nam Su Oh¹, Kyeongmu Kim^{2,3}, Sangnam Oh⁴, and Younghoon Kim^{5,*}

¹Department of Food and Biotechnology, Korea University, Sejong 30019, Korea ²R&D Center, Seoul Dairy Cooperative, Ansan, Kyunggi 15407, Korea ³Department of Food Bioscience and Technology, Korea University, Seoul 02841,

Korea

⁴Department of Functional Food and Biotechnology, Jeonju University, Jeonju 55069, Korea

⁵Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

Abstract In the current study, we first investigated a method for directly transforming lactose into galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) for manufacturing low-lactose and GOSenriched skim milk (GSM) and then evaluated its prebiotic potential by inoculating five strains of *Bifidobacterium* spp. In addition, fermented GSM (FGSM) was prepared using a potentially probiotic *Lactobacillus* strain and its fermentation characteristics and antioxidant capacities were determined. We found that GOS in GSM were metabolized by all five *Bifidobacterium* strains after incubation and promoted their growth. The levels of antioxidant activities including radical scavenging activities and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase inhibition rate in GSM were significantly increased by fermentation with the probiotic *Lactobacillus* strain. Moreover, thirty-nine featured peptides in FGSM was detected. In particular, six peptides derived from β -casein, two peptides originated from α s₁-casein and κ -casein were newly identified, respectively. Our findings indicate that GSM can potentially be used as a prebiotic substrate and FGSM can potentially prevent oxidative stress during the production of synbiotic fermented milk in the food industry.

Keywords Lactobacillus rhamnosus 4B15, galacto-oligosaccharide, prebiotic

Introduction

A prebiotic is defined as 'a selectively fermented ingredient that allows specific changes, in the gastrointestinal (GI) microbiota that possess benefits upon host wellbeing and health' (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995; Tzortzis et al., 2004). Interest in prebiotics has increased over the past few years. Beneficial prebiotics can modify gut

OPEN ACCESS

Received	May 16, 2019
Revised	July 15, 2019
Accepted	July 18, 2019

*Corresponding author : Younghoon Kim Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea Tel: +82-2-880-4808 Fax: +82-2-873-2271 E-mail: ykeys2584@snu.ac.kr

*ORCID

Nam Su Oh https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0712-7843 Kyeongmu Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4487-9366 Sangnam Oh https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2428-412X Younghoon Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6769-0657

© Korean Society for Food Science of Animal Resources. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

function by targeting bacteria, which are already located in the large intestine. Oligosaccharide prebiotics have been recognized as beneficial dietary adjuncts and play critical role in regulating the colonic microbiota (Fuller and Gibson, 1998; Rabiu et al., 2001). Oligosaccharides are carbohydrates that composed with three to ten sugars connected by glycosidic bonds. Potential oligosaccharide prebiotics can be categorized according to their degree of polymerization and their chemical characteristics, and include isomalto-oligosaccharides, manno-oligosaccharides, pectic-oligosaccharides, xylo-oligosaccharides, fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), and galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) (Macfarlane et al., 2006; Macfarlane et al., 2008; Olano-Martin et al., 2003).

GOS are especially applicable to human nutrition as they are structurally similar to a variety of complicity of structures in human breast milk (Intanon et al., 2014; Sangwan et al., 2011). The presence of GOS in human milk supports the establishment of microbiota in the GI tract of newborn, breastfeeding infants (Gopal et al., 2001). GOS are usually synthesized from lactose by β -galactosidases produced by yeast, fungi, or bacteria, and have complex structures which include a variety of glycosidic bonds (Gobinath and Prapulla, 2014; Rabiu et al., 2001). These substrates function as prebiotics by supporting the growth of health-promoting microorganisms such as *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* (Andersen et al., 2011; Davis et al., 2011; Garrido et al., 2013). Additionally, GOS are resistant to gastric acid and are poor substrates for hydrolytic enzymes in the upper digestive tract. Many *in vitro* studies have reported that *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* strains can utilize GOS (Gopal et al., 2001; Smart et al., 1993; Yanahira et al., 1995). Despite interest in the use of GOS as a prebiotic, the mechanisms underlying its utilization by probiotics during fermentation are poorly understood, and our knowledge about the bioactive factors arising from synbiotic interactions between GOS and probiotics is limited. In addition, the potential of milkderived GOS as a prebiotic substrate has not been sufficiently studied.

Probiotic lactic acid bacterial strains from the GI tract can survive in and colonize the small intestine and have a beneficial impact on host health (Forestier et al., 2000). *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* 4B15 was reported to have higher bioactive properties such as higher anti-oxidative activity, repression of α -glucosidase activity, cholesterol-reducing activity, and less production of nitric oxide (NO) compared to the other strains (Oh et al., 2018). In addition, 4B15 is known to inhibit the release of inflammatory cytokines including TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β , and IL-10 and impacts immune health by modulating pro-inflammatory cytokines (Oh et al., 2018).

The aims of our study were optimization of the formation of GOS-enriched skim milk (GSM) during lactose hydrolysis by β -galactosidase, and evaluation of prebiotic effect of GOS after incubation. In addition, we made fermented GSM (FGSM) by selecting a *Lactobacillus* strain with probiotic potential and then determining its fermentation characteristics and functionality, evaluating cell counts, pH, antioxidant properties, and analyzing organic acids and bioactive peptides. The ultimate purpose of the present research is the development of a novel synbiotic fermented milk.

Materials and Methods

Enzymatic synthesis of GSM

GSM was manufactured through hydrolysis of skim milk (SM) treated with β -galactosidase (Maxilact[®] LGI 5000, DSM, Netherlands) under the following conditions: 37°C, using 0.1% (w/w) of enzyme. SM was obtained from Seoul Dairy Cooperative (Ansan, Gyeonggi, Korea).

Analysis of GOS

High-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) in an ICS3000

Dionex system consisting of an SP-3000 gradient pump and ED-3000 electrochemical detector were employed for determining carbohydrate composition. Solvent temperature was 25°C on a CarboPac PA-1 analytical column (4×250 mm) connected to a CarboPac PA-1 (4×50 mm) guard column. Solvent A (15 mM NaOH) and solvent B (200 mM NaOH) were mixed to form the following gradient: 100% A from 0 to 15 min, followed by 0%–100% B from 15 to 45 min, kept constant for 2 min and then the column was washed for 10 min with 100% of solvent C (125 mM NaOH and 500 mM NaOAc) and re-equilibrated with the starting conditions.

For carbohydrate extraction, 0.2-gram aliquots of each sample were thoroughly mixed with 20 mL of distilled water and vortexed for 10 min. Carbohydrate extraction was performed twice using the same procedure. The mixture was separated by centrifugation at 5,000×g for 10 min, and the supernatant was filtered with a membrane filter (0.45-µm pore size). Finally, 25-µL aliquots were employed using an autosampler system, and separations were carried out at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Carbohydrates were quantified using standard curves produced from solutions with defined concentrations.

Determination of prebiotic effect

In this study, we selected a probiotic candidate as starter culture from among *Bifidobacterium* strains isolated from infant feces. After weighing, the feces homogenized in saline, and resuspended. Aliquots of the diluted sample were plated on *Bifidobacterium* selective (BS) agar (Kisan Bio, Seoul, Korea) and incubated at 37°C for 72 h under anaerobic chamber system. Strains were isolated and then purified using the plate-streak method on BS agar. BS agar is the medium for the selective isolation of bifdobacteria composed of beef extract, liver extract, yeast extract, proteose peptone, tryptone, soy peptone, soluble starch, glucose, dipotassioum phosphate, monopotassium phosphate, magnesium sulfate, sodium chloride, manganese sulfat, L-cysteine, ferrous sulfate, polysolbate 80, sodium propionate, paromomycin sulfate, meomycin, lithium chloride, and agar. The probiotic potentials of isolates were evaluated in terms of acid tolerance, bile tolerance, bacterial attachment, antibacterial activity, and cholesterol-lowering ability (data not shown). From the results, we selected four *Bifidobacterium* strains (*B. infantis* 5R08, *B. longum* 5R10, *B. animalis* 3B13, *B. longum* 1R09). A commercial strain, *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis* BB-12 (BB12), was employed for the determination of prebiotic effect.

For the determination of prebiotic effect, GSM was incubated with the five selected *Bifidobacterium* strains 5R08, 5R10, 3B13, 1R09, and BB12 (approximately 10⁷ CFU/mL) at 37°C for 24 h. After 24 h of incubation, GOS contents in FGSM were analyzed using the above-mentioned methods. This study was approved by institutional review board of Samsung Medical Center (IRB No. 2017-08-040).

Preparation of FGSM

GSM and SM were inoculated with 3% suspensions of *L. rhamnosus* 4B15 (approximately 10^7 CFU/mL). The mixtures were incubated at 41°C for 24 h. All samples were lyophilized and stored at -80° C until analysis.

Determination of viable cell counts and pH in FGSM

In order to determine viable cell counts and pH in FGSM, 4B15 was enumerated on MRS at 37°C for 72 h. Changes in FGSM pH were measured after calibration of the pH meter with standardized buffer solutions of pH 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0 (Fisher Scientific).

Determination of antioxidant activity in FGSM

The antioxidant activity of FGSM was performed based on α -diphenyl- β -picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity, ferric reducing/antioxidant power activity (FRAP), and 2,2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) radical scavenging activity, using the described method by Oh et al. (2013).

Determination of HMGR inhibitory activity in FGSM

The ability of FGSM to inhibit 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGR) was measured using an HMG-CoA reductase assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The HMG-CoA reductase inhibition assay was accessed by previous established procedure by Oh et al. (2014).

Identification of peptides originated from FGSM by MALDI-TOF/MS/MS

Milk peptide extraction by fermentation was conducted using the previous protocol by Oh et al. (2016). For analyzing peptide profiling, peptide extracts were resuspended with an equal volume of matrix solution (HCCA) and 1 µL was loaded onto an MTP SmallAnchor 384 BC target. MALDI-TOF/MS experiments were carried out using a Bruker Autoflex (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a nitrogen laser (337 nm).

Statistical analysis

All data in this study were indicated as means \pm SD. Statistical significance of the differences between the groups was confirmed by the methods of Duncan's multiple range tests, and SAS software (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) was employed to analyze all statistical tests. Differences with p<0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Enzymatic synthesis of GSM

An optimization of the enzymatic synthesis was carried out to obtain the maximum GOS contents, with reaction time as the principal factor examined. To determine the influence of reaction time on GOS production, experiments were performed at 37° C with an enzyme concentration of 0.1% (w/w).

Fig. 1 shows the HPAEC-PAD carbohydrate profile obtained when the maximum GOS concentration is reached. The presence of 8 products was identified. Peaks 1, 2, and 5 correspond with galactose (Gal), glucose (Glc), and lactose (Lac), respectively. In addition, three GOS were identified in the chromatogram including 6-galactobiose (peak 3, β -D-Gal-(1 \rightarrow 6)-D-Gal), allolactose (peak 4, β -D-Gal-(1 \rightarrow 6)-D-Glc), and 6-galactosyllactose (peak 7, D-Galp-(1 \rightarrow 6)-D-Lac) as a result of transgalactosylation catalyzed by the enzyme. 4-galactobiose (peak 6, β -D-Gal-(1 \rightarrow 4)-D-Gal) and 3-galactosylglucose (peak 8, β -D-Gal-(1 \rightarrow 3)-D-Glc) were also identified. Collectively, our data suggest that the catalyzed transgalactosylation reaction produces GOS with various linkages such as β 1 \rightarrow 3, β 1 \rightarrow 4, and β 1 \rightarrow 6. The GOS produced mainly contained β 1 \rightarrow 6 linkages.

Fig. 2 and Table 1 show lactose and GOS contents during the time course of reaction, with lactose contents decreasing from 4.35 ± 0.02 to 0.00 g/100 g over a period of 5 h. After 1 h, residual lactose contents had decreased by 98.16%, and maximum GOS yields (16.32%) had been reached. After 1 h of reaction, total GOS content was 0.71 ± 0.01 g/100 g, which amounted to 14.67% of the total carbohydrate content. GOS in GSM was mainly composed of 6-galactosyllactose (0.23 ± 0.00 g/100 g), followed by 6-galactobiose (0.18 ± 0.00 g/100 g) and allolactose (0.17 ± 0.00 g/100 g). Taking time, economic



Fig. 1. HPAEC-PAD carbohydrate profile obtained from lactose hydrolysis. The identified compounds are indicated: galactose, glucose, 6-galactobiose, allolactose, lactose, 4-galactobiose, 6-galactosyllactose, and 3-galactosylglucose. HPAEC-PAD, high-performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection.



Fig. 2. Enzymatic synthesis of GSM. Values are expressed as the mean±SD (n=3). The results are presented as the mean±SD (n=3). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among the different groups (p<0.05). GSM, GOS-enriched skim milk.

Table 1. Carbohy	drate profiles o	f GOS-enriched ski	m milk (GSM)
------------------	------------------	--------------------	--------------

Carbohydrate ¹⁾ ($g/100 g$)	Reaction time					
	0 h	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h
Galactose	ND	1.86±0.034°	1.96±0.021ª	1.94 ± 0.065^{ab}	1.96 ± 0.008^{a}	1.89 ± 0.028^{bc}
Glucose	ND	2.19±0.042ª	2.19 ± 0.026^{a}	2.18 ± 0.063^{ab}	2.17 ± 0.009^{ab}	2.12 ± 0.020^{b}
Lactose	4.35 ± 0.016^{a}	0.08 ± 0.001^{b}	$0.03 \pm 0.0002^{\circ}$	$0.03 \pm 0.0003^{\circ}$	ND	ND
6-Galactobiose	ND	0.18 ± 0.001^{a}	0.08 ± 0.002^{b}	$0.04 \pm 0.0003^{\circ}$	0.03 ± 0.001^{d}	0.03 ± 0.001^{d}
Allolactose	ND	0.17 ± 0.003^{a}	0.06 ± 0.0004^{b}	0.04 ± 0.001^{d}	$0.04 \pm 0.004^{\circ}$	$0.05 \pm 0.002^{\circ}$
4-Galactobiose	ND	0.08 ± 0.001^{a}	0.05 ± 0.001^{b}	$0.04 \pm 0.001^{\circ}$	0.04 ± 0.001^{d}	0.04 ± 0.0005^{e}
6-Galactosyllactose	ND	0.23 ± 0.004^{a}	0.11 ± 0.001^{b}	$0.05 \pm 0.002^{\circ}$	0.04 ± 0.0004^d	0.03 ± 0.001^{d}
3-Galactosylglucose	ND	0.04 ± 0.001^{a}	0.03 ± 0.0002^{b}	$0.03 \pm 0.0003^{\circ}$	0.03 ± 0.001^{d}	0.03 ± 0.0004^d
Sub-total (GOS)	ND	0.71 ± 0.010^{a}	0.34 ± 0.000^{b}	$0.20 \pm 0.002^{\circ}$	0.19 ± 0.006^d	0.18 ± 0.004^{d}
Total carbohydrates	4.35±0.016 ^c	4.84 ± 0.086^{a}	4.52 ± 0.047^{b}	4.35±0.125°	4.32±0.023°	4.20 ± 0.049^{d}

The results are indicated as the mean \pm SD (n=3).

^{a-d} Different letters represent significant differences among each groups, statistically (p<0.05).

¹⁾Carbohydrate: GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides.

ND, not detected.

efficiency, GOS content, and lactose content into account, we treated SM with 0.1% (w/w) enzyme for 1 h to produce GSM.

GOS contents in GSM incubated with Bifidobacterium strains

The GOS content of GSM after 24 h of incubation using the five selected *Bifidobacterium* strains is presented in Fig. 3. In this study, 6-galactobiose, allolactose, 4-galactobiose, 6-galactosyllactose, and 3-galactosylglucose were measured. After 24 h, the contents of 6-galactobiose in GSM incubated by strains 5R08, 5R10, 3B13, 1R09, and BB12 were 0.18±0.01, 0.17±0.01, 0.17±0.00, 0.18±0.00, and 0.17±0.00 g/100 g, respectively. The amount of 6-galactobiose was decreased by 17.92% (5R10) compared to the initial concentration in GSM, indicating that 6-galactobiose was utilized by Bifidobacterium strains during incubation. The amount of allolactose in GSM incubated by 5R08, 5R10, and 1R09 did not decrease significantly compared to its initial level in GSM. However, allolactose was decreased by 16.64% and 34.17% in GSM incubated by strains 3B13 and BB12, respectively. 4-galactobiose levels also decreased after fermentation, especially in GSM incubated by 5R08, with a 67.77% decrease compared to initial GSM levels. After 24 h, 6-galactosyllactose levels in GSM were similar to its initial level in GSM, with no significant difference except for in the case of 3B13 and BB12. Especially, 6-galactosyllactose contents in GSM incubated by BB12 increased compared to the initial 6-galactosyllactose contents in GSM. Additionally, after 24 h the 3galactosylglucose contents of GSM incubated by strains 5R08, 5R10, 3B13, 1R09, and BB12 decreased by 22.67%, 3.38%, 52.65%, 13.23%, and 64.95%, respectively. The highest utilization rates of 3-galactosylglucose were found in GSM incubated with BB12. Collectively, the data showed that total GOS content in GSM decreased after 24 h of incubation by all five of the selected Bifidobacterium strains. Strains 3B13 and BB12 utilized the most GOS during incubation. From the results of this study, we confirmed that GOS can be utilized by probiotics, and determined the prebiotic effect of GOS.

Viability of probiotic bacteria in FGSM

To determine the fermentation characteristics of GSM, we evaluated growth kinetics and pH during fermentation by the



Fig. 3. (A) Viable cell counts, (B) pH, and (C) individual GOS contents in GSM fermented with *Bifidobacterium* strains. The results are presented as the mean±SD (n=3). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among the different groups (p<0.05). GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides. GSM, GOS-enriched skim milk.

potentially probiotic strain 4B15 (Table 2). As a control, the viable cell counts in fermented SM (FSM) were measured at 8.24 ± 0.02 Log CFU/mL after 24 h of fermentation. The pH of FSM had decreased slightly by the end of fermentation. On the other hand, the viable cell counts in FGSM (9.11±0.01 Log CFU/mL) were higher than that in the FSM. Additionally, the pH of FGSM decreased to 3.85 at the end of fermentation.

Analysis of carbohydrate contents in FGSM

Carbohydrate contents in GSM fermented with 4B15 for 24 h are summarized in Table 3. As shown in Table 3A, after 24 h of fermentation, galactose and glucose contents decreased by 12% and 52% relative to their initial levels in unfermented GSM, respectively. Strain 4B15 utilized the most glucose during fermentation. On the other hand, lactose contents were not significantly different from their initial concentrations. The GOS content of FGSM during fermentation for 24 h using strain

Table 2. Viability of probiotic bacteria in fermented GOS-enriched skim milk (FGSM)

	SM		GSM		
rementation time	0 h	24 h	0 h	24 h	
Viable cell counts (Log CFU/mL)					
4B15 ¹⁾	7.52 ± 0.08^{a}	8.24±0.02 ^b	7.60 ± 0.03^{a}	9.11±0.01°	
pH					
4B15 ¹⁾	6.61	5.82	6.57	3.85	

The results are indicated as the mean \pm SD (n=3).

^{a-c} Different letters represent significant differences among each groups, statistically (p<0.05).

¹⁾ 4B15=*Lactobacillus rhamnosus* 4B15.

GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides; SM, skim milk; GSM, galacto-oligosaccharides enriched skim milk; FGSM, fermented GSM.

Table 3. Analysis of carbohydrate contents in fermented GOS-enriched skim milk (FGSM)

Carbohydrata (a/100 a)	Reaction time		
Carbonydrate (g/100 g)	0 h	24 h	
(A)			
Galactose	2.08 ± 0.04^{d}	$1.84 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	
Glucose	2.36 ± 0.02^{e}	1.15 ± 0.00^{b}	
Lactose	0.13 ± 0.01^{a}	0.11 ± 0.01^{a}	
(B)			
6-Galactobiose	0.22 ± 0.00^{d}	$0.20 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	
Allolactose	0.24 ± 0.01^{e}	0.22 ± 0.00^{d}	
4-Galactobiose	$0.09 \pm 0.00^{\rm b}$	0.08 ± 0.00^{b}	
6-Galactosyllactose	0.28 ± 0.00^{g}	0.26 ± 0.00^{f}	
3-Galactosylglucose	0.05 ± 0.00^{a}	0.05 ± 0.00^{a}	
Total carbohydrates	0.61±0.01	0.55±0.01	

The results are indicated as the mean \pm SD (n=3).

^{a-g} Different letters represent significant differences among each groups, statistically (p<0.05).

GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides; FGSM, fermented GSM (GOS-enriched skim milk).

4B15 is shown in Table 3B. The 6-galactobiose contents of FGSM at 0 and 24 h of fermentation with strain 4B15 were 0.22±0.00 and 0.20±0.00 g/100 g, respectively, with 6-galactobiose decreasing by 9.09% during fermentation. Of the allolactose originally in FGSM, 0.24±0.01 g/100 g was utilized, with only 8.33% being metabolized after 24 h of fermentation. With regard to 4-galactobiose and 3-galactosylglucose, there were no significant changes in concentration after 24 h of fermentation. Contents of 6-galactosyllactose decreased by 7.14% compared to unfermented GSM. Lastly, considering the total GOS content of FGSM, only 9.83% of GOS was utilized during fermentation and we confirmed that almost all GOS remained in FGSM.

Collectively, our data suggest that despite the completion of the fermentation, most of the GOS remained unused in the fermented products. This suggests that both probiotics and residual GOS are present following fermentation, which is beneficial to bioactive functionality.

Antioxidant capacities and HMGR inhibition activity of FGSM

Antioxidant activities were evaluated using DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP bio-assays. Specifically, the DPPH assay measured hydrogen-donating activity, the ABTS assay examined cation-scavenging activity, and the FRAP assay measured the reducing power of ferric ions. The DPPH radical scavenging activities of SM, GSM, FSM, and FGSM are described in Fig. 4A. FGSM had the highest radical scavenging activity (53.17%), followed by FSM (41.00%), SM (14.78%), and GSM (8.69%). To assess another mechanism of antioxidant activity, the ABTS assay was performed (Fig. 4B). FGSM had profound radical



Fig. 4. (A) Antioxidant capacities and (B) HMGR inhibition activity of FGSM. The results are presented as the mean±SD (n=3). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences among the different groups (p<0.05). FGSM, fermented GSM (GOS-enriched skim milk). HMGR, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase.

scavenging activity (32.36%), followed by FSM (27.49%), SM (13.46%), and GSM (12.03%). After 24 h of fermentation, the ABTS radical scavenging activities of SM and GSM increased by 104% and 169%, respectively, compared to their initial states. The ABTS and DPPH assays showed similar patterns of radical scavenging activities. FSM and FGSM activities were higher than those of SM and GSM, with increases equivalent to 290.08 and 772.58 µM FeSO₄·7H₂O, respectively (Fig. 4C). FGSM showed the highest reducing power, 3.59 times higher than those of GSM. SM, GSM, FSM, and FGSM in their initial states, as determined by HMGR inhibition activity (Fig. 4D). 3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase is the key factor in the mevalonate pathway, which converts cholesterol from HMG-CoA in the hepatic system (Oh et al., 2013). SM and GSM were significantly different at 0 h, with HMGR inhibition rate of GSM 10 times higher than that of SM. This indicated that the addition of GOS affects HMGR inhibition activities. In addition, FSM and FGSM increased HMGR inhibition rates (by 6.59% and 19.83%, respectively) compared with initial rates in SM and GSM, with FGSM showing the highest HMGR inhibition activity (3.65 times higher than GSM at 0 h).

Profiling of featured peptide in FGSM

A featured peptide analysis of FGSM was performed by direct MALDI-TOF/MS/MS in the m/z range from 700 to 3,500 Da. As shown in Table 4 for peptide profiling from FGSM, thirty-nine peptide fragments were identified in FGSM. Most peptides derived from β -casein as well as α s₁-casein, α s₂-casein, and κ -casein. A total of thirty-one peptides originating from β -casein were identified, and C-terminal β -casein fragments (f199–209, f197–209, f195–209, f194–209, and f193–209) were identified in FGSM. In addition, β -casein-derived peptides f112–119, f173–182, f176–187, f78–93, f104–119, and f101–119 were only observed in FGSM. Five peptides originated from α s₁-casein were identified. N-terminal α s₁-casein fragments (f1-9, and f1-17), along with a novel peptide fragment (f179–192) were identified. In the case of α s₂-casein, only one peptide fragment (f101–114) was identified. Additionally, two peptides originating from κ -casein were identified, one of which, peptide κ -casein f54–60, was found only in FGSM.

m/z	Position	Sequence	References
αs1-Casein			
1012	1-8	RPKHPIKH	Pisanu et al. (2015)
1140	1–9	RPKHPIKHQ	Albenzio et al. (2017)
1219	25-35	VAPFPEVFGKE	Padghan et al. (2017)
1505	179–192	FSDIPNPIGSENSE	
1991	1-17	RPKHPIKHQGLPQEVLN	Wu et al. (2013)
α _{S2} -Casein			
1650	101–114	QGPIVLNPWDQVKR	Pisanu et al. (2015)
β-Casein			
826	199–206	GPVRGPFP	Zhao et al. (2016)
976	112–119	PKYPVEPF	
1001	60–68	YPFPGPIPN	Bottari et al. (2017)
1057	85–93	PPFLQPEVM	Oh et al. (2017)

Table 4. Peptide profiling of fermented GOS-enriched skim milk (FGSM)

m/z	Position	Sequence	References
1100	59–68	VYPFPGPIPN	Eisele et al. (2013)
1126	173–182	VPQKAVPYPQ	
1151	145–154	HQPHQPLPPT Fialho et al. (2)	
1151	199–209	GPVRGPFPIIV	Rodriguez-Figueroa et al. (2012)
1157	132–141	NLHLPLPLLQ	Sommella et al. (2016)
1265	198–209	LGPVRGPFPIIV	Ha et al. (2015)
1282	144–154	MHQPHQPLPPT	Chenoll et al. (2016)
1351	109–119	MPFPKYPVEPF	Padghan et al. (2017)
1364	197–209	VLGPVRGPFPIIV	Ha et al. (2015)
1382	145–156	HQPHQPLPPTVM	Ul Haq et al. (2015)
1393	194–206	QEPVLGPVRGPFP	Villegas et al. (2014)
1414	176–187	KAVPYPQRDMPI	
1431	132–143	NLHLPLPLLQSW	Padghan et al. (2017)
1452	81–93	PVVVPPFLQPEVM	Xue et al. (2018)
1469	143–154	WMHQPHQPLPPT	Oh et al. (2016)
1481	108–119	EMPFPKYPVEPF	Thomas et al. (2016)
1513	144–156	MHQPHQPLPPTVM	Cossais et al. (2017)
1556	193–206	YQEPVLGPVRGPFP	Ha et al. (2015)
1718	194–209	QEPVLGPVRGPFPIIV	Ha et al. (2015)
1782	78–93	TQTPVVVPPFLQPEVM	
1851	145–160	HQPHQPLPPTVMFPPQ	Tellez et al. (2010)
1874	105-119	KHKEMPFPKYPVEPF	Kalyankar et al. (2013)
1881	193–209	YQEPVLGPVRGPFPIIV	Eisele et al. (2013)
1971	104–119	PKHKEMPFPKYPVEPF	
1982	144–160	MHQPHQPLPPTVMFPPQ	Tapal et al. (2016)
2042	103–119	APKHKEMPFPKYPVEPF	Mansor et al. (2013)
2244	101–119	AMAPKHKEMPFPKYPVEPF	
к-Casein			
1329	75–85	ARHPHPHLSFM	Qian et al. (2011)
1458	54–65	QFLPYPYYAKPA	

GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides; FGSM, fermented GSM (GOS-enriched skim milk).

Discussion

Several studies have reported that *Bifidobacterium* spp. is capable to metabolize a number of carbohydrates (O'Connell Motherway et al., 2013; Schell et al., 2002; Ventura et al., 2007) and over 50 different kinds of carbohydrases originated by

bifidobacteria have been reported (O'Connell Motherway et al., 2013; Pokusaeva et al., 2011; van den Broek et al., 2008). In order to metabolize GOS, bacteria transport and then metabolize galactose, using specific glycosyl hydrolases, in central metabolic pathways (Garrido et al., 2013). *In vitro*, several intestinal bacteria, including bifidobacteria, have been shown to utilize GOS, and fecal bifidobacterial numbers have occasionally been found to increase after GOS consumption (Alander et al., 2001; Ito et al., 1993).

In the present study, we evaluated the prebiotic effect of GOS by analyzing its usage by five selected *Bifidobacterium* strains (5R08, 5R10, 3B13, 1R09, and BB12), finding that the five selected *Bifidobacterium* strains utilized all tested GOS (6-galactobiose, allolactose, 4-galactobiose, 6-galactosyllactose, and 3-galactosylglucose) during incubation. However, total 6-galactosyllactose increased after the end of incubations performed by strain BB12. This observation suggests that BB12 possesses different uptake systems for the utilization of GOS. These results indicated that *Bifidobacterium* strains were capable of metabolizing GOS during the incubation and demonstrated the potential of GOS as a prebiotic substitute.

Based on the verification of GOS's prebiotic effects, we produced FGSM with the potentially-probiotic strain 4B15. Subsequently, we measured the fermentation characteristics of GSM, including growth kinetics and pH, during fermentation. FGSM had a lower pH than FSM at the end of fermentation, with 4B15 growing better in FGSM than in FSM by an order of magnitude.

We also analyzed the GOS content of FGSM after 24 h of fermentation. Although the total GOS contents of FGSM decreased, the decrease was comparatively minor. Only 9.83% of the total available GOS was metabolized during the FGSM fermentation. This indicated that FGSM has not only active *Lactobacillus*, which has potential bioactivity, but also substantial amounts of beneficial prebiotic GOS. GOS are excellent food additives that improve health benefits and support the growth of health-promoting microbiota in the gut environments (Garrido et al., 2013). They have reported to have a variety of properties benefiting health, contributing to intestinal barrier function improvement and stool improvement as well as, carcinogenesis, allergy alleviation and weight management (Lamsal, 2012).

In our experiments, we evaluated the enhanced antioxidant activity of the fermented product with or without GOS during fermentation. After 24 h of fermentation, GSM showed higher antioxidant activity than SM in three kinds of bioassay results including DPPH radical scavenging activity, ABTS radical scavenging activity, and FRAP values. Downregulation of cholesterol is related to the reduction of HMGR, as active compounds restrict synthesis of cholesterol in the liver by controlling critical enzymes (Crowell, 1999; Ghasemi and Taherpour, 2015). One important mechanism involves oligosaccharides diminishing blood cholesterol levels by binding bile acids in the intestines, thereby regulating lipid absorption, and increasing cholesterol removing and synthesis of new bile acid in the liver (Ghasemi and Taherpour, 2015; Ooi and Liong, 2010). Additionally, cholesterol metabolism is associated with synthesis of bile salt from cholesterol in the liver, and with restriction of the enzyme CYP7A1 (Fava et al., 2006; Saha and Reimer, 2014). In the present study, the HMGR inhibition rate of GSM was about 3 times higher than the HMGR inhibition rate of SM after 24 h of fermentation. These results corresponded with the results of the DPPH, ABTS, and FRAP assays in this study, and demonstrated a connection between antioxidant activity and HMGR inhibition.

Milk contains important proteins including casein and whey protein such as α -lactalbumin, β -lactoglobulin, and immunoglobulins. Milk proteins perform their biological activities not only directly, but also when processed through metabolism into various peptide forms. Although their biological activities vary, the majority of these proteins affect the functionality of the immune system in some way (Ebringer et al., 2008). Casein is processed into various bioactive peptides through reaction with proteolytic enzymes (Ebringer et al., 2008; Korhonen and Pihlanto, 2006). These bioactive peptides

have different effects on the cardiovascular, digestive, and nervous systems (Ebringer et al., 2008; Korhonen and Pihlanto, 2006). Among them, featured oligopeptides derived from α - and β -caseins such as casomorphines, lactorphines, and casokinines are reconstructed from milk by metabolizing proteins from lactic acid bacteria (Ebringer et al., 2008; Oh et al., 2016). Peptides created by this reaction have two or more biological activities and include small peptides such as Val-Pro-Pro and Ile-Pro-Pro which have hypotensive effects (Ebringer et al., 2008; Huth et al., 2006; Seppo et al., 2003). In addition, some peptides originating from β -lactoglobulin, such as the peptide Ile-Ile-Ala-Glu-Lys, exert hypocholesterolemic effects (Ebringer et al., 2008; Nagaoka et al., 2001). In the current study, the FGSM produced with strain 4B15 showed improved antioxidant activity and HMGR inhibition. The enhancement of antioxidant activities and HMGR inhibition in FGSM can be attributed to the generation of peptides by 4B15 during fermentation step. Peptides originated from the fermentation of milk have been reported to have immunostimulatory, antitumor, antimicrobial, antihypertensive, and antioxidative activities, as well as ACE inhibitory activity (Ebringer et al., 2008; Miguel et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2016). Among the identified thirty-nine peptide fragments, six β -casein peptide fragments (f112–119, f173–182, f176–187, f78–93, f104–119, and f101–119), one α s₁-casein peptide fragment (f179–192), and one κ -casein peptide fragment (f54–60) were only observed in FGSM.

The peptides specifically released from GSM after fermentation by 4B15 have been reported to have various bioactivities such as antimicrobial, ACE inhibitory, antioxidant, anti-cancer effects (Davis et al., 2011; Eisele et al., 2013; Pisanu et al., 2015; Tapal et al., 2016; Villegas et al., 2014). The newly isolated peptides in this study, FSDIPNPIGSENSE, PKYPVEPF, VPQKAVPYPQ, KAVPYPQRDMPI, TQTPVVVPPFLQPEVM and PKHKEMPFPKYPVEPF are expected to have health promoting effects, since the selected strains, 4B15 have been shown to enhance the anti-oxidation, anti-inflammation and cholesterol lowering, respectively (Oh et al., 2017; Oh et al., 2018). However, further studies are needed to fully understand their bioactivities.

In conclusion, we investigated the prebiotic potential of GSM. First, we evaluated the prebiotic effect of GSM through analysis of its utilization by *Bifidobacterium* strains (5R08, 5R10, 1R09, 3B13, and BB12) during incubation. From the results, we confirmed that GOS can be utilized by *Bifidobacterium* strains, and we determined the prebiotic effect of GSM. Second, we evaluated the fermentation characteristics and antioxidant capacities of GSM after fermentation with 4B15, a strain with probiotic potential. We confirmed that GSM stimulated the growth of 4B15, but was not completely consumed during fermentation, and that some remained in FGSM. We also confirmed that the antioxidant activity and HMGR inhibition rate of FGSM produced with 4B15 increased relative to that of the control after 24 h of fermentation, and that this was caused by metabolizing functional substrates such as organic acids and peptides. Finally, we found eight novel peptide fragments possessing potential bioactivities. In conclusion, we found that GSM can be used for potentiating prebiotics, and it enhances functional bioactivities through interaction with probiotics. Therefore, our results will contribute to the development of new dairy products with beneficial functionality. GSM may be applied not only in various dairy foods but also in the food industry. Adding to this, our findings contribute on the development of a new insight for synbiotic fermented milk.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the High Value-Added Food Technology Development Program of the Korea Institute of

Planning and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (iPET), the Ministry for Food, Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Korea (117069-03-3-SB010) and the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, Information and Communications Technology (ICT) and Future Planning (2016M3C1B5907057).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Data curation: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Formal analysis: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Methodology: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Software: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Validation: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Investigation: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Writing - original draft: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y. Writing - review & editing: Oh NS, Kim K, Oh S, Kim Y.

Ethics Approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

References

- Alander M, Matto J, Kneifel W, Johansson M, Kogler B, Crittenden R, Mattila-Sandholm T, Saarela M. 2001. Effect of galacto-oligosaccharide supplementation on human faecal microflora and on survival and persistence of *Bifidobacterium lactis* BB-12 in the gastrointestinal tract. Int Dairy J 11:817-825.
- Albenzio M, Santillo A, Caroprese M, Della Malva A, Marino R. 2017. Bioactive peptides in animal food products. Foods 6:35.
- Andersen JM, Barrangou R, Abou Hachem M, Lahtinen S, Goh YJ, Svensson B, Klaenhammer TR. 2011. Transcriptional and functional analysis of galactooligosaccharide uptake by lacs in *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108:17785-17790.
- Bottari B, Quartieri A, Prandi B, Raimondi S, Leonardi A, Rossi M, Ulrici A, Gatti M, Sforza S, Nocetti M, Amaretti A. 2017. Characterization of the peptide fraction from digested parmigiano reggiano cheese and its effect on growth of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. Int J Food Microbiol 255:32-41.
- Chenoll E, Casinos B, Bataller E, Buesa J, Ramon D, Genoves S, Fabrega J, Rivero Urgell M, Moreno Munoz JA. 2016. Identification of a peptide produced by *Bifidobacterium longum* CECT 7210 with antirotaviral activity. Front Microbiol 7:655.
- Cossais F, Clawin-Radecker I, Lorenzen PC, Klempt M. 2017. Tryptic β-casein hydrolysate modulates enteric nervous system development in primary culture. J Dairy Sci 100:3396-3403.
- Crowell PL. 1999. Prevention and therapy of cancer by dietary monoterpenes. J Nutr 129:775S-778S.
- Davis LM, Martinez I, Walter J, Goin C, Hutkins RW. 2011. Barcoded pyrosequencing reveals that consumption of galactooligosaccharides results in a highly specific bifidogenic response in humans. PLOS ONE 6:e25200.
- Ebringer L, Ferencik M, Krajcovic J. 2008. Beneficial health effects of milk and fermented dairy products. Folia Microbiol 53:378-394.
- Eisele T, Stressler T, Kranz B, Fischer L. 2013. Bioactive peptides generated in an enzyme membrane reactor using *Bacillus lentus* alkaline peptidase. Eur Food Res Technol 236:483-490.

- Fava F, Lovegrove JA, Gitau R, Jackson KG, Tuohy KM. 2006. The gut microbiota and lipid metabolism: Implications for human health and coronary heart disease. Curr Med Chem 13:3005-3021.
- Fialho TL, Carrijo LC, Magalhaes Junior MJ, Baracat-Pereira MC, Piccoli RH, de Abreu LR. 2018. Extraction and identification of antimicrobial peptides from the canastra artisanal minas cheese. Food Res Int 107:406-413.
- Forestier C, de Champs C, Vatoux C, Joly B. 2000. Probiotic activities of *Lactobacillus casei rhamnosus*: *In vitro* adherence to intestinal cells and antimicrobial properties. Res Microbiol 152:167-173.
- Fuller R, Gibson GR. 1998. Probiotics and prebiotics: Microflora management for improved gut health. Clin Microbiol Infect 4:477-480.
- Garrido D, Ruiz-Moyano S, Jimenez-Espinoza R, Eom HJ, Block DE, Mills DA. 2013. Utilization of galactooligosaccharides by *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* isolates. Food Microbiol 33:262-270.
- Ghasemi HA, Taherpour K. 2015. Comparison of broiler performance, blood biochemistry, hematology and immune response when feed diets were supplemented with ginger essential oils or mannan-oligosaccharide. Iran J Vet Med 9:195-205.
- Gibson GR, Roberfroid MB. 1995. Dietary modulation of the human colonic microbiota: Introducing the concept of prebiotics. J Nutr 125:1401-1412.
- Gobinath D, Prapulla SG. 2014. Permeabilized probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* as a source of β -galactosidase for the synthesis of prebiotic galactooligosaccharides. Biotechnol Lett 36:153-157.
- Gopal PK, Sullivan PA, Smart JB. 2001. Utilisation of galacto-oligosaccharides as selective substrates for growth by lactic acid bacteria including *Bifidobacterium lactis* DR10 and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* DR20. Int Dairy J 11:19-25.
- Ha GE, Chang OK, Jo SM, Han GS, Park BY, Ham JS, Jeong SG. 2015. Identification of antihypertensive peptides derived from low molecular weight casein hydrolysates generated during fermentation by *Bifidobacterium longum* KACC 91563. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 35:738-747.
- Huth P, DiRienzo DB, Miller GD. 2006. Major scientific advances with dairy foods in nutrition and health. J Dairy Sci 89:1207-1221.
- Intanon M, Arreola SL, Pham NH, Kneifel W, Haltrich D, Nguyen TH. 2014. Nature and biosynthesis of galactooligosaccharides related to oligosaccharides in human breast milk. FEMS Microbiol Lett 353:89-97.
- Ito M, Deguchi Y, Matsumoto K, Kimura M, Onodera N, Yajima T. 1993. Influence of galactooligosaccharides on the human fecal microflora. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol 39:635-640.
- Kalyankar P, Zhu Y, O'cuinn G, Fitzgerald RJ. 2013. Investigation of the substrate specificity of glutamyl endopeptidase using purified bovine β-casein and synthetic peptides. J Agric Food Chem 61:3193-3204.
- Korhonen H, Pihlanto A. 2006. Bioactive peptides: Production and functionality. Int Dairy J 16:945-960.
- Lamsal BP. 2012. Production, health aspects and potential food uses of dairy prebiotic galactooligosaccharides. J Sci Food Agric 92:2020-2028.
- Macfarlane GT, Steed H, Macfarlane S. 2008. Bacterial metabolism and health-related effects of galacto-oligosaccharides and other prebiotics. J Appl Microbiol 104:305-344.
- Macfarlane S, Macfarlane GT, Cummings JH. 2006. Prebiotics in the gastrointestinal tract. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 24:701-714.
- Mansor R, Mullen W, Albalat A, Zerefos P, Mischak H, Barrett DC, Biggs A, Eckersall PD. 2013. A peptidomic approach to biomarker discovery for bovine mastitis. J Proteomics 85:89-98.
- Miguel M, Recio I, Ramos M, Delgado MA, Aleixandre MA. 2006. Antihypertensive effect of peptides obtained from

Enterococcus faecalis-fermented milk in rats. J Dairy Sci 89:3352-3359.

- Nagaoka S, Futamura Y, Miwa K, Awano T, Yamauchi K, Kanamaru Y, Tadashi K, Kuwata T. 2001. Identification of novel hypocholesterolemic peptides derived from bovine milk β-lactoglobulin. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 281:11-17.
- O'connell Motherway M, Kinsella M, Fitzgerald GF, Van Sinderen D. 2013. Transcriptional and functional characterization of genetic elements involved in galacto-oligosaccharide utilization by *Bifidobacterium breve* UCC2003. Microb Biotechnol 6:67-79.
- Oh NS, Joung JY, Lee JY, Kim Y, Kim SH. 2017. Enhancement of antioxidative and intestinal anti-inflammatory activities of glycated milk casein after fermentation with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* 4B15. J Agric Food Chem 65:4744-4754.
- Oh NS, Joung JY, Lee JY, Kim Y. 2018. Probiotic and anti-inflammatory potential of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* 4B15 and *Lactobacillus gasseri* 4M13 isolated from infant feces. PLOS ONE 13:e0192021.
- Oh NS, Kwon HS, Lee HA, Joung JY, Lee JY, Lee KB, Shin YK, Baick SC, Park MR, Kim Y, Lee KW, Kim SH. 2014. Preventive effect of fermented maillard reaction products from milk proteins in cardiovascular health. J Dairy Sci 97:3300-3313.
- Oh NS, Lee HA, Lee JY, Joung JY, Lee KB, Kim Y, Lee KW, Kim SH. 2013. The dual effects of Maillard reaction and enzymatic hydrolysis on the antioxidant activity of milk proteins. J Dairy Sci 96:4899-4911.
- Oh NS, Lee JY, Oh S, Joung JY, Kim SG, Shin YK, Lee KW, Kim SH, Kim Y. 2016. Improved functionality of fermented milk is mediated by the synbiotic interaction between *Cudrania tricuspidata* leaf extract and *Lactobacillus gasseri* strains. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100:5919-5932.
- Olano-Martin E, Williams MR, Gibson GR, Rastall RA. 2003. Pectins and pectic-oligosaccharides inhibit *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 shiga toxin as directed towards the human colonic cell line ht29. FEMS Microbiol Lett 218:101-105.
- Ooi LG, Liong MT. 2010. Cholesterol-lowering effects of probiotics and prebiotics: A review of *in vivo* and *in vitro* findings. Int J Mol Sci 11:2499-2522.
- Padghan P, Mann B, Sharma R, Bajaj R, Saini P. 2017. Production of angiotensin-i-converting-enzyme-inhibitory peptides in fermented milks (lassi) fermented by *Lactobacillus acidophillus* with consideration of incubation period and simmering treatment. Int J Pept Res Ther 23:69-79.
- Pisanu S, Pagnozzi D, Pes M, Pirisi A, Roggio T, Uzzau S, Addis MF. 2015. Differences in the peptide profile of raw and pasteurised ovine milk cheese and implications for its bioactive potential. Int Dairy J 42:26-33.
- Pokusaeva K, Fitzgerald GF, van Sinderen D. 2011. Carbohydrate metabolism in bifidobacteria. Genes Nutr 6:285-306.
- Qian B, Xing M, Cui L, Deng Y, Xu Y, Huang M, Zhang S. 2011. Antioxidant, antihypertensive, and immunomodulatory activities of peptide fractions from fermented skim milk with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus* LB340. J Dairy Res 78:72-79.
- Rabiu BA, Jay AJ, Gibson GR, Rastall RA. 2001. Synthesis and fermentation properties of novel galacto-oligosaccharides by β-galactosidases from *Bifidobacterium* species. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2526-2530.
- Rodriguez-Figueroa JC, Gonzalez-Cordova AF, Torres-Llanez MJ, Garcia HS, Vallejo-Cordoba B. 2012. Novel angiotensin iconverting enzyme inhibitory peptides produced in fermented milk by specific wild *Lactococcus lactis* strains. J Dairy Sci 95:5536-5543.
- Saha DC, Reimer RA. 2014. Long-term intake of a high prebiotic fiber diet but not high protein reduces metabolic risk after a high fat challenge and uniquely alters gut microbiota and hepatic gene expression. Nutr Res 34:789-796.

Sangwan V, Tomar SK, Singh RR, Singh AK, Ali B. 2011. Galactooligosaccharides: Novel components of designer foods. J

Food Sci 76:R103-R111.

- Schell MA, Karmirantzou M, Snel B, Vilanova D, Berger B, Pessi G, Zwahlen MC, Desiere F, Bork P, Delley M, Pridmore RD, Arigoni F. 2002. The genome sequence of *Bifidobacterium longum* reflects its adaptation to the human gastrointestinal tract. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:14422-14427.
- Seppo L, Jauhiainen T, Poussa T, Korpela R. 2003. A fermented milk high in bioactive peptides has a blood pressure– lowering effect in hypertensive subjects. Am J Clin Nutr 77:326-330.
- Smart JB, Pillidge CJ, Garman JH. 1993. Growth of lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria on lactose and lactose-related mono-, di- and trisaccharides and correlation with distribution of β-galactosidase and phospho-β-galactosidase. J Dairy Res 60:557-568.
- Sommella E, Pepe G, Ventre G, Pagano F, Conte GM, Ostacolo C, Manfra M, Tenore GC, Russo M, Novellino E, Campiglia C. 2016. Detailed peptide profiling of "scotta": From a dairy waste to a source of potential health-promoting compounds. Dairy Sci Technol 96:763-771.
- Tapal A, Vegarud GE, Sreedhara A, Hegde P, Inamdar S, Tiku PK. 2016. *In vitro* human gastro-intestinal enzyme digestibility of globulin isolate from oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* var. *tenera*) kernel meal and the bioactivity of the digest. RSC Adv 6:20219-20229.
- Tellez A, Corredig M, Brovko LY, Griffiths MW. 2010. Characterization of immune-active peptides obtained from milk fermented by *Lactobacillus helveticus*. J Dairy Res 77:129-136.
- Thomas FC, Mullen W, Tassi R, Ramirez-Torres A, Mudaliar M, Mcneilly TN, Zadoks RN, Burchmore R, David Eckersall P. 2016. Mastitomics, the integrated omics of bovine milk in an experimental model of *Streptococcus uberis* mastitis: 1. High abundance proteins, acute phase proteins and peptidomics. Mol Biosyst 12:2735-2747.
- Tzortzis G, Goulas AK, Baillon ML, Gibson GR, Rastall RA. 2004. *In vitro* evaluation of the fermentation properties of galactooligosaccharides synthesised by α-galactosidase from *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 64:106-111.
- Ul Haq MR, Kapila R, Kapila S. 2015. Release of β-casomorphin-7/5 during simulated gastrointestinal digestion of milk βcasein variants from indian crossbred cattle (Karan Fries). Food Chem 168:70-79.
- Van Den Broek LA, Hinz SW, Beldman G, Vincken JP, Voragen AG. 2008. *Bifidobacterium* carbohydrases-their role in breakdown and synthesis of (potential) prebiotics. Mol Nutr Food Res 52:146-163.
- Ventura M, Canchaya C, Tauch A, Chandra G, Fitzgerald GF, Chater KF, Van Sinderen D. 2007. Genomics of actinobacteria: Tracing the evolutionary history of an ancient phylum. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 71:495-548.
- Villegas JM, Picariello G, Mamone G, Turbay MBE, De Giori GS, Hebert EM. 2014. Milk-derived angiotensin-i-converting enzymeinhibitory peptides generated by *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *lactis* CRL 581. Peptidomics 1:22-29.
- Wu S, Qi W, Li T, Lu D, Su R, He Z. 2013. Simultaneous production of multi-functional peptides by pancreatic hydrolysis of bovine casein in an enzymatic membrane reactor via combinational chromatography. Food Chem 141:2944-2951.
- Xue L, Wang X, Hu Z, Wu Z, Wang L, Wang H, Yang M. 2018. Identification and characterization of an angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitory peptide derived from bovine casein. Peptides 99:161-168.
- Yanahira S, Kobayashi T, Suguri T, Nakakoshi M, Miura S, Ishikawa H, Nakajima I. 1995. Formation of oligosaccharides from lactose by *Bacillus circulans* β-galactosidase. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 59:1021-1026.
- Zhao CJ, Schieber A, Ganzle MG. 2016. Formation of taste-active amino acids, amino acid derivatives and peptides in food fermentations: A review. Food Res Int 89:39-47.