Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources

Korean J. Food Sci. An. 2018 December 38(6):1189~1195 DOI https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2018.e50

ARTICLE

Development of Hydrogels to Improve the Safety of *Yukhoe* (Korean Beef Tartare) by Reducing Psychrotrophic *Listeria monocytogenes* Cell Counts on Raw Beef Surface

Hyemin Oh^{1,2}, Sejeong Kim², Soomin Lee², Jimyeong Ha^{1,2}, Jeeyeon Lee^{1,2}, Yukyung Choi^{1,2}, Yewon Lee^{1,2}, Yujin Kim^{1,2}, Yeongeun Seo^{1,2}, and Yohan Yoon^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 04310, Korea

²Risk Analysis Research Center, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 04310, Korea

Abstract This study developed an antimicrobial hydrogel to control Listeria monocytogenes in Yukhoe (Korean beef tartare). Four hydrogels (hydrogel 1: 5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl₂, hydrogel 2: 1% k-carrageenan+1% chitosan, hydrogel 3: 2% k-carrageenan+1% CaCl₂, and hydrogel 4: 2% k-carrageenan+3% CaCl₂) were prepared. The hydrogels then absorbed 0.1% grapefruit seed extract (GSE) and 0.1% citrus extract (CE) for 30, 60, 120, and 240 min to be antimicrobial hydrogels. To select the most effective antimicrobial hydrogel, their swelling ratio (SR) and antilisterial activities were determined. The selected hydrogel $(2 \times 2 \text{ cm})$ was then placed on surface of beef (round; 3×3 cm), where L. monocytogenes (ca. 10⁶ CFU/g) were inoculated, and the cell counts were enumerated on PALCAM agar. Among the hydrogels, the SR of hydrogel 1 increased with absorbing time, but other hydrogels showed no significant changes. Antimicrobial hydrogel 1 showed higher (p < 0.05) antilisterial activity than other antimicrobial hydrogels, especially for the one absorbed the antimicrobial for 120 min. Thus, the antimicrobial hydrogel 1 absorbed antimicrobials for 120 min was applied on raw beef at 4°C, and reduced (p<0.05) more than 90% of L. monocytogenes on raw beef. These results indicate that antimicrobial hydrogel 1 formulated with 0.1% GSE or 0.1% CE is appropriate to improve the safety of Yukhoe by reducing psychrotrophic L. monocytogenes cell counts on raw beef.

Keywords antimicrobial, hydrogel patch, natural antibiotic agent, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Yukhoe*

Introduction

Although beef is consumed after cooking in many countries, there are some countries, in which still consume raw beef dishes such as *Yukhoe* and beef sashimi in Korea and Japan, and beef tartare in western countries. However, the possibility for

© Korean Society for Food Science of Animal Resources. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

OPEN ACCESS

Received	October 2, 2018			
Revised	October 23, 2018			
Accepted	October 23, 2018			

*Corresponding author : Yohan Yoon Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 04310, Korea Tel: +82-2-2077-2585 Fax: +82-2-710-9479 E-mail: yyoon@sookmyung.ac.kr

*ORCID

Hyemin Oh 0000-0002-8073-7242 Sejeong Kim 0000-0001-9741-8056 Soomin Lee 0000-0003-1811-7365 Jimyeong Ha 0000-0001-7973-7926 Jeeveon Lee 0000-0002-5885-6835 Yukyung Choi 0000-0002-9970-0132 Yewon Lee 0000-0001-8715-1140 Yujin Kim 0000-0002-0903-9871 Yeongeun Seo 0000-0003-4986-9770 Yohan Yoon 0000-0002-4561-6218



pISSN : 1225-8563 eISSN : 2234-246X http://www.kosfaj.org the existence of pathogenic bacteria in raw beef is very high, especially *Listeria monocytogenes*. *L. monocytogenes* is isolated from beef carcass and raw beef (Rhoades et al., 2009; Wieczorek et al., 2012). In addition, the pathogen remains a significant cause of foodborne illness characterized with high mortality rate up to 30%. It may cause sepsis, central nervous system infections, and maternal and fetal infections in pregnant women, the elderly, and immunocompromised people (CDC, 2013).

L. monocytogenes is widely spread in contaminated food and industrial environments (Lakicevic et al., 2015). Vitas et al. (2004) investigated the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in raw meat from open markets in Spain, and they reported that 34.9% of raw meat were *L. monocytogenes* contaminated before consumption. Indrawattana et al. (2011) investigated *L. monocytogenes* contamination on raw meats marketed in Bangkok, and reported that 15.4% of raw meat samples collected from supermarkets and open markets were contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*. Chiarini et al. (2009) investigated the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in poultry slaughterhouses and reported that 27.3% of non-food contact surfaces and 19.4% of products were contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*. In Brazil, 44.2% of *L. monocytogenes* isolates examined in poultry slaughterhouse during 1-year period were isolated from the thigh samples (Schäfer et al., 2017). *L. monocytogenes* strains attach to environmental surface and form biofilms, and the pathogen may be transmitted to food such as raw meat and dairy food (Pesavento et al., 2010). Although proper cleaning of processing plants is performed to control *L. monocytogenes*, the bacterium may exist in a slaughterhouse, (Chiarini et al., 2009). *L. monocytogenes* can be cross-contaminated to raw meat in a slaughterhouse (Samelis and Metaxopoulos, 1999), and the pathogen can grow on raw meat at refrigeration temperature because *L. monocytogenes* is psychrotrophic (Carpentier and Cerf, 2011). The pathogen on raw beef can infect people through consuming raw beef dishes. Thus, non-thermal decontamination technology should be developed to improve the food safety of raw beef dishes.

Hydrogels are materials composed of a polymer backbone, water, and a crosslinking agent. The hydrophilic functional groups and three-dimensional polymeric structure of hydrogels may facilitate the absorption of water, biological fluids or antimicrobial substances (Bajpai et al., 2008). Hydrogels are applied to a variety of fields in medical, pharmaceutical and related fields, and are used as wound dressings, cosmetics, and drug delivery systems (Kamoun et al., 2017). However, no study has described the application of hydrogel patches in food industry. Thus, if the hydrogels formulated with antimicrobials are applied on food surface, it should be useful as a non-thermal decontamination technology for raw beef dishes. However, for the application on food, the hydrogel needs to be developed with edible polymers and antimicrobials.

In our previous studies, alginate and κ -carrageenan were used as appropriate materials as polymers for the preparation of hydrogels (Kim et al., 2017). The κ -carrageenan-based hydrogels showed improved physical properties, and Ca²⁺ ions in the gel improved the gel hardness (Campo et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2017). In addition, since alginate-based hydrogels showed high flexibility and non-toxic, alginate can be used as a polymer for hydrogels (Amsden and Turner, 1999). Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide that may inhibit the growth of several bacteria and fungi with low toxicity (Trad et al., 2018). The antimicrobials grapefruit seed extract (GSE) and citrus extract (CE) can be formulated in the hydrogels because they are natural and have high antimicrobial activities against *L. monocytogenes* even at low concentrations in our previous study (Kim et al., 2018). The main compounds in grapefruits and citrus seeds are polyphenols known as limonoids and naringenin. These compounds can inactivate more than 800 types of bacteria and viruses (Cvetnić et al., 2004).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to develop an antimicrobial hydrogel with edible polymers and antimicrobials to improve the food safety of *Yukhoe* (Korean beef tartare) by reducing *L. monocytogenes* cell counts.

Materials and Methods

Hydrogel preparation

Sodium alginate and κ -carrageenan were dissolved in distilled water, containing a co-polymer and/or crosslinkers. Hydrogel 1 was prepared as follows: 5% sodium alginate (Junsei chemical, Tokyo, Japan) and 1% chitosan (Carl Roth GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) were dissolved in 40 mL of glycerol (Daejung, Siheung, Korea). About 0.2% of CaCl₂ (Samchum chemical, Pyeongtaek, Korea) was dissolved in 60 mL of water (H₂O), and this solution was added to the glycerol mixture to provide Ca²⁺ ion. After stirring for 20 min, this mixture was poured into a flat square-shaped dish (SPL life science, Pocheon, Korea) and stored at 42°C to dry the hydrogel for 2 days (Lee et al., 2003). Hydrogels 2, 3, and 4 were prepared as follows: 1% (hydrogel 2) or 2% of κ -carrageenan (hydrogels 3 and 4) in 100 mL of H₂O were stirred on a heat block at 80°C (Mohamadnia et al., 2008), followed by the addition of 1% chitosan (hydrogel 2), 1% CaCl₂ (hydrogel 3), or 3% CaCl₂ (hydrogel 4). The solutions were poured into the flat square-shaped plate, which were placed at room temperature (25°C) to form hydrogels.

Preparation of antimicrobial hydrogel and swelling ratio determination

Hydrogels were weighed and placed in distilled water (control), 0.1% grapefruit seed extract (GSE; ES Food, Gunpo, Korea) solution, and 0.1% citrus extract (CE; ES Food) solution for 30, 60, 120, and 240 min, followed by incubation at room temperature for 5 min to allow the surfaces to dry out. The hydrogels were weighed, and the swelling ratios of the hydrogels were calculated by the following equation:

Swelling ratio (SR) = $\frac{Ws}{Wo}$

Where Ws is the weight of the swollen hydrogel, and Wo is the weight of dried hydrogel.

Antilisterial activity of hydrogels

Fifteen *L. monocytogenes* strains SMFM-SI-1, SMFM-SI-2, SMFM-SI-3, SMFM-SI-4, SMFM-SI-5, SMFM-SI-6, SMFM-SI-7, SMFM-SI-8, SMFM-SI-9, SMFM-SI-10, SMFM-SI-11, SMFM-SI-12, SMFM-SI-13, SMFM-SI-14, and SMFM-SI-15 isolated from carcass surface of cattle and swine in slaughterhouses (Oh, 2017) were cultured in 10 mL of tryptic soy broth with 0.6% yeast extract (TSBYE; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) at 30°C for 24 h. After incubation, 100 μL of cultures were transferred to 10 mL of TSBYE and incubated again at 30°C for 24 h. The cultures were centrifuged at 1,912×g and 4°C for 15 min, and the cell pellets were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4; 8.0 g of NaCl, 0.2 g of KH₂PO₄, 1.5 g of Na₂HPO₄, and 0.2 g of KCl in 1 L of distilled water). These suspensions were mixed, and their optical densities were adjusted to 0.1 at 600 nm with UV-spectrophotometer (OD₆₀₀). The diluted suspension was spread onto the surface of tryptic soy agar with 0.6% yeast extract (TSAYE; Becton) with sterile swab. The prepared hydrogels (1×1 cm) were placed in 0.1% GSE or 0.1% CE solution for 30, 60, 120, and 240 min to make antimicrobial hydrogels, and they were then placed on the TSAYE surfaces. After incubation at 30°C for 24 h, the clear zones (mm) around the antimicrobial hydrogel were measured.

Application of antimicrobial hydrogel on raw beef

L. monocytogenes (OD₆₀₀=0.1) was inoculated on the surfaces of raw beef (sliced round; 3×3 cm), and the samples were

left at room temperature for 10 min to allow the bacterial cell attachment. The antimicrobial hydrogels were placed on the surface of the inoculated samples, and stored at 4°C for 0 and 60 min. After storage, the antimicrobial hydrogels were removed, and the beef surfaces were swabbed by sterile swabs. The swabs were immediately placed in tubes containing 10 mL of 0.1% BPW (buffered peptone water; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA), and vortexed for 1 min. Swab samples were serially diluted with 0.1% BPW, and the diluents were spread-plated on PALCAM agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company). The plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 h, and colonies were counted manually.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was performed twice in triplicates per trial. The data for the antimicrobial activity of hydrogels were analyzed with the GLM procedure of SAS[®] (version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The differences between the LS means were determined by the pairwise *t*-test at α =0.05.

Results and Discussion

The hydrogels were prepared by two polymers such as alginate and κ -carrageenan with co-polymer, and cross-linkers to provide cation (Ca²⁺) which improves crosslinking between polymer molecules. When the hydrogels were placed in antimicrobial solutions to allow them absorb the antimicrobials, they showed different absorbing responses. In addition, the swelling of hydrogels is important in biomedical and antimicrobial applications. Thus, SRs of the hydrogels were determined after the absorption of the antimicrobials into the hydrogels, and only SR of hydrogel 1 increased as absorbing time increased, but the SRs of other hydrogels were unchanged (Fig. 1). This result indicates that hydrogel 1 (5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl₂+40% glycerol) effectively absorbed antimicrobials. A study by Paşcalău et al. (2013) compared swelling of alginate and κ -carrageenan, and described that alginate had better swelling than κ -carrageenan because of cross-linking density with polymeric network. Thus, alginate hydrogel may show high SR in this study.

The hydrogels were allowed to absorb the antimicrobials for 30, 60, 120, or 240 min, and antimicrobial hydrogel 1 showed the biggest clear zone size (p<0.05), and its size was generally increased as the absorption time increased (Table 1). Although the other antimicrobial hydrogels also formed clear zones, their antilisterial activities were lower (p<0.05) than those of antimicrobial hydrogel 1 (Table 1). This result indicates that antimicrobial hydrogel 1 has obvious antilisterial activity. However, the hardness of the antimicrobial hydrogels decreased after 120 min, regardless of the hydrogel type (data not shown). This effect may be associated with the released antimicrobials because of an opposite elasticity force, created by balancing the stretching of the network at the equilibrium (Ganji et al., 2010; Kojima et al., 1998). Hence, the optimum antimicrobial absorption time for the preparation of antimicrobial hydrogels was determined to be 120 min.

To determine if antimicrobial hydrogel 1 can be applied on the raw beef, the antimicrobial hydrogel 1 absorbed antimicrobials for 120 min was placed on *L. monocytogenes*-inoculated raw beef. The antimicrobial hydrogel 1 formulated with 0.1% GSE or 0.1% CE reduced *L. monocytogenes* cell counts by 1.1 (93.6%)–1.4 Log CFU/cm² (96.0%), but the hydrogel 1 formulated with no antimicrobials did not reduce *L. monocytogenes* cell counts (Table 2). Andritsos et al. (2013) showed that contamination level of *L. monocytogenes* levels in *L. monocytogenes*-positive samples was usually <100 CFU/g, and Khen et al. (2015) also showed that *L. monocytogenes* levels in *L. monocytogenes*-positive beef surfaces were 100–200 CFU/g. Thus, the reductions caused by the antimicrobial hydrogels should be meaningful. Several other studies have demonstrated that the 1% GSE was effective in inhibiting *L. monocytogenes* cell counts more than 2 Log CFU/g. In addition,



Fig. 1. Swelling ratio (SR) of four hydrogels treated with distilled water, 0.1% grapefruit seed extract (GSE), and 0.1% citrus extract (CE). A, hydrogel 1 (5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl₂+40% glycerol); B, hydrogel 2 (1% κ-carrageenan+1% chitosan); C, hydrogel 3 (2% κ-carrageenan+1% CaCl₂); D, hydrogel 4 (2% κ-carrageenan+3% CaCl₂).

Table 1. Clear zone sizes (mm; mean±SD) formed by antimicrobial hydrogels (1×1 cm) absorbed 0.1% grapefruit seed extract (GSE) or
0.1% citrus extract (CE) for 30, 60, 120, and 240 min against <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>

Hydrogel	Control	0.1% GSE			0.1% CE				
		30 min	60 min	120 min	240 min	30 min	60 min	120 min	240 min
1	0.0	$2.14{\pm}0.7^{aA}$	$2.40{\pm}0.5^{aA}$	$2.57{\pm}0.5^{aA}$	-*	$3.00{\pm}0.5^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$3.29{\pm}1.0^{aA}$	$3.63{\pm}0.7^{aA}$	$2.80{\pm}0.8^{aA}$
2	0.0	$0.83{\pm}0.3^{\text{cB}}$	$1.06{\pm}0.2^{bcB}$	$1.19{\pm}0.3^{bB}$	$1.94{\pm}0.6^{aA}$	$0.82{\pm}0.3^{aB}$	$0.91{\pm}0.3^{aB}$	$0.98{\pm}0.3^{aB}$	$0.96{\pm}0.1^{aB}$
3	0.0	$0.23{\pm}0.1^{aC}$	$0.23{\pm}0.1^{aC}$	$0.23{\pm}0.1^{aC}$	$0.16{\pm}0.1^{aB}$	0.11 ± 0.1^{cC}	$0.28{\pm}0.2^{bC}$	$0.45{\pm}0.1^{aC}$	$0.43{\pm}0.1^{aC}$
4	0.0	$0.2{\pm}0.2^{bC}$	$0.35{\pm}0.2^{abC}$	$0.42{\pm}0.3^{aC}$	$0.23{\pm}0.1^{bB}$	$0.09{\pm}0.0^{cC}$	$0.53{\pm}0.2^{abBC}$	$0.71{\pm}0.3^{aBC}$	$0.44{\pm}0.3^{bC}$

* Hydrogel was dissolved thoroughly.

^{a-c} Different letters in a same row indicate significant differences between means at p<0.05.

^{A-C} Different letters in a same column indicate significant differences between means at p<0.05.

Hydrogel 1, 5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl₂+40% glycerol; Hydrogel 2, 1% κ -carrageenan+1% chitosan; Hydrogel 3, 2% κ -carrageenan+1% CaCl₂; Hydrogel 4, 2% κ -carrageenan+3% CaCl₂.

the edible coating containing nisin with 1% GSE was greatly enhanced the antimicrobial effect (ca., 2.8 Log CFU/g reductions at 4°C and 10°C) against *L. monocytogenes* on the meat sausage (Theivendran et al., 2006). Our research results showed that even less concentration of the antimicrobials than other studies as shown above reduced *L. monocytogenes* cell counts on the raw beef surface.

In conclusion, among the four hydrogels, antimicrobial hydrogel 1 (5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl₂+40% glycerol) treated with 0.1% GSE or 0.1% CE for 120 min was the most efficient formulation to reduce *L. monocytogenes* cell counts

Attachment time (min)	Control -	Hydrogel 1 (5% alginate+1% chitosan+0.2% CaCl ₂ +40% glycerol)			
		No antimicrobial	0.1% GSE	0.1% CE	
0	$4.4{\pm}0.2^{aA}$	4.4±0.2 ^{aA}	$4.4{\pm}0.2^{\text{ aA}}$	4.4±0.2 ^{aA}	
60	4.4±0.1 ^{aA}	4.2±0.1 ^{aA}	3.3 ± 0.4 bB	3.3±0.1 ^{bB}	

 Table 2. Listeria monocytogenes cell counts (Log CFU/cm²; mean±SD), recovered from raw beef surface with antimicrobial hydrogel 1 formulated with no antimicrobial, 0.1% grapefruit seed extract (GSE) or 0.1% citrus extract (CE)

^{a,b} Different letters in a same row indicate significant differences between means at p<0.05.

^{A,B} Different letters in a same column indicate significant differences between means at p<0.05.

on raw beef, which is a material for *Yukhoe*. Therefore, applying the antimicrobial hydrogel 1 should be useful in improving the food safety of *Yukhoe*.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ01199303)" Rural Development Administration, Korea.

References

Amsden B, Turner N. 1999. Diffusion characteristics of calcium alginate gels. Biotechnol Bioeng 65:605-610.

- Andritsos ND, Mataragas M, Paramithiotis S, Drosinos EH. 2013. Quantifying *Listeria monocytogenes* prevalence and concentration in minced pork meat and estimating performance of three culture media from presence/absence microbiological testing using a deterministic and stochastic approach. Food Microbiol 36:395-405.
- Bajpai AK, Shukla SK, Bhanu S, Kankane S. 2008. Responsive polymers in controlled drug delivery. Prog Polym Sci 33:1088-1118.
- Campo VL, Kawano DF, da Silva DB, Ivone C. 2009. Carrageenans: Biological properties, chemical modifications and structural analysis-A review. Carbohydr Polym 77:167-180.
- Carpentier B, Cerf O. 2011. Review-persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food industry equipment and premises. Int J Food Microbiol 145:1-8.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]. 2013. Vital signs: *Listeria* illnesses, deaths, and outbreaks-United States, 2009–2011. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 62:448-452.
- Chiarini E, Tyler K, Farber JM, Pagotto F, Destro MT. 2009. *Listeria monocytogenes* in two different poultry facilities: Manual and automatic evisceration. Poult Sci 88:791-797.
- Cvetnić Z, Vlanimir-knezević S. 2004. Antimicrobial activity of grapefruit seed and pulp ethanolic extract. Acta Pharm 54:243-250.
- Ganji F, Vasheghani-Farahani S, Vasheghani-Farahani E. 2010. Theoretical description of hydrogel swelling: A review. Iran Polym J 19:375-398.

- Indrawattana N, Nibaddhasobon T, Sookrung N, Chongsa-nguan M, Tungtrongchitr A, Makino S, Tungyong W, Chaicumpa W. 2011. Prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in raw meats marketed in Bangkok and characterization of the isolates by phenotypic and molecular methods. J Health Popul Nutr 29:26-38.
- Kamoun EA, Kenawy ES, Chen X. 2017. A review on polymeric hydrogel membranes for wound dressing applications: PVAbased hydrogel dressings. J Adv Res 8:217-233.
- Khen BK, Lynch OA, Carroll J, McDowell DA, Duffy G. 2015. Occurrence, antibiotic resistance and molecular characterization of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the beef chain in the Republic of Ireland. Zoonoses Public Health 62:11-17.
- Kim H, Oh H, Lee H, Kim S, Ha J, Lee J, Choi Y, Lee Y, Kim Y, Yoon Y, Lee S. 2018. Antimicrobial effect of phytochemicals to *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from slaughterhouses. J Food Hyg Saf 33:255-258.
- Kim S, Oh H, Lee H, Lee S, Ha J, Lee J, Choi Y, Yoon Y. 2017. Polymer hydrogels formulated with various cross-linkers for food-surface application to control *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Food Hyg Saf 32:443-446.
- Kojima M, Ando S, Kataoka K, Hirota T, Aoyagi K, Nakagami H. 1998. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study of swelling and water mobility in micronized low-substituted hydroxypropylcellulose matrix tablets. Chem Pharm Bull 46:324-328.
- Lakicevic B, Nastasijevic I, Raseta M. 2015. Sources of *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in retail establishments. Procedia Food Sci 5:160-163.
- Lee TW, Kim JC, Hwang SJ. 2003. Hydrogel patches containing triclosan for acne treatment. Eur J Pharm Biopharm 56:407-412.
- Mohamadnia Z, Zohuriaan-Mehr MJ, Kabiri K, Jamshidi A, Mobedi H. 2008. Ionically cross-linked carrageenan-alginate hydrogel beads. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 19:47-59.
- Oh H. 2017. Prevalence and genetic characteristics of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from slaughterhouses, farms, and humans in South Korea. Master's Thesis, Sookmyung Women's Univ., Seoul, Korea.
- Paşcalău V, Popescu V, Popescu GL, Dudescu MC, Borodi G, Dinescu AM, Moldovan M. 2013. Obtaining and characterizing alginate/k-carrageenan hydrogel cross-linked with adipic dihydrazide. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2013:380716.
- Pesavento G, Ducci B, Nieri D, Comodo N, Nostro AL. 2010. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of *Listeria* spp. isolated from raw meat and retail foods. Food Control 21:708-713.
- Rhoades JR, Duffy G, Koutsoumanis K. 2009. Prevalence and concentration of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Listeria monocytogenes* in the beef production chain: A review. Food Microbiol 26:357-376.
- Samelis J, Metaxopoulos J. 1999. Incidence and principal sourced of *Listeria* spp. and *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in processed meats and a meat processing plant. Food Microbiol 16:465-477.
- Schäfer DF, Steffens J, Barbosa J, Zeni J, Paroul N, Valduga E, Junges A, Backes GT, Cansian RL. 2017. Monitoring of contamination sources of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a poultry slaughterhouse. LWT-Food Sci Technol 86:393-398.
- Theivendran S, Hettiarachchy NS, Johnson MG. 2006. Inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* by nisin combined with grape seed extract or green tea extract in soy protein film coated on Turkey frankfurters. J Food Sci 71:M39-M44.
- Trad M, Miled W, Benlroufa S, Boughattas A, Benslama R, Fayala F, Bakhrouf A. 2018. Chitosan hydrogel-coated cotton fabric: Antibacterial, pH-responsiveness, and physical properties. J Appl Polym Sci 135:46645.
- Vitas AI, Garcia-Jalon VA. 2004. Occurrence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in fresh and processed foods in Navarra (Spain). Int J Food Microbiol 90:349-356.
- Wieczorek K, Dmowska K, Osek J. 2012. Prevalence, characterization, and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from bovine hides and carcasses. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:2043-2045.