

**TITLE PAGE**  
**- Food Science of Animal Resources -**  
**Upload this completed form to website with submission**

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
<b>Article Type</b>	Review article
<b>Article Title</b>	Study on the history and characteristics of Korean native cattle: Hanwoo
<b>Running Title (within 10 words)</b>	Characteristics of Hanwoo
<b>Author</b>	Da Young Lee <sup>1</sup> , Ermie Jr. Mariano <sup>1</sup> , Ji Won Park <sup>1</sup> , Seok Namkung <sup>1</sup> , So Young Choi <sup>1</sup> , Woo Jin Lee <sup>1</sup> , Ye Won Shin <sup>1</sup> , Chae Hyeon Bok <sup>1</sup> , Colin Venter <sup>1</sup> , Sungkwon Park <sup>2</sup> , Seong-Ho Choi <sup>3</sup> , Cheorun Jo <sup>4</sup> , Sun Jin Hur <sup>1,*</sup>
<b>Affiliation</b>	<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong 17546, Republic of Korea <sup>2</sup> Department of Food Science and Biotechnology, Sejong University, Seoul 05006, Republic of Korea <sup>3</sup> Department of Animal Science, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 28644, Republic of Korea <sup>4</sup> Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Center for Food and Bioconvergence and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Science, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea
<b>Special remarks</b> – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office	
<b>ORCID (All authors must have ORCID)</b> <a href="https://orcid.org">https://orcid.org</a>	Da Young Lee ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3172-0815">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3172-0815</a> ) Ermie Jr. Mariano ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2630-4603">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2630-4603</a> ) Ji Won Park ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9500-5763">https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9500-5763</a> ) Seok Namkung ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4533-7971">https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4533-7971</a> ) Soyoung Choi ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6034-4745">https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6034-4745</a> ) Woojin Lee ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5946-601X">https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5946-601X</a> ) Yewon Shin ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2758-9238">https://orcid.org/0009-0004-2758-9238</a> ) Chaehyeon Bok ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8668-8172">https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8668-8172</a> ) Colin Venter ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4142-5809">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4142-5809</a> ) Sungkwon Park ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7684-9719">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7684-9719</a> ) Seong-Ho Choi ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8869-0218">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8869-0218</a> ) Cheorun Jo ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2109-3798">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2109-3798</a> ) Sun Jin Hur ( <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9386-5852">https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9386-5852</a> )
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.)	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
<b>Acknowledgements</b> State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.)	This research was supported by the Chung-Ang University Graduate Research Scholarship in 2025. This work was also supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.2023R1A2C100608811).
<b>Author contributions</b> (This field may be published.)	Conceptualization: Hur SJ. Data curation: Lee DY, Mariano EJ, Park JW, Namkung S, Choi S, Lee W, Shin Y, Bok C. Investigation: Lee DY, Mariano EJ, Park JW, Namkung S, Choi S, Lee W, Shin Y, Bok C. Validation: Lee DY, Venter C, Park S, Choi S, Jo C Writing - original draft: Lee DY. Hur SJ. Writing - review & editing: Lee DY, Mariano EJ, Park JW, Namkung S, Choi S, Lee W, Shin Y, Bok C, Venter C, Park S, Choi S, Jo C, Hur SJ.
<b>Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC)</b> (This field may be published.)	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

7 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION**

<b>For the <u>corresponding</u> author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)</b>	<b>Fill in information in each box below</b>
First name, middle initial, last name	Sun Jin Hur
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	hursj@cau.ac.kr
Secondary Email address	
Postal address	Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, 4726 Seodong-daero, Daedeok-myeon, Anseong-si, Gyeonggi 17456, Republic of Korea
Cell phone number	
Office phone number	+82 31 670 4673
Fax number	+ 82 31 670 3108

8  
9

## Abstract

Hanwoo is a premium beef product highly valued by Korean consumers for its exceptional flavor and taste. However, despite its high domestic market price, it remains relatively unknown in international markets. Therefore, this study aims to explore the history and characteristics of Hanwoo to enhance understanding and seek opportunities for global market expansion. Although the exact origin of Hanwoo is unclear, it is presumed to have migrated to the Korean Peninsula from Africa, the Middle East, or northern China and Manchuria. Over time, frequent regional exchanges may have led to genetic interbreeding between Hanwoo and cattle from China and Japan. Hanwoo is classified into four primary coat color types—yellow-brown, black, white, and spotted—but more than 90% of Hanwoo exhibit a yellow-brown coat. Hanwoo has been selectively bred to enhance marbling and fat content, and it has been shown to exhibit higher intramuscular fat and marbling scores compared to foreign breeds. Furthermore, other Korean breeds such as Heukwoo and Jeju black Cattle have demonstrated higher levels of oleic acid and amino acids than Hanwoo, suggesting even richer flavor profiles. These characteristics indicate that Hanwoo possesses competitive potential in the global premium beef market. Developing differentiated branding strategies based on breed-specific traits could further promote Hanwoo exports. However, since Hanwoo has been selectively bred according to the preferences of Korean consumers, additional research is needed to assess its acceptance in other major international markets. Moreover, limited production volume and high production costs present significant challenges for large-scale exports.

**Keywords:** hanwoo, yanbian yellow cattle, wagyu, market status, meat characteristics

## 1. Introduction

Korea, China, and Japan are located in East Asia, a region where each country has its own native cattle breeds. Most of these breeds are humpless and are taxonomically classified as *Bos taurus* (Mannen et al., 2004). While the domestication history of Hanwoo, the native Korean cattle breed, has not been fully documented, some evidence suggests that cattle in East Asia migrated from the Fertile Crescent, Mongolia, and northern China, through the Korean Peninsula and eventually into Japan (Mannen et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2002).

In Korea, approximately 3.8 million Hanwoo cattle are raised, and they are typically fattened for an average of 30 months until they reach a live weight of 700–800 kg before slaughter (MAFRA, 2024). Due to its high fat content, Hanwoo is regarded as a premium beef product and is highly preferred by Korean consumers, commanding significantly higher prices than imported beef. Although the specific reasons for this preference have not been clearly identified, Hanwoo has been reported to have superior intramuscular fat content, ossification scores, and marbling scores compared to Australian Angus. Furthermore, its oleic acid content (50.62%) is higher than that of Australian crossbreeds (40.09%) and American crossbreeds (44.15%) (Joo et al., 2017; Hwang and Joo, 2017). Larick and Turner (1990) also reported that oleic acid plays a significant role in influencing the flavor of cooked beef. Increased intramuscular fat contributes to improved flavor, juiciness, and overall consumer acceptance, and is particularly suited to grilling, a common cooking method in Korean cuisine (Joo et al., 2017).

Despite of the previously mentioned quality attributes, Hanwoo has relatively low international recognition compared to Japanese Wagyu or European breeds. Unlike Japanese and European cattle that are actively raised and distributed in countries such as the United States and Australia, Hanwoo is primarily consumed domestically, and its total production

volume is limited. In addition, the lack of aggressive trade promotion policies has resulted in minimal export activity for Hanwoo (Seo, 2023).

Moreover, research and academic literature on Hanwoo remain limited compared to major international beef breeds. Government-level promotional efforts and export support policies are also insufficient (Seo, 2023). Therefore, the objective of this study is to analyze the history, breed characteristics, meat quality traits, and market status of Hanwoo, and to establish a theoretical foundation for evaluating its international competitiveness through comparison with other globally recognized beef breeds.

## **2. History of livestock in East Asia**

Identifying the precise ancestors of beef cattle, as well as the timeline and methods of their improvement and regional distribution, remains challenging. However, Korea, China, and Japan—the major economic powers in East Asia—were historically connected as one continent and engaged in numerous exchanges over time. These interactions likely influenced livestock development across the region. The major cattle breeds of Korea, China, and Japan—Hanwoo (Korea), Yanbian yellow cattle (China), and Wagyu (Japan)—have the same origin but have been genetically modified according to national interest, direction of improvement, and history of breeding (Shin et al., 1999). However, Asian cattle breeds have been shown to have higher genetic diversity than European and African breeds (Sharma et al., 2016). The origin and domestication of Hanwoo has been debated, with many suggestions including a crossbreed of *Zebu* and *Taurine* cattle or independent domestication (Han, 1996; Yoon et al., 2005; Mannen et al., 2004). In a recent study, McTavish et al. (2013) explained that the Hanwoo and Wagyu are of hybrid *Taurine-Indian* origin, and PCA plots showed that Hanwoo and Wagyu are different from Hereford and Angus cattle (Lee et al., 2014). Cattle in China have been domesticated since about 11,000-10,000 B.C. and may have originated from the *Bos taurus/indicus* group (Zhang et al., 2013). In addition, Japan

has four distinct breeds (Japanese Black, Brown, Polled, and Shorthorn), and it is possible that the P haplogroup of Northeast Asian cattle migrated eastward to reach Japan (Kawaguchi et al., 2022; Mannen et al., 2020). Based on current research, Hanwoo, Yanbian yellow cattle and Wagyu share the same origin but have been geographically separated and selectively bred for different purposes, leading to increasing genetic divergence. Therefore, further research is needed to understand the origin of Hanwoo and its genetic relationship with neighboring breeds.

### 3. History and Breeds of Hanwoo

Hanwoo is known to be a *Bos taurus* type breed that originated from a hybrid of European cattle *Bos primigenius* and Indian cattle *Bos indicus* and is known to have been introduced to the Korean Peninsula via northern China and Manchuria (National Folk Museum of Korea, 2020). In addition, it is confirmed that it has been bred for more than 5,000 years for agricultural and transportation purposes due to its small body size and well-developed forelimbs that can withstand the mountainous terrain of Korea, and its low feed intake and slow maturation (di Caracalla, 1994; Lee et al., 2014; National Folk Museum of Korea, 2020).

It was bred primarily for agricultural purposes before the 1960s, but it was not until the 1960s, when Korea began to experience high economic growth, that it was significantly improved as beef cattle (National Folk Museum of Korea, 2020). Before improvement, the calf live weight of Hanwoo was about 2-30 kg, and the adult live weight reached 300-400 kg. By improving the high fat content (marbling) preferred by Koreans, it was bred into a medium-to large-sized breed with an adult live weight of 700-800 kg (Kim et al., 2014; MAFRA, 2024).

Based on fur color, Korean cattle are largely divided into four types: Korean yellow-brown cattle, Korean black cattle, Korean brown cattle, and White Hanwoo (Figure 1). Hanwoo, the most representative breed with its tan color, has a population of approximately 3.8 million head (MAFRA, 2024). In contrast, Chickso, Korean black cattle, and White

Hanwoo are kept at approximately 4000, 1400, and 20 heads, respectively (Choi, 2015; Kang, 2018; Suh, 2021). Since the adoption of the Convention on Biological Diversity, global interest in preserving genetic resources and securing genetic diversity has increased. Consequently, research efforts have intensified to restore and multiply endangered conventional cattle breeds, resulting in an increase in the number of Korean black cattle and Chickso since the 2000s (NIAS, 2010). In 2012, Tan Hanwoo, Korean black cattle, and Chickso were registered in the Domestic Animal Diversity Information System of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. White Hanwoo was listed in 2014, and Jeju Korean black cattle were designated as a national cultural property, Natural Monument No. 546, in 2013 (Kim et al., 2012; Suh et al., 2015). However, despite these efforts, only the tan-colored Hanwoo is economically viable, while the population of Korean black cattle, Chickso, and White Hanwoo remains limited.

In recent years, as consumer preferences have diversified, demand has increased for rare and high-end Hanwoo varieties, such as Chickso, Jeju Korean black cattle, and Whasik (cooked feed) cattle raised on conventional troughs. However, conventional breeds such as Chickso and Korean black cattle tend to accumulate less intramuscular fat (IMF) compared to that of Hanwoo, resulting in lower feed efficiency (Hoa et al., 2024). Therefore, they are disadvantaged when evaluated under the same grading system as Hanwoo, highlighting the need for breed-specific assessment criteria. Despite this, research on tailored grading methods remains limited. Since Chickso and Korean black cattle have high production costs, developing a method to enhance the marketability of premium roasting cuts and increase the value of less-preferred parts is necessary.

#### **4.1. Hanwoo**

Hanwoo commonly refers to the traditional brown cattle to Korea; however, in a broader context, it encompasses all native Korean cattle breeds. Under this inclusive definition, Hanwoo includes not only the brown Hanwoo but also other traditional Korean breeds such as the Korean black cattle, Chikso, and White Hanwoo, which differ in coat color and genetic characteristics. (Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee, 2018) (Figure 1). In this review, the term Hanwoo refers to the brown-coated/haired native Korean cattle breeds.

For thousands of years since their introduction to the Korean peninsula, Hanwoo has been kept mainly as agricultural draught cattle. Several attempts to improve the breed began in the late 1960s with the introduction of exotic cattle as part of the Hanwoo breeding improvement project (Won et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2014). However, the strong consumer perception that only yellow-coated cattle qualify as Hanwoo led to the discontinuation of crossbreeding with exotic cattle of different coat colors. Consequently, Hanwoo improvement has mainly relied on nonbreeding methods and optimized feeding strategies.

Although European meat and dairy breeds are typically slaughtered at 21–23 months of age, Hanwoo are often slaughtered at 30–55 months. Currently, Korean consumers prefer well-marbled meat, making marbling the primary criterion in the quality grading system for Hanwoo (GS&J Institute, 2016; Joo et al., 2017). Their preference for IMF content and the flavor of heated fat, rather than the flavor of lean meat, has driven the selective breeding of highly marbled Hanwoo.

## **4.2. Chickso**

The Chickso (Korean brindle cattle, tiger cattle) is one of the oldest indigenous cattle breeds, alongside Hanwoo. It is also known as the Hoban Hanwoo because of its dark brown and black vertical stripes, which resemble arrowroot vines on a tan background. Historically, Chik Hanwoo was a term used for castrated cattle-fed arrowroot, but it has no relation to the



154 Chickso, one of the breeds of Hanwoo. Once commonly known as speckled cattle, the Chickso  
155 are currently only approximately 4,000 head left. In response, various local governments have  
156 implemented breeding programs to preserve the breed (Sohn et al., 2000). However, due to the  
157 small breeding population and the challenges of natural reproduction, Chickso faces a high risk  
158 of genetic inbreeding. The lack of a structured pedigree management and breeding system  
159 further exacerbates this issue. Additionally, widespread perceptions of Chickso as inferior to  
160 Hanwoo regarding growth and carcass performance may contribute to their continued decline.  
161 Claims that Chickso is classified and registered as Hanwoo in the Cattle traceability system are  
162 ongoing (Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency, 2023), but there are frequent cases of non-  
163 Hanwoo being registered as beef cattle due to their different appearance. Therefore, measures  
164 should be taken to establish Chickso as a separate breed within the Korean cattle classification.

165 Comparative studies between Chikso and Hanwoo have been conducted, focusing on  
166 chromosomal patterns, karyotypes, genotypes, and growth characteristics. Song et al. (2018)  
167 analyzed the genotypes of Chikso and Hanwoo and reported that Hanwoo exhibited higher  
168 genetic diversity than Chikso; however, the differences between the two breeds were not clearly  
169 defined. In contrast, Choy et al. (2015) demonstrated that individual identification and parentage  
170 verification of Chikso could be achieved using 11 microsatellite markers, supporting the  
171 feasibility of genetic differentiation between the two breeds. Furthermore, Lee et al. (2013)  
172 reported that, in terms of carcass quality traits, Hanwoo showed superior quality in cattle with  
173 the CC genotype, whereas Chikso exhibited better quality in those with the CG genotype.  
174 Several patents related to Chikso-specific parentage verification compositions also exist (Lee  
175 and Choy, 2016; Sohn et al., 2000; Park et al., 2012).

176 Taken together, these previous studies provide scientific evidence—through genetic  
177 analysis, identification markers, carcass trait differentiation, and parentage verification

methods—that significant differences exist between Chikso and Hanwoo. Therefore, Chikso can be regarded as an independent breed, distinguishable from Hanwoo.

Chickso weighs less than Hanwoo at birth (Lee and Choy, 2016) and requires at least 33 months of management, up to three times the age of Hanwoo, to meet the carcass grading standards of Hanwoo. Therefore, opinions that differentiate management strategies are needed to compensate for their disadvantages, such as low IMF deposition, slow fattening rate, and high production cost. Although Chickso has less marbling than Hanwoo, studies report that they contain a higher oleic acid content, which gives the meat a savory flavor, making it more tender and unique (Utama et al., 2018). Chickso exhibits a redder meat color and a stronger flavor than that of Hanwoo, necessitating further research and commercialization efforts in aging, processing, cutting, and cooking methods. Therefore, research on the meat quality and functional characteristics of Chickso is necessary to establish it as a distinct breed different from Hanwoo and lay the foundation for its industrialization.

#### **4.3. Korean black cattle**

The Korean black cattle closely resemble regular Hanwoo, but they are smaller in size, have black fur all over, and exhibit a strong constitution and good endurance. However, due to their slow growth rate and small body size, they require economic management (Moon, 2012). Currently, domestic Korean black cattle are classified into Jeju Korean black cattle and inland Korean black cattle, with the former found exclusively on Jeju Island and the latter in other inland regions. Although they belong to the same breed, Jeju Korean black cattle typically have a darker black coat than that of inland Korean black cattle (Kang, 2018). The Jeju Korean black cattle are recognized as an indigenous genetic resource that has been bred only in Jeju. Since 1994, they have been specially protected and managed in Jeju, with regulations prohibiting the export of resources (such as semen and fertilized eggs) outside the

province to protect and nurture them (Kim, 2006). Historical records, such as the *Tamna Ginyeon*, *Tamana Sunryeokdo*, and *The Annals of the Joseon Dynasty*, indicate the use of black cattle as incense and delicacies, highlighting their significant historical and cultural value. Until the 1980s, the cattle industry policy focused on meat production, which led to a significant population decline, nearing extinction. However, since 1993, with support from the Jeju Island local government, breeding efforts have been revitalized, and the cattle population has steadily increased (Kim, 2006). Owing to its small population, limited distribution, and slow growth efficiency, Jeju Korean black cattle currently lack the competitiveness for full industrialization. However, if the number of raised cattle is increased using technologies such as *in-vivo* embryo production and transplantation, coupled with systematic meat quality analysis, Jeju Korean black cattle could be developed into a meat product with international competitiveness alongside Korean beef (Alam et al., 2021; Moon, 2012).

#### **4.4. White Hanwoo**

White Hanwoo is a traditional Korean cattle resource that exhibits albinism traits with no pigmentation in the hair and retina due to a base sequence mutation at position c.871 in the exon 2 region of the Tyrosinase gene (TYR gene) (Kim et al., 2015c; Kim et al., 2021). Due to this unique color pattern, it can be used as an important research material for genetic and trait expression studies, but it is currently at risk of extinction due to the extremely small number of existing individuals (Kim et al., 2015c). Since its first report in 2007, White Hanwoo has been preserved and managed by the National Institute of Animal Science, Livestock Genetic Resources Center, and is listed as a unique livestock genetic resource in Korea in the Domestic Animal Diversity Information System (DAD-IS) of the FAO. Various studies are being conducted to preserve White Hanwoo, and biotechnology approaches including artificial insemination and genetic analysis are being applied (Kim et al., 2021). Kim

et al. (2015b) reported that the breed belongs to *Bos taurus* and is genetically closely related to black cattle and Yanbian yellow cattle through mtDNA cytochrome b gene analysis of White Hanwoo. In addition, haplotype diversity and base sequence diversity analyses performed to evaluate genetic diversity showed that White Hanwoo showed relatively low genetic diversity compared to Korean cattle or mud cattle (Kim et al., 2015b; Kim et al., 2021). In addition, a study on individual preservation using cloning technology was conducted, and as a result of comparing the hematological indicators between cloned White Hanwoo and general Hanwoo, no abnormalities in health were found in cloned individuals (Kim et al., 2015a). These results suggest that cloning technology can be used to preserve White Hanwoo while maintaining its physiological stability. To date, multifaceted research has been continuously conducted to identify genetic, nutritional, and physiological characteristics of the White Hanwoo, along with artificial insemination and cloning research to increase the population of the White Hanwoo (Kim et al., 2021; National Institute of Animal Science, 2014).

## **5. Current status of the Hanwoo beef industry**

South Korea is undergoing rapid demographic and lifestyle changes, significantly affecting the food industry (Cha and Lee, 2021). As per capita income rises, consumers are investing in food to make their meals more delicious and are increasingly seeking foods that boost immunity. Meat consumption in South Korea has been steadily increasing, reaching 60 kg per capita in 2023 (KMTA, 2023). Accordingly, the population of Hanwoo has grown consistently since 2016, exceeding 3.5 million head in May 2022 (Statistics Korea, 2022b). In 2024, the number of livestock will exceed 3.8 million and over 1 million Hanwoo are expected to be slaughtered, the largest number on record (MAFRA, 2024). As of 2024, Korean beef accounts for about 5% of the total slaughtered cattle, pigs, and chickens, but it accounts for a high proportion of about 28.5% in terms of production value (KMTA, 2024; KAPE, 2020).

Therefore, it is expected that it will be important for the Korean Korean beef industry to have taste, quality, and safety to meet consumer preferences.

Efforts to improve the meat quality and increase marbling of Korean beef have continued steadily from the past to the present, and the appearance rate of Korean beef of grade 1 or higher has been steadily increasing (KAPE, 2024). In addition, according to the Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee (2024), the results of a survey of Korean consumers showed that Hanwoo received high scores in terms of taste, quality, and safety compared to beef and imported beef. However, the price satisfaction of Hanwoo did not show a big difference from that of beef and imported beef, but the total online shopping transaction amount has been increasing recently, and the demand for imported beef is increasing. Accordingly, it has been confirmed that Korea's beef self-sufficiency rate is gradually decreasing (Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee, 2024). According to Statistics Korea (2022a), the total transaction value of concentrated agricultural products in online shopping—an indicator of at-home meat consumption—rose 24% year-over-year to KRW 747.6 billion in August 2022. The convenience of online meat purchases has led to a significant increase in the consumption of imported beef, which is less expensive (Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee, 2024).

In addition, to stabilize and sustain the Korean beef industry, Hanwoo exports have been started since 2015. Currently, the countries available for export are Hong Kong, Macau, UAE, Cambodia, and Malaysia. Among them, Hong Kong has relatively easy quarantine procedures and high beef consumption, so it is currently exporting the most from Hong Kong (Seo, 2023; Korean Beef Export Research Group, 2021; Korean beef export information portal, 2025). Wagyu, which has similar meat quality to Hanwoo, is actively being exported from Japan to foreign countries. Wagyu exports are currently being exported stably overseas because the Central Livestock Association manages and supports them, but Korean beef still has issues

such as budget and slaughter volume, so it is expected that much effort will be needed (Seo, 2023; Korean Beef Export Research Group, 2021).

Therefore, experts argue that it is essential to develop a variety of domestically produced native cattle breeds with excellent meat quality to compete with imported breeds. Accordingly, a single-breed-centered production structure is required, and a premium market differentiation strategy based on breed diversity is required. In addition, to secure the stability of overseas exports, along with the establishment of distribution and marketing strategies, policy support and expansion of research investment for a sustainable Korean beef industry should be carried out in parallel.

## **6. Comparative study of quality characteristics of Hanwoo beef**

Korea has implemented a livestock grading system since 1992, and the carcass characteristics and quality of Hanwoo beef, including carcass weight and marbling score, have been continuously improving (Cho et al., 2010; Joo et al., 2017). The marbling score is the primary determinant of Hanwoo beef grading, which is expressed as 1<sup>++</sup>, 1<sup>+</sup>, 1, 2, and 3. On average, 54% of slaughtered Hanwoo steers and 75.7% of Hanwoo cows receive a grade of 1 or higher, whereas only 10.7% of Holstein steers and 0.6% of Holstein cows meet that threshold (Cho et al., 2010). These results reflect Hanwoo's status as a high-marbling breed and the increasing proportion of higher-grade carcasses over time (Cho et al., 2010). These results reflect Hanwoo's status as a high-marbling breed and the increasing proportion of higher-grade carcasses over time.

Due to this quality, cases of imported or crossbred beef being falsely marketed as Hanwoo have increased. In response, since 2009, the distribution channels of all Hanwoo cattle have been traceable, and detailed information from "farm to table" has been made accessible to consumers through individual identification numbers (Hwang, 2010). In Korea, carcass

grading is mandatory for both cattle and pigs, and key data—such as birth, production, husbandry, vaccination, and slaughter history—are systematically recorded and shared with consumers (Go, 1996; Jung, 2017). These animal identification and traceability systems have contributed effectively to quality assurance, fraud prevention, and consumer trust in Hanwoo beef.

The Hanwoo breed is typically raised for approximately 30 months and is renowned for its high genetic potential to produce beef with high marbling (Joo et al., 2017), as well as rich flavor due to its high monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) ratio (Joo et al., 2017). In Korea, Hanwoo is classified into quality grades 1++, 1+, 1, and 2 based on marbling scores. Lim et al. (2014) classified 500 Hanwoo carcasses into quality grades 1++, 1+, 1, and 2 and analyzed the fat content. They report that the 1++ group has the highest fat content and the lowest moisture content. The 1++ group also reports lower shear force values than that of the other groups and shows higher preference scores for flavor, tenderness, and juiciness in sensory evaluations. Additionally, Hanwoo 1++ and 1+ grades have a higher oleic acid (C18:1) ratio than that of Grade 2. Therefore, as the grade increases, the fat content and oleic acid ratio are expected to increase, which will promote the expression of flavor compounds during cooking and support competitiveness as high-quality meat (Larick and Turner, 1990).

Methods to increase IMF for improving marbling of beef include the control of breeding months and feeding methods. In Korea, Hanwoo is bred for an average of 31 months, and Kwon et al. (2022) show slaughtering Hanwoo at weights of 651–700 kg and 701–750 kg at 28.23 and 29.83 months of age could optimize the quality and quantity grades of Hanwoo.

In addition, the feeding method for Korean cattle is divided into the growing and fattening seasons, and grain feed is fed during the fattening season to increase the IMF content and improve meat quality (Korea Improvement Agency, 2011). Hwang and Joo (2017) investigated the fatty acid profiles associated with meat quality and sensory palatability in

grain-fed and grass-fed Hanwoo, as well as American and Australian hybrid cattle. They report that grain-fed Hanwoo contains a significantly lower saturated fatty acid (SFA) ratio and a higher MUFA ratio than that of grass-fed beef. Additionally, grain-fed Hanwoo exhibits a significantly higher percentage of oleic acid than that of Australian hybrid and American hybrid cattle. Sensory panel scores for the overall palatability of grain-fed beef were significantly higher than that of grass-fed beef, with oleic acid content showing a strong positive correlation with fat content and overall palatability (Hwang and Joo, 2017). These results suggest that when fed grain feed, IMF and oleic acid contents are greater in Hanwoo than in foreign breeds, which is advantageous in producing meat with high marbling and sensory preference.

Comparisons of the quality of Hanwoo and imported breeds are mainly conducted through research on fatty acids, amino acids, IMF, and meat quality. Cho et al. (2011b) report that in a fatty acid composition study, Australian crossbred meat contains significantly higher contents of palmitic, stearic, and linolenic acids in the tip, loin, and rump than that of Hanwoo. However, Hanwoo contains significantly lower contents of palmitoleic, vaccenic, and eicosenoic acids. In addition, the IMF content in Hanwoo was twice as high as that of Angus, and its backfat thickness was twice as thick (Cho et al., 2005). In addition, Oh et al. (2012) investigated the nutritional composition of the Longissimus lumborum muscle in Korean beef (Hanwoo and Holstein, both born and raised in Korea) and imported beef (from Australia, reared in Korea for approximately 6 months). They found that Hanwoo exhibits a higher water-holding capacity than that of Holstein and Angus muscles, while the oxymyoglobin and metmyoglobin contents are similar across all three breeds. Hur et al. (2008) compared the meat quality of Hanwoo and Holstein bulls from Korea, reporting that Holstein contains higher crude protein and ash content, as well as a higher percentage of unsaturated fatty acids. However, sensory evaluations reveal that Hanwoo has a higher flavor than that of Holstein.



In addition, Hwang et al. (2004) compared the quality of sirloin from four Wagyu, four Angus, four Grade 1, and three Grade 3 Hanwoo during storage, reporting that Wagyu, Angus, Grade 1 Hanwoo and Grade 3 Hanwoo contain IMF of 22%, 8%, 13%, and 4%, respectively. No significant differences were observed in meat quality between Hanwoo grades or imported beef cattle. They report that imported beef has significantly lower shear force values than those of Hanwoo, while organoleptic evaluation and juiciness did not differ between grades of beef. However, Hanwoo beef scores significantly higher in flavor, indicating that its cooked meat is perceived to have a superior aroma. Cho et al. (2011a) compared the general composition, meat color, shear force, cooking loss, fatty acid composition, amino acid profile, and mineral content of castrated Hanwoo (26–28 months) grades 1+ and 1 with chilled imported beef from New Zealand. Samples included the tip, sirloin, rump, and tenderloin. The results show differences between Hanwoo and imported New Zealand black, but overall, Hanwoo contains higher IMF and MUFA content. Conversely, New Zealand black has relatively higher protein and amino acid content. New Zealand black also has a longer refrigeration age, although the shear force values are similar for sirloin, top sirloin, and rump cuts (Cho et al, 2011a).

In addition to comparing Hanwoo with foreign breeds, studies are being conducted to compare amino acids, fatty acids, meat quality, etc. by breed to increase the diversity of utilization of Korean native breeds and preserve the breed. Lee et al. (2019) compared the fatty acid and amino acid profiles of Jeju Korean black cattle, a Hanwoo breed, with those of Hanwoo and Wagyu, and the results show that Jeju Korean black cattle exhibit the highest oleic acid content, which enhances flavor, and the lowest palmitic acid content, which negatively affects flavor (Westerling and Hedrick, 1979; Lee et al., 2019). Regarding amino acids, Jeju Korean black cattle exhibit higher levels of alanine, which enhances flavor, while Hanwoo has the highest content of glutamic acid, which contributes to umami (Lee et al., 2019). Lee et al. (2025) conducted a comparative study on meat quality, including fatty acid

content, amino acid profiles, and transcriptome profiles, in Hanwoo, Korean black cattle, and Jeju Korean black cattle. The results show that Hanwoo exhibits higher levels of SFA, such as pentadecanoic, palmitic, and margaric acids, than that of the other breeds. In contrast, Korean black cattle show higher levels of linolenic acid, an omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid. Additionally, the free amino acid profile reveals that Hanwoo and Jeju Korean black cattle have significantly higher levels of glutamic acid, glycine, and phenylalanine than those of Korean black cattle. Utama et al. (2018) compared the meat quality of Hanwoo and Chickso, reporting that Hanwoo beef sirloin has a higher fat content (15.37%) than that of Chickso (12.01%), but no significant differences were observed. No significant differences were observed in meat pH, water-holding capacity, cooking loss, shear force values, meat color, and fatty acid composition. However, they report that grilled Chickso emits a stronger aroma than that of grilled Hanwoo, based on total area units of identified volatiles. Among these volatiles, toluene, heptanal, octanal, and nonanal contents are higher in grilled Chickso (Utama et al., 2018).

From meat composition to sensorial characteristics, meat derived from Korean native cattle breeds provide numerous reasons on why these cattle breeds need support towards breed improvement and commercialization. When desirable characteristics have become stable among Korean native cattle breeds, Korean native cattle-derived products are expected to be sufficiently competitive in the overseas market. Thus, academic, industrial, and governmental efforts are important to improve quality, increase the production, and enhance regulatory support, respectively.

## **7. Conclusion**

Hanwoo is a premium beef product highly regarded by Korean consumers for its superior flavor and taste. However, Hanwoo remains relatively unknown in international markets.

Compared to foreign cattle breeds, Hanwoo exhibits a higher content of oleic acid, which contributes to marbling and flavor. Based on these quality characteristics, Hanwoo has the potential to compete in the high-end beef market alongside Japanese Wagyu and U.S. Prime-grade beef. In particular, by developing branding strategies focused on flavor profiles according to breed characteristics, and by tailoring products to suit grill-oriented consumption patterns, Hanwoo may enhance its export potential in global markets. However, since Hanwoo has been bred primarily to meet the preferences of Korean consumers, it remains uncertain whether its sensory qualities and perceived quality will receive similar evaluations in key overseas markets. Thus, further consumer-based studies are needed. Additionally, Hanwoo faces challenges such as limited production volume and high rearing costs, resulting in low price competitiveness. Nevertheless, for successful expansion into export markets, it is essential to improve production efficiency and adopt a strategic approach focused on global commercialization.

## References

- Alam M, Lee SH, Lee DH, Cho C, Park MN. 2021. Genetic analysis of major carcass traits of Korean Hanwoo males raised for thirty months. *Animals* 11(6):1792.
- Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency. 2023. Livestock traceability system operational manual. Available from: [https://www.mtrace.go.kr/pdf/beef\\_traceability\\_menuList.pdf](https://www.mtrace.go.kr/pdf/beef_traceability_menuList.pdf). Accessed at May 19, 2025.
- Cha SS, Lee SH. 2021. The effects of user experience factors on satisfaction and repurchase intention at online food market. *J Ind Distrib Bus* 12:7-13.
- Cho SH, Kang GH, Seong PN, Park BH, Jung SG, Kang SM, Kim YC, Kim JH, Kim DH. 2011a. Meat quality and nutritional properties of Hanwoo and imported New Zealand beef. *Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour* 31:935-943.

427 Cho SH, Kim JH, Park BY, Seong PN, Kang GH, Kim JH, Jung SG, Im SK, Kim DH. 2010.  
 428 Assessment of meat quality properties and development of palatability prediction model  
 429 for Korean Hanwoo steer beef. *Meat Sci* 86:236-242.

430 Cho SH, Park BY, Kim JH, Hwang IH, Lee JM. 2005. Fatty acid profiles and sensory  
 431 properties of Longissimus dorsi, Triceps brachii, and Semimembranosus muscles for  
 432 Korean Hanwoo and Australian Angus beef. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 18:1786-1793.

433 Cho SH, Seong PN, Kang GH, Park BY, Jung SK, Kang SM, Kim YC, Kim JI, Kim DH.  
 434 2011b. Meat quality and nutritional properties of Hanwoo and imported Australian beef.  
 435 *Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour* 31:772-781.

436 Choi SB, Cho CY, Kim JH, Byun MJ, Suh SW, Ko YG, Cho YM, Kim YS. 2015. The  
 437 Performance of growth traits in Korean domestic animal genetic resources; Chikso,  
 438 Heugu, and white Hanwoo. *J Korean Soc Int Agric* 27:398-404.

439 Choy YH, Seo JH, Park B, Lee SS, Choi JW, Jung KS, Kong HS. 2015. Studies on genetic  
 440 diversity and phylogenetic relationships of Chikso (Korea Native Brindle Cattle) using  
 441 the microsatellite marker. *J Life Sci* 25(6):624-630.

442 di Caracalla VDT. 1994. *Animal Genetic Resources Information Bulletin* - 14. Available  
 443 from: <https://www.fao.org/4/v5280t/v5280t00.pdf>. Accessed at May 20, 2025.

444 Go GC. 1996. Livestock product grading transaction promotion performance and result  
 445 analysis. *Korea Swine Association* 8:157-159.  
 446 <https://www.koreascience.or.kr/article/JAKO199645940699957.pdf>.

447 GS&J Institute. 2016. How to prevent the Korean beef policy from hurting the Korean beef  
 448 industry Retrieved from  
 449 [https://gsnj.re.kr/report/board.php?no=124720&page=2&code=pds&kind=REP&stext=](https://gsnj.re.kr/report/board.php?no=124720&page=2&code=pds&kind=REP&stext=&style=Subject&Category1=%B3%F3%BE%F7%C5%EB%BB%F3%20%BF%AC%B1%B8)  
 450 [&style=Subject&Category1=%B3%F3%BE%F7%C5%EB%BB%F3%20%BF%AC%B](https://gsnj.re.kr/report/board.php?no=124720&page=2&code=pds&kind=REP&stext=&style=Subject&Category1=%B3%F3%BE%F7%C5%EB%BB%F3%20%BF%AC%B1%B8)  
 451 [1%B8](https://gsnj.re.kr/report/board.php?no=124720&page=2&code=pds&kind=REP&stext=&style=Subject&Category1=%B3%F3%BE%F7%C5%EB%BB%F3%20%BF%AC%B1%B8). Accessed at April 7, 2025.

452 Han SW. 1996. The breed of cattle. *Breeds of Livestock* 148:160.

453 Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee. 2018. Types of Korean Beef. Available  
 454 from: <https://m.hanwooboard.or.kr/pages/info/cowkind.php>. Accessed at May 20. 2025.

455 Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee. 2024. 2023 Hanwoo Beef Consumption  
 456 Trend Monitoring Survey. Available from:  
 457 <https://m.hanwooboard.or.kr/pages/statistics/consumption/view.php?seq=12488&page=1>  
 458 . Accessed at May 20. 2025.

459 Hoa VB, Kim DG, Song DH, Ko JH, Kim HW, Bae IS, Kim YS, Cho SH. 2024 Quality  
 460 properties and flavor-related components of beef longissimus lumborum muscle from  
 461 four Korean native cattle breeds. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 44:832-848.

462 Hur SJ, Park GB, Joo ST. 2008. A comparison of the meat qualities from the Hanwoo  
 463 (Korean native cattle) and Holstein steer. *Food Bioprocess Technol* 1:196–200.

464 Hwang EG. 2010. A study on the effects of country of origin labeling and traceability system  
 465 for Hanwoo beef on safety and consumer trustworthiness. *Culinary Sci Hosp Res*  
 466 16:270-290.

467 Hwang IH, Park BY, Cho SH, Kim JH, Lee JM. 2004. Meat quality of highly marbled  
 468 imported beef with reference to Hanwoo beef. *J Anim Sci Technol* 46:659-666.

469 Hwang YH, Joo ST. 2017. Fatty acid profiles, meat quality, and sensory palatability of grain-  
 470 fed and grass-fed beef from Hanwoo, American and Australian crossbred cattle. *Korean*  
 471 *J Food Sci Anim Resour* 37:153-161.

472 Joo ST, Hwan YH, Frank D. 2017. Characteristics of Hanwoo cattle and health implications  
 473 of consuming highly marbled Hanwoo beef. *Meat Sci.* 132: 45-51.

474 Jung YB. 2017. Livestock Quarantine and Safety Livestock Products Food Guardian  
 475 Livestock Products History System. *KoSFA.* 6:80-87.

476 Kang DG. 2018. Analysis of Carcass, Meat Quality and Nutritional Components for the  
 477 Characterization of Quality Traits of Jeju Black Cattle. Ph. D. thesis, Jeju National Univ.  
 478 Jeju, Korea. <https://oak.jejunu.ac.kr/handle/2020.oak/23854>

479 Kawaguchi F, Nakamura M, Kobayashi E, Yonezawa T, Sasazaki S, Mannen H. 2022.  
 480 Comprehensive assessment of genetic diversity, structure, and relationship in four  
 481 Japanese cattle breeds by Illumina 50 K SNP array analysis. *Anim Sci* 93(1):e13770.

482 Kim H, Choe C, Seong HH. 2015a. Analysis of Growth and Hematologic Characteristics of  
 483 Endangered Korean Native Cattle. *J Embryo Transf* 30(3):175-181.

484 Kim J, Jin DH, Lee JY, Kim SC. 2021. Genetic Diversity and Haplogroup Classification of  
 485 White Hanwoo based on mtDNA D-loop sequences. *J agric life sci* 55(6):59–64.

486 Kim JH, Cho C, Kim S, Kim SW, Choi SB, Lee SS. 2015b. Phylogenetic characterization of  
 487 white hanwoo using the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene. *J Life Sci* 25(9):970-975.

488 Kim JH, Choi SB, Byun MJ, Kim YS, Kim MJ, Choi YH, Kim DH. 2012. National  
 489 Management System for Conservation of Livestock Genetic Resources: An Overview.  
 490 *Ann Anim Resour Sci* 23:142-148

491 Kim KS, Yeo JS, Choi CB. 2002. Genetic diversity of north-east Asian cattle based on  
 492 microsatellite data. *Animal Gen* 33:201-204.

493 Kim NS, Lee JJ, Dang CK, Jung YH, Cheo HJ. 2014. Prospect and retrospect on  
 494 improvement of Hanwoo (Korean cattle) for 50 Years. *Bull Anim Biotechnol* 6:1-13

495 Kim YH. 2006. Improvement of breeding and preservation of bloodlines of Jeju black cattle  
 496 and Korean cattle. *J Livest Improv* 11:40-47.  
 497 <https://koreascience.kr/article/JAKO200642871670080.pdf>.

498 Kim, SW, Choi J, Choe C, Kim D, Ko YG, So C, Seong HH. 2015c. Studies on the  
 499 estimation of pregnancy rate of white Hanwoo (albino Korean native cattle) with sexed  
 500 semen. *J Embryo Transf* 30:45-50.

501 Korea Improvement Agency. (2011). Feeding management for each growth stage of fattening  
 502 cattle. Available from: [https://www.limc.co.kr/management/ManageSpec\\_List.asp](https://www.limc.co.kr/management/ManageSpec_List.asp).  
 503 Accessed at May 19. 2025.

504 Korea Institute for Animal Products Quality Evaluation (KAPE). 2020. Hanwoo Market  
 505 Trend Analysis Report. Available from:  
 506 <https://www.ekape.or.kr/board/view.do?menuId=menu138629&boardNo=37612&dmlT>  
 507 [ype=SELECT&pageIndex=1&pageUnit=10&categoryNo=&tabIndex=&searchConditio](https://www.ekape.or.kr/board/view.do?menuId=menu138629&boardNo=37612&dmlT)  
 508 [n=SUBJECT&searchKeyword=#attachdown](https://www.ekape.or.kr/board/view.do?menuId=menu138629&boardNo=37612&dmlT). Accessed at May 19. 2025.

509 Korea Institute for Animal Products Quality Evaluation (KAPE). 2024. 2024 Anim al  
 510 Products Grading Statistical Yearbook. Available from:  
 511 <https://mtrace.go.kr/stats/stp/cts/anr/statsAnlrpt.do>. Accessed at March 27, 2025.

512 Korea Meat Distribution and Export Association (KMTA). 2023. Consumption Status.  
 513 Available from: [http://www.kmta.or.kr/kr/data/stats\\_spend.php/](http://www.kmta.or.kr/kr/data/stats_spend.php/). Accessed at Feb 28.  
 514 2025.

515 Korea Meat Distribution and Export Association (KMTA). 2024. Slaughter status. Available  
 516 from: [http://www.kmta.or.kr/kr/data/stats\\_slaughter.php](http://www.kmta.or.kr/kr/data/stats_slaughter.php). Accessed at May 20. 2025.

517 Korean beef export information portal. 2025. Export statistics data. Available from: [https://k-](https://k-beef.or.kr/web/kor/exportation/exportList)  
 518 [beef.or.kr/web/kor/exportation/exportList](https://k-beef.or.kr/web/kor/exportation/exportList). Accessed at May 19. 2025.

519 Korean Beef Export Research Group. 2021. Hanwoo Export Target Country Market Trends  
 520 and Eco-friendly Packaging Film Research Trend Report. Available from: [https://k-](https://k-beef.or.kr/web/kor/file/download/uu/09884dd9649d4d44ba9577762abbd54f)  
 521 [beef.or.kr/web/kor/file/download/uu/09884dd9649d4d44ba9577762abbd54f](https://k-beef.or.kr/web/kor/file/download/uu/09884dd9649d4d44ba9577762abbd54f). Accessed  
 522 at May 19. 2025.

523 Kwon KM, Nogoy KMC, Jeon HE, Han SJ, Woo HC, Heo SM, Hong HK, Lee JI, Lee DH,  
 524 Choi SH. 2022. Market weight, slaughter age, and yield grade to determine economic  
 525 carcass traits and primal cuts yield of Hanwoo beef. J Anim Sci Technol 64:143-154.

526 Larick DK, Turner BE. 1990. Headspace volatiles and sensory characteristics of ground beef  
 527 from forage-and grain-fed heifers. *J Food Sci* 55(3):649-654.  
 528 Lee CW, Choy YH. 2016. Chikso and Hanwoo, Compare Performances in their Growth. *J*  
 529 *Korean Soc Int Agric* 28.  
 530 Lee SH, Kim CN, Ko KB, Kim HK, Kim JM, Ryu YC. 2019. Comparisons of beef fatty acid  
 531 and amino acid characteristics between Jeju Black cattle, Hanwoo, and Wagyu breeds.  
 532 *Food Sci Anim Resour* 39:402-409.  
 533 Lee SH, Ko KB, Kim GH, Park JE, Ryu YC. 2025. Comparison of meat quality, including  
 534 fatty acid content and amino acid profile, and transcriptome profile among Hanwoo,  
 535 Korea black cattle, and Jeju black cattle. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 45:553-572.  
 536 Lee SH, Park BH, Sharma A, Dang CG, Lee SS, Choi TJ, Choy YH, Kim HC, Jeon KJ, Kim  
 537 SD, Yeon SH, Park SB, Kang HS. 2014. Hanwoo cattle: origin, domestication, breeding  
 538 strategies and genomic selection. *J Anim Sci Technol* 56:1-8.  
 539 Lee SK, Lee YS, Park S, Kim H, Choi SY, Lee JY, Kim KB, Park JW, Choi JW, Lee HK, Lee  
 540 SJ. 2013. Effect of g. 7516G> C SNP in FABP4 gene with carcass traits in Korean  
 541 brindle cattle and black cattle. *Ann Anim Resour Sci* 24(24):16-22.  
 542 Lim DG, Cha JS, Jo C, Lee KH, Kim JJ, Nam KC. 2014. Comparison of physicochemical  
 543 and functional traits of Hanwoo steer beef by the quality grade. *Korean J Food Sci Anim*  
 544 *Resour* 34:287-296.  
 545 Mannen H, Kohno M, Nagata Y, Tsuji S, Bradley DG, Yeo JS, Nyamsamba D, Zagdsuren Y,  
 546 Yokohama M, Nomura K, Amano T. 2004. Independent mitochondrial origin and  
 547 historical genetic differentiation in North Eastern Asian cattle. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*  
 548 32:539-544.  
 549 Mannen H, Tsuji S, Luftus RT, Bradley DG. 1998. Mitochondrial DNA variation and  
 550 evolution in Japanese black cattle (*Bos taurus*). *Genetics* 150:1169-75.



551 Mannen H, Yonezawa T, Murata K, Noda A, Kawaguchi F, Sasazaki S, Olivieri A, Achilli A,  
 552 Torroni, A. 2020. Cattle mitogenome variation reveals a post-glacial expansion of  
 553 haplogroup P and an early incorporation into northeast Asian domestic herds. *Sci Rep*  
 554 10(1):20842.

555 McTavish EJ, Decker JE, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Hillis DM. 2013. New World cattle show  
 556 ancestry from multiple independent domestication events. *PNAS* 110(15): E1398-  
 557 E1406.

558 Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (MAFRA). 2024. topicStats. Available from:  
 559 [https://datalab.mtrace.go.kr/portal/dataSet/topicStatsPage.do?clsId=CLS\\_0002&datasetI](https://datalab.mtrace.go.kr/portal/dataSet/topicStatsPage.do?clsId=CLS_0002&datasetId=DS_MST_0000000001)  
 560 [d=DS\\_MST\\_0000000001](https://datalab.mtrace.go.kr/portal/dataSet/topicStatsPage.do?clsId=CLS_0002&datasetId=DS_MST_0000000001). Accessed at March 27, 2025.

561 Moon YH. 2012. Comparison of quality characteristics among chilled loins obtained from  
 562 Jeju black cattle, Hanwoo and imported Australian beef. *J East Asian Soc Dietary Life*  
 563 22:497-505.

564 National Folk Museum of Korea. 2020. Encyclopedia of Korean Livelihood Systems  
 565 AGRICULTURE. Available from:  
 566 <https://folkency.nfm.go.kr/api/file/download/dictionary/261>. Accessed at May 20, 2025.

567 National Institute of Animal Science (NIAS). 2010. Prospects for industrialization of black  
 568 cattle and black cattle. Available from:  
 569 [https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814151125016&boardSeq](https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814151125016&boardSeqNum=273&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=92)  
 570 [Num=273&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=92](https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814151125016&boardSeqNum=273&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=92) Accessed at March 27, 2025.

571 National Institute of Animal Science (NIAS). 2014. Increase the number of white cattle,  
 572 faster, through embryo transfer. Available from:  
 573 [https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814150850297&boardSeq](https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814150850297&boardSeqNum=2774&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=145/)  
 574 [Num=2774&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=145/](https://www.nias.go.kr/front/prboardView.do?cmCode=M090814150850297&boardSeqNum=2774&columnName=&searchStr=&currPage=145/). Accessed at Feb 28, 2025.

575 Oh MR, Park BY, Seon PN, Cho S, Kang KH, Kim JH, Jeong SG, Lee JS, Jeong D. 2012.  
576 Comparison of meat color and nutritional composition of m. longissimus lumborum  
577 from domestic fed Hanwoo, Holstein and imported Angus steers. J Anim Sci Technol  
578 54:455-462.

579 Park JH, Lee HL, Kim YS, Kim JG. 2012. MC1R Genotypes, coat color, and muzzle  
580 phenotype variation in Korean native brindle cattle. J Anim Sci Technol 54:255-265.

581 Seo YS. 2023. Current status of Korean beef exports and measures to promote exports. J  
582 Anim Husbandry Food Sci Ind 12:71-72.  
583 [https://scienceon.kisti.re.kr/commons/util/originalView.do?cn=JAKO202332759597829](https://scienceon.kisti.re.kr/commons/util/originalView.do?cn=JAKO202332759597829&oCn=JAKO202332759597829&dbt=JAKO&journal=NJOU00548542)  
584 [&oCn=JAKO202332759597829&dbt=JAKO&journal=NJOU00548542](https://scienceon.kisti.re.kr/commons/util/originalView.do?cn=JAKO202332759597829&oCn=JAKO202332759597829&dbt=JAKO&journal=NJOU00548542).

585 Sharma A, Lee SH, Lim D, Chai HH, Choi BH, Cho Y. 2016. A genome-wide assessment of  
586 genetic diversity and population structure of Korean native cattle breeds. BMC genetics  
587 17:1-9.

588 Shin WJ, Shen XJ, Zheng ZY, Kim JW, Lee JH, Yeo JS. 1999. Genetic characteristics for  
589 Hanwoo, Yanbian yellow cattle and Wagyu using DNA Markers. Korean J Anim Sci  
590 41:405-410.

591 Sohn SH, Lee CY, Kim DH, Park KB, Lee JG, Shin CG, Chung HS, Kwack SC, Park MK,  
592 Chun MS, Baik CS, Ko YD. 2000. Chromosomal pattern and karyotype of the Korean  
593 Native Striped cattle Chikso. Korean J Anim Sci 42:1-8.

594 Song JS, Seong HS, Choi BH, Lee CW, Hwang NH, Lim D, Lee JH, Kim JS, Kim JD, Park  
595 YS, Choi JW, Kim JB. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of Hanwoo and Chikso populations  
596 using the BovineSNP50 genotyping array. G&G 40:1373-1382.

597 Statistics Korea. 2022a. August 2022 Online Shopping Trends. Available from:  
598 <https://kostat.go.kr/synap/skin/doc.html?fn=c96f1e1aa923c68c5932810a9364409cfeec3>

db8433d20ee27d673e51589a844&rs=/synap/preview/board/241. Accessed at March 19,  
2025.

Statistics Korea. 2022b. 2022 2nd Quarter Livestock Statistics. Available from:  
[https://kostat.go.kr/board.es?mid=a20102100000&bid=11717&act=view&list\\_no=419662](https://kostat.go.kr/board.es?mid=a20102100000&bid=11717&act=view&list_no=419662). Accessed at March 19, 2025.

Suh SW, Cho CY, Kim YS, Byun MJ, Choi SB, Cho YM, Bae KH, Kim JH. 2015. Molecular genetic considerations of Jeju black cattle using microsatellite markers. *J Agric Life Sci* 49:57-65.

Suh SW, Kim DH, Kim SW, Park BH, Choi TJ, Park MN, Park YS, Kim ES, Jung KS, Jung DJ, Beak JJ, Oh JD. 2021. Genetic Diversity and Bottleneck Analysis of Chikso Based on Microsatellite Marker Polymorphism. *J Agric Life Sci* 55:83-90.

Utama DT, Lee CW, Park YS, Jang A, Lee SK. 2018. Comparison of meat quality, fatty acid composition and aroma volatiles of Chikso and Hanwoo beef. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci* 31:1500-1506.

Westerling DB, Hedrick HB. 1979. Fatty acid composition of bovine lipids as influenced by diet, sex and anatomical location and relationship to sensory characteristics. *J Anim Sci* 48:1343-1348.

Won YS, Jung YH, Seo GS. 2009. Hanwoo Consulting Guidelines (Chapter 2). Available from: <https://hanwoocf.com/sub/d9.php>. Accessed at Feb 10, 2025.

Yoon DH, Park EW, Lee SH, Lee HK, Oh SJ, Cheong IC, Hong KC. 2005. Assessment of genetic diversity and relationships between Korean Cattle and other cattle breeds by microsatellite loci. *J Anim Sci Technol* 47:341-354.

Zhang H, Paijmans J, Chang F, Wu X, Chen G, Lei C, Yang X, Wei Z, Bradley D, Orlando L, O'Connor T, Hofreiter M. 2013. Morphological and genetic evidence for early Holocene cattle management in northeastern China. *Nat Commun* 4(1):2755.



Figure 1. Types of Korean native cattle breed (top left: Hanwoo, top right: Chikso, bottom left: Korean black cattle, and bottom right: White Hanwoo). Adapted from Hanwoo Self-help Fund Management Committee (2018).