

1
2
3
4

TITLE PAGE
- Food Science of Animal Resources -
Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Review article
Article Title (within 20 words without abbreviations)	The Effect of Irradiation on Meat Products
Running Title (within 10 words)	How Irradiation affects meat
Author	Yea-Ji Kim, Ji Yoon Cha, Tae-Kyung Kim, Jae Hoon Lee, Samooel Jung, Yun-Sang Choi
Affiliation	Research Group of Food Processing, Korea Food Research Institute, Wanju 55365, Republic of Korea
ORCID (for more information, please visit https://orcid.org)	Yea-Ji Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0937-5100) Ji Yoon Cha (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1694-4343) Tae-Kyung Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6349-4314) Jae Hoon Lee (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7440-6842) Samooel Jung (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8116-188X) Yun-Sang Choi (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8060-6237)
Competing interests	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
Funding sources State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available.	This research was supported by Main Research Program (E0211200-04) of the Korea Food Research Institute (KFRI) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (Republic of Korea).
Acknowledgements	This research was supported by Main Research Program (E0211200-04) of the Korea Food Research Institute (KFRI) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (Republic of Korea).
Availability of data and material	Conceptualization: Jeon EY, Choi YS. Formal analysis: Jeon, EY, Kim Y, Yun HJ. Writing - original draft: Jeon, EY, Kim Y, Yun HJ, Kim BK, Choi YS. Writing - review & editing: Jeon, EY, Choi YS.
Authors' contributions Please specify the authors' role using this form.	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.
Ethics approval and consent to participate	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

5
6

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below
First name, middle initial, last name	Yun-Sang Choi
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	kcys0517@kfri.re.kr,
Secondary Email address	
Address	Research Group of Food Processing, Korea Food Research Institute, Wanju 55365, Korea
Cell phone number	
Office phone number	82-63-219-9387

Fax number	82-63-219-9076
------------	----------------

7
8

ACCEPTED

9 **Abstract**

10 The effects of irradiation on meat constituents including water, proteins, and lipids are
11 multifaceted. Irradiation leads to the decomposition of water molecules, resulting in the
12 formation of free radicals that can have both positive and negative effects on meat quality and
13 storage. Although irradiation reduces the number of microorganisms and extends the shelf
14 life of meat by damaging microbial DNA and cell membranes, it can also accelerate the
15 oxidation of lipids and proteins, particularly sulfur-containing amino acids and unsaturated
16 fatty acids. With regard to proteins, irradiation affects both myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic
17 proteins. Myofibrillar proteins, such as actin and myosin, can undergo depolymerization and
18 fragmentation, thereby altering protein solubility and structure. Sarcoplasmic proteins,
19 including myoglobin, undergo structural changes that can alter meat color. Collagen, which is
20 crucial for meat toughness, can undergo an increase in solubility owing to irradiation-induced
21 degradation. The lipid content and composition are also influenced by irradiation, with
22 unsaturated fatty acids being particularly vulnerable to oxidation. This process can lead to
23 changes in the lipid quality and the production of off-odors. However, the effects of
24 irradiation on lipid oxidation may vary depending on factors such as irradiation dose and
25 packaging method. In summary, while irradiation can have beneficial effects, such as
26 microbial reduction and shelf-life extension, it can also lead to changes in meat properties
27 that need to be carefully managed to maintain quality and consumer acceptability.

28

29 **Keywords:** irradiation, meat, moisture, protein, lipid, meat product

Introduction

Advancements in the food industry, such as securing a stable supply of raw materials, hygienic production methods, efficient manufacturing processes, and safe storage and distribution technologies, have led to the production of high value-added products (Matharu et al., 2016). Processes such as heating, refrigeration, and freezing, as well as preservatives and fumigants used in food processing and storage are associated with many problems, such as effectiveness, cost, soundness, and environmental pollution (Amit et al., 2017). As public interest in food safety has increased, these problems have been solved or improved to establish a production base for hygienic foods (Macfarlane, 2002). Thus, irradiation technology was developed to meet the need of new food processing and storage technologies (Pillai and Shayanfar, 2017). In the food industry, irradiation technology is implemented using radioactive isotopes or mechanically generated ionization energy (Ham et al., 2017). It is a technology-intensive field that can be effectively utilized in the sanitization of processed products, safe storage and distribution, and for the improvement of manufacturing processes (Kim et al., 2020).

Irradiation technology is known to be the most efficient way to eliminate pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms without deteriorating the nutritional and organoleptic qualities of food during storage (Kim et al., 2010). Irradiation can be continuously applied without being affected by the temperature, humidity, or pressure of the food sterilization process (Hwang et al., 2021). It is also possible to increase the energy efficiency and sterilize contaminating microorganisms in packaged foods (Lee et al., 2024). Irradiation can prolong the shelf life of food when microbial spoilage is a limiting factor (Hwang et al., 2015). The 1980 FAO/IAEA/WHO joint expert committee on the wholesomeness of irradiated foods (JECFI) concluded that all foods irradiated at doses up to 10 kGy did not pose toxicological hazards or nutritional or microbiological problems (Autio et al., 1990).

55 The purpose of sanitizing meat using irradiation is to ensure microbiological safety,
56 parasite control, and extension of refrigeration shelf-life (Song et al., 2017). In addition, the
57 application of radiation technology in the manufacture of meat products ensures meat hygiene
58 and safety (Choi et al., 2016). New technologies for maintaining the freshness and
59 sanitization of meat and meat products are being developed using various irradiation
60 technologies; however, these technologies are not widely used in the industry. This is because
61 there is still apprehension among consumers regarding irradiated food products because of
62 their lack of understanding of the mechanism and characteristics of irradiation (Choi et al.,
63 2016). The use of irradiation technology in the food industry requires more scientific
64 research, development, and industrialization foundations for sound development, and it is
65 necessary to establish new technologies that can contribute to food safety and public health
66 improvement.

67 Therefore, the purpose of this review is to elucidate the mechanism of irradiation
68 technology when used for processing meat. Further, we review technologies that can be used
69 to develop new processes for the irradiation of food products.

70

71 **The types of radiation and mechanism of irradiation technology**

72 Irradiation is transferring energy from an ionized radioactive material, such as cobalt 60
73 and cesium 137, to the surface or interior of an objective material in order to change its
74 properties (Jia et al., 2022). There are three types of representative radioactive ray utilized in
75 the foods irradiation: gamma ray, electron beam, and X-ray. Gamma ray is the
76 electromagnetic wave emitted from the radioactive material. It has a high-energy and
77 penetration ability, which can reach a depth of 80 cm (Ahn et al., 2023). The electron beam is
78 the high energy accelerated electron, emitted from the ionized radioactive material. Due to
79 the high energy efficiency of the electron beam, the irradiation speed for the identical dose is

80 considerably fast compared to the other radiation. However, its penetration depth is limited
81 within 10 cm, which is lower than that of gamma rays, due to the difference of nature
82 between particle and wave. The X-ray is an energy spectrum of photons produced by
83 accelerated electrons colliding with a metal target (Bisht et al., 2021). It presents a higher
84 penetration capability than the electron beam, while its energy efficiency is relatively low
85 among the mentioned three main types of radiation.

86 The electrons ejected from the electron beam can directly ionize the atoms in food. In
87 contrast, the gamma ray and X-ray are electromagnetic waves, which transfer a portion of
88 their energy to the electrons of the atoms in the food (Jia et al., 2022). This excitation of
89 electrons results in their exit from the orbits (Ahn et al., 2023). These electrons continually
90 excite and ionize atoms in food, until the energy remains sufficient to cause these reactions.
91 The entire constituents of irradiated food undergo this process simultaneously and
92 interactively, and it affects the quality properties of food products. Therefore, in this review,
93 we delicately discussed the impact of irradiation on each constituent of meat in order to
94 understand the effect of irradiation on meat products.

95

96 **Effects of irradiation on the constituents of meat**

97 **Water**

98 When food is irradiated, the water molecules in the food are decomposed into free radicals
99 such as hydrogen radicals, aqueous electrons, hydroxyl radicals, and hydrogen peroxide (Jia
100 et al., 2022). These free radicals have both positive and negative effects on meat storage and
101 its physicochemical properties. First, they can affect the physiological functions of
102 microorganisms, thus decreasing the risk of pathogens and thereby extending the shelf life of
103 the meat. Irradiation can directly reduce the number of microorganisms by breaking down
104 DNA structure and denaturing the cell membrane. In addition, highly reactive free radicals

105 produced by the irradiation of water can impair cellular metabolic pathways of the
106 microorganisms (Lung et al., 2015). This extent of this effect usually has a direct relationship
107 with irradiation dose (Jouki, 2013; Kanatt et al., 2005). Free radicals produced via the
108 decomposition of water induce and accelerate the oxidation of lipids and proteins. In
109 particular, sulfur-containing amino acids and unsaturated fatty acids in meat are vulnerable to
110 irradiation, and oxygen-containing conditions accelerate irradiation-induced oxidation (Nam
111 et al., 2017), which is related with the irradiation dose. The type and state of water in meat
112 are also factors in the irradiation effect; therefore, strategies for preventing the deterioration
113 of meat quality due to free radicals should be considered.

114 Muscle tissue has abundant water, which is classified into three types according to its
115 bonding with the protein structure: bound water, immobilized water, and free water. Water
116 distribution in meat protein structures and its retention can be affected by irradiation. Li et al
117 (Li et al., 2018b) demonstrated using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) that free water in
118 the extra-myofibrillar space migrates to the myofibrillar network after 3 kGy gamma ray
119 irradiation. However, irradiation at 5 and 7 kGy showed the opposite effect. Broiler chicken
120 meat irradiated with 5 kGy gamma rays had a higher free water content than non-irradiated
121 meat (Zabielski et al., 1984). The alteration in water content or state may be caused by
122 irradiation-induced structural changes in meat proteins (Rodrigues et al., 2020). Irradiation
123 can also affect water during the drying or freeze-thawing process. Zu et al (Zu et al., 2022)
124 showed that 3.36 kGy gamma ray irradiation can accelerate the loss of bound water and free
125 water during the drying of meat containing more than 40% moisture. However, the irradiated
126 meat which had moisture content less than 40% showed rather higher binding force of those
127 water than non-irradiated meat. Irradiation of frozen beef with 9 kGy gamma rays increased
128 water loss during thawing; however, doses less than 9 kGy did not produce a similar effect
129 (Sales et al., 2020).

130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153

Protein

Myofibrillar protein

Myofibrillar proteins are the most abundant fibril proteins in muscles and are composed of myosin, actin, titin, nebulin, tropomyosin, troponin, actinin, desmin, and vinculin. Myosin and actin are the main components that affect protein functionality and meat quality. Actomyosin is a bound form of actin and myosin that negatively affects protein solubility. Irradiation with gamma rays can depolymerize actomyosin molecules (Fujimaki et al., 1961). By determining the peptides below 5 kDa generated after irradiation using LC-MS/MS analysis, it was revealed actin shows higher resistance than myosin to fragmentation by gamma ray irradiation (Zhang et al., 2020). Electron beam irradiation decomposes actin, paramyosin, and myosin heavy chain, with the irradiation dose also being a factor (Lv et al., 2018). Gamma ray irradiation of 2–10 kGy degrades myosin heavy chain, actin, paramyosin, and tropomyosin (Shi et al., 2015). Moreover, the titin and nebulin, which are key proteins to identify the integrity of cytoskeleton proteins, are prone to be degraded by ionized radiation of 2-15 kGy (Horowitz et al., 1986). The desmin, another structural protein, in bovine muscle was damaged by 3 and 5 kGy of gamma ray irradiation (Yook et al., 2001). Meanwhile, irradiation with 5 kGy gamma rays reduces the myosin band and generates new high-molecular weight bands on SDS-PAGE (Lee et al., 2000). The observed difference can be attributed to the effect of vacuum packaging of meat, because the aggregation of irradiated protein occurs in the absence of oxygen (Giroux and Lacroix, 1998). In contrast, the presence of oxygen seems to induce the fragmentation of proteins. Gamma-ray irradiation of myofibrillar proteins results in a decrease in total sulfhydryl and free thiol groups with increasing doses (Li et al., 2018b; Lv et al., 2018). This can be because of the formation of

154 disulfide bonds; however, these were also decreased with irradiation (Li et al., 2018a). Thus,
155 it might be resulted from the other oxidative reactions of sulfur-containing amino acids.

156 By degradation and aggregation, irradiation affects the solubility and tenderness of
157 myofibrillar proteins. It has been reported in previous studies that gamma ray irradiation
158 decreased myofibrillar protein solubility in chicken meat, which correlated with the radiation
159 dose (Choi et al., 2015; Zabielski et al., 1984). However, in contrast, there are also studies
160 reporting that the myofibrillar protein solubility of chicken, lamb, and buffalo increased with
161 gamma ray irradiation, which was positively related to the dose (Kanatt et al., 2015).
162 Moreover, it has been reported that the direct irradiation on myofibrillar proteins increases
163 their solubility (Li et al., 2018a). Among the sources of irradiation, at an identical dose of 5
164 kGy, electron beams and X-rays resulted in higher protein solubility than gamma rays (Kim
165 et al., 2017). However, when minced pork was irradiated with 10 kGy, gamma rays resulted
166 in the highest myofibrillar protein solubility among those three types of radiation (Kim et al.,
167 2020). Interestingly, although myofibrillar proteins can be fragmented by irradiation, it
168 negatively affected to the myofibrillar protein fragmentation and tenderness during aging,
169 since the irradiation inactivated proteases in meat (Rodrigues et al., 2022). Overall, changes
170 to myofibrillar proteins during irradiation is undeniable; however, the irradiation type and
171 dose, packaging method, species, and type of meat strongly affect the products and solubility
172 of the proteins.

173

174 Sarcoplasmic protein

175 Sarcoplasmic proteins include myoglobin, which is responsible for meat color, and various
176 enzymes, such as glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, aldolase, creatine kinase, and
177 phosphorylase (López-Bote, 2017). Among these, a major consideration is the state of
178 myoglobin. Myoglobin is an iron-containing protein, in which the meat color is altered

179 depending on the redox state and reacted compounds on the ligand. Irradiation-induced
180 oxidation can increase metmyoglobin levels (Arshad et al., 2020). Metmyoglobin is a type of
181 myoglobin with water bound at the sixth coordination site of the heme iron. This produces a
182 brown color, which is inappropriate for raw meat. Meanwhile, the redness of raw turkey meat
183 increased after 4.5 kGy electron beam irradiation because it can produce carbon monoxide in
184 meat (Nam and Ahn, 2002). When CO binds to myoglobin, carboxymyoglobin (CO-Mb),
185 which has a red color similar to oxymyoglobin, is produced. Meanwhile, according to the
186 species, muscle type, the amino acids sequence or content of myoglobin can be differ, thus
187 the irradiation on myoglobin can differently influence (Faustman et al., 2023). Truly, the
188 effect of irradiation on color of white meat and red meat is divided, and also the oxygen
189 presence in packaging highly affected (Ahn et al., 2023). The improvement of redness
190 induced by formation of CO-Mb is more pronounced in white meat, and this effect was
191 positively correlated with the radiation dose (Feng et al., 2017). Therefore, it is important to
192 determine the appropriate radiation type and dose, considering its effect on the color of fresh
193 meat color. Regarding internal bonding and structural changes, sarcoplasmic proteins
194 undergo unfolding and nonpolar groups are exposed when meat is irradiated at 3 kGy of
195 gamma-ray (Li et al., 2020). In addition, the emulsion prepared with porcine sarcoplasmic
196 protein increased the carbonyl content and TBARS values compared to the emulsion prepared
197 with myofibrillar protein, due to pro-oxidative effect of iron in myoglobin (Li et al., 2020).
198 However, no changes in the sarcoplasmic proteins were observed on SDS-PAGE after 5 kGy
199 irradiation with electron beam and X-rays (Kim et al., 2018). According to a previous study,
200 ionic and hydrogen bonds are decreased and hydrophobic interactions are increased in
201 sarcoplasmic proteins when meat is irradiated with 7 kGy gamma rays (Li et al., 2018a).
202 Sarcoplasmic proteins irradiated with 7 kGy gamma rays showed increased carbonyl content

203 and decreased total sulfhydryl and free thiol groups because these structural alterations of the
204 protein can induce reactions with products of water radiolysis (Li et al., 2018b).

205

206 Collagen

207 Collagen primarily comprises proline, hydroxyproline, and glycine. It is composed of
208 connective tissue in muscle, such as the epimysium, perimysium, and endomysium. Collagen
209 molecules consist of three peptide chains that usually form a triple-helical structure, which
210 can covalently crosslink. These interactions increase the mechanical strength of collagen
211 (Purslow, 2023); therefore the collagen content in muscle and its solubility strongly affect
212 meat toughness (Hopkins and Ertbjerg, 2023). When irradiation energy is absorbed by meat,
213 collagen molecules are degraded, and collagen solubility increases. Irradiation of the porcine
214 *biceps femoris* muscle with 7 kGy gamma rays completely decomposed the collagen type IV
215 alpha 3 chain, which exists in non-irradiated muscle (Zhang et al., 2020). In addition,
216 irradiation of bovine, chicken, lamb, and buffalo muscle with 9–10 kGy gamma ray
217 significantly increased collagen solubility (Kanatt et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al., 2020). By
218 gamma-ray irradiation of 20–300 kGy on pork rind, collagen solubility was increased
219 according to the dose increase, and obvious degradation was observed by SDS-PAGE (Cho et
220 al., 2006). High-dose gamma-ray irradiation (50 and 500 kGy) breaks the N–C bonds in
221 collagen; thus, it can also result in an increase in solubility, despite the loss of amino acids at
222 a 500 kGy dose (Giroux and Lacroix, 1998). Extremely high-dose gamma ray irradiation (50,
223 500, and 1000 kGy) reduced collagen content and increased ammonia content (Gauza-
224 Włodarczyk et al., 2017). Unlike gamma irradiation, there is a lack of research on the effects
225 of electron beam or X-ray irradiation on collagen in meat. Electron beam irradiation of beef
226 muscle at doses of 20 and 40 kGy increases collagen solubility (Bailey and Rhodes, 1964).
227 On the contrary, the cross-linking between collagens was enhanced, and collagen gels

228 became stiff by electron beam irradiation of 2 and 100 kGy, rather than inducing the collagen
229 degradation (Chlup et al., 2023). Put together, recent studies demonstrating the effects of
230 irradiation on meat proteins are summarized in Table 1.

231

232 Lipid

233 Meat is a good source of both unsaturated and saturated fatty acids, which are present in
234 neutral lipids and phospholipids (López-Bote, 2017). Phospholipids comprise a relatively
235 small portion of the total lipid in meat (0.5–1%); however, they have a high content of
236 unsaturated fatty acids (USFA) (Giroux and Lacroix, 1998). Polyunsaturated fatty acids in
237 meat, such as linoleic and arachidonic acids, are valuable nutrients in the human diet.
238 Irradiation can induce differences in the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of lipids
239 (Jia et al., 2021). The most vulnerable site for the oxidation of lipids is the USFA double
240 bond. According to Arshad et al. (Arshad et al., 2020), 7 kGy gamma ray irradiation of duck
241 meat decreased USFA content because of the oxidative processes on double bonds initiated
242 by highly reactive radicals. In another study, gamma ray irradiation (1.13–3.17 kGy)
243 decreased polyunsaturated fatty acids in both neutral lipids and phospholipids of beef,
244 regardless of dose (Chen et al., 2007). Moreover, trans-fatty acids, which are isomers of
245 unsaturated fatty acids, can be manufactured by irradiation. Gamma-ray irradiation of ground
246 beef increases the trans-fatty acid content, even at a dose of 1 kGy (Brito et al., 2002; Yılmaz
247 and Geçgel, 2007). However, electron beam irradiation of smoked duck meat up to 4.5 kGy
248 did not affect the trans-fatty acid content (Jo et al., 2018).

249 Lipids degraded by irradiation produce various volatile compounds that cause
250 characteristic irradiation off-odors. Electron beam irradiation of pork, beef, and turkey at a
251 dose of 3 kGy generate volatile hydrocarbons, such as 1-butane, 1-pentene, 1-hexene, and 1-
252 heptene, and increased thiobarbituric acid reactive substance values (Kim et al., 2002). The

253 irradiation dose mainly affects the amount of lipid radiolysis products, but does not
254 completely alter the radiolysis products (Giroux and Lacroix, 1998). Following the
255 recommendations of the European Committee for Standardization, 2-alkylcyclobutanone
256 (2-ACB) chiefly from palmitic acid and hydrocarbons from C_n fatty acids have been used as
257 irradiation markers (EN, 2003; Panseri et al., 2015; Standardization, 2003). Heterocyclic
258 compounds with oxygen can act as odor inducers (Yim et al., 2023). A recent study
259 demonstrated that aldehydes can be used as irradiation markers instead of 2-ACB when both
260 the irradiation dose and fat content in meat are low (Bliznyuk et al., 2022). Similarly, free
261 radicals generated by water irradiation can initiate lipid oxidation (Jia et al., 2022). However,
262 X-ray irradiation (2.5–10 kGy) did not significantly influence lipid oxidation in ground beef
263 (Yim et al., 2023). Furthermore, irradiation in vacuum packaging did not induce lipid
264 oxidation in meat (Nam et al., 2017).

266 **Conclusion**

267 Irradiation effectively reduces microbial contamination and extends the shelf-life of meat
268 by damaging DNA and cell membranes. However, it also triggers various biochemical
269 reactions that affect meat quality. Structural modifications of protein components, including
270 myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic proteins, lead to changes in solubility, fragmentation, and
271 alterations in meat color and texture. Collagen, which is essential for meat toughness,
272 undergoes increased solubility owing to irradiation-induced degradation, further affecting
273 meat quality. The lipid composition is significantly influenced by unsaturated fatty acid
274 oxidation and the production of off-odors. Although irradiation offers benefits for food safety
275 and shelf-life extension, careful consideration of its effects on meat quality is essential.
276 Strategies to mitigate adverse effects, such as optimizing irradiation doses, implementing

277 suitable packaging methods, and monitoring lipid oxidation, are crucial for maintaining the
278 overall quality and consumer acceptance of irradiated meat products.

279

280 **Acknowledgments**

281 This study was supported by the Main Research Program [grant number: E0211200-04] of
282 the Korea Food Research Institute.

283

284 **References**

- 285 Ahn DU, Mendonca A, Feng X. 2023. The storage and preservation of meat: II—Nonthermal
286 technologies. In Lawrie's meat science. 9th ed. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, UK. pp.
287 245-280.
- 288 Amit SK, Uddin MM, Rahman R, Islam SR, Khan MS. 2017. A review on mechanisms and
289 commercial aspects of food preservation and processing. *Agric Food Secur* 6:1-22.
- 290 Arshad MS, Kwon JH, Ahmad RS, Ameer K, Ahmad S, Jo Y. 2020. Influence of e-beam
291 irradiation on microbiological and physicochemical properties and fatty acid profile of
292 frozen duck meat. *Food Sci Nutr* 8:1020-1029.
- 293 Bailey A, Rhodes D. 1964. Treatment of meats with ionising radiations. XI.—changes in the
294 texture of meat. *J Sci Food Agric* 15:504-508.
- 295 Bisht B, Bhatnagar P, Gururani P, Kumar V, Tomar MS, Sinhmar R, Rathi N, Kumar S. 2021.
296 Food irradiation: Effect of ionizing and non-ionizing radiations on preservation of fruits
297 and vegetables—a review. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 114:372-385.
- 298 Bliznyuk U, Avdyukhina V, Borshchegovskaya P, Bolotnik T, Ipatova V, Nikitina Z,
299 Nikitchenko A, Rodin I, Studenikin F, Chernyaev A. 2022. Effect of electron and x-ray
300 irradiation on microbiological and chemical parameters of chilled turkey. *Sci Rep*
301 12:750.
- 302 Brito MS, Villavicencio ALC, Mancini-Filho J. 2002. Effects of irradiation on trans fatty acids
303 formation in ground beef. *Radiat Phys Chem* 63:337-340.
- 304 Chen Y, Zhou G, Zhu X, Xu X, Tang X, Gao F. 2007. Effect of low dose gamma irradiation on
305 beef quality and fatty acid composition of beef intramuscular lipid. *Meat Sci* 75:423-
306 431.
- 307 Cho YJ, Seo JE, Kim YJ, Lee NH, Hong SP, Kim YH. 2006. Study on the degradation of
308 pigskin collagen using irradiation technique. *J Korean Soc Food Sci Nutr* 35:588-593.
- 309 Choi YS, Kim HW, Hwang KE, Song DH, Jeong TJ, Seo KW, Kim YB, Kim CJ. 2015. Effects
310 of gamma irradiation on physicochemical properties of heat-induced gel prepared with
311 chicken salt-soluble proteins. *Radiat Phys Chem* 106:16-20.
- 312 Choi YS, Sung JM, Jeong TJ, Hwang KE, Song DH, Ham YK, Kim HW, Kim WB, Kim CJ.
313 (2016). Effect of irradiated pork on physicochemical properties of meat
314 emulsions. *Radiat Phys Chem* 119:279-281.
- 315 Chlup H, Suchý T, Šupová M. 2023. The electron beam induced cross-linking of bovine
316 collagen gels with various concentrations: The mechanical properties and secondary
317 structure. *Polymer* 287:126423.
- 318 En B. 2003. Foodstuffs - Detection of irradiated food containing fat-gas chromatographic

319 analysis of hydrocarbons. European Committee for Standardization. Brussels, Belgium
320 Brussels.

321 Faustman C, Suman SP, Ramanathan R. 2023. The eating quality of meat: I Color. In Lawrie's
322 meat science. 9th ed. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, UK. pp. 363-392.

323 Feng X, Moon SH, Lee HY, Ahn DU. 2017. Effect of irradiation on the parameters that
324 influence quality characteristics of raw turkey breast meat. *Radiat Phys Chem* 130:40-
325 46.

326 Fujimaki M, Arakawa N, Ogawa G. 1961. Effects of gamma irradiation on the chemical
327 properties of actin and actomyosin of meats a. *J Food Sci* 26:178-185.

328 Gauza-Włodarczyk M, Kubisz L, Włodarczyk D. 2017. Amino acid composition in
329 determination of collagen origin and assessment of physical factors effects. *Int J Biol*
330 *Macromol* 104:987-991.

331 Giroux M, Lacroix M. 1998. Nutritional adequacy of irradiated meat—a review. *Food Res Int*
332 31:257-264.

333 Ham YK, Kim HW, Hwang KE, Song DH, Kim YJ, Choi YS, Song BS, Park JH, Kim CJ. 2017.
334 Effects of irradiation source and dose level on quality characteristics of processed meat
335 products. *Radiat Phys Chem* 130:259-264.

336 Hopkins DL, Erbjerg P. 2023. The eating quality of meat: II —Tenderness. In Lawrie's meat
337 science. 9th ed. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, UK. pp 393-420.

338 Horowitz R, Kempner ES, Bisher ME, Podolsky RJ. 1986. A physiological role for titin and
339 nebulin in skeletal muscle. *Nature* 323:160-164.

340 Hwang KE, Ham YK, Song DH, Kim HW, Lee MA, Jeong JY, Choi YS. 2021. Effect of
341 gamma-ray, electron-beam, and X-ray irradiation on antioxidant activity of mugwort
342 extracts. *Radiat Phys Chem* 186:109476.

343 Hwang KE, Kim HW, Song DH, Kim YJ, Ham YK, Lee JW, Choi YS, Kim CJ. 2015. Effects
344 of antioxidant combinations on shelf stability of irradiated chicken sausage during
345 storage. *Radiat Phys Chem* 106:315-319.

346 Jia W, Shi Q, Shi L. 2021. Effect of irradiation treatment on the lipid composition and
347 nutritional quality of goat meat. *Food Chem* 351:129295.

348 Jia W, Wang X, Zhang R, Shi Q, Shi L. 2022. Irradiation role on meat quality induced dynamic
349 molecular transformation: From nutrition to texture. *Food Rev Int* 1-23.

350 Jo Y, An KA, Arshad MS, Kwon JH. 2018. Effects of e-beam irradiation on amino acids, fatty
351 acids, and volatiles of smoked duck meat during storage. *Innov Food Sci Emerg*
352 *Technol* 47:101-109.

353 Jouki M. 2013. Evaluation of gamma irradiation and frozen storage on microbial load and
354 physico-chemical quality of turkey breast meat. *Radiat Phys Chem* 85:243-245.

355 Kanatt SR, Chander R, Sharma A. 2005. Effect of radiation processing on the quality of chilled
356 meat products. *Meat Sci* 69:269-275.

357 Kanatt SR, Chawla S, Sharma A. 2015. Effect of radiation processing on meat tenderisation.
358 *Radiat Phys Chem* 111:1-8.

359 Kim BH, Kim HJ, Yoon Y, Shin BG, Lee JW. 2010. Comparison of the effects of gamma ray
360 and electron beam irradiation to improve safety of spices for me Effect of radiation
361 processing on meat tenderisation at processing. *Korean J Food Sci Ani Resour* 30:124-
362 132.

363 Kim HW, Kim YHB, Hwang KE, Kim TK, Jeon KH, Kim YB, Choi YS. 2017. Effects of
364 gamma-ray, electron-beam, and x-ray irradiation on physicochemical properties of
365 heat-induced gel prepared with salt-soluble pork protein. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 26:955-
366 958.

367 Kim SY, Yong HI, Nam KC, Jung S, Yim DG, Jo C. 2018. Application of high temperature

368 (14 °C) aging of beef *M. semimembranosus* with low-dose electron beam and x-ray
369 irradiation. *Meat Sci* 136:85-92.

370 Kim TK, Hwang KE, Ham YK, Kim HW, Paik HD, Kim YB, Choi YS. 2020. Interactions
371 between raw meat irradiated by various kinds of ionizing radiation and
372 transglutaminase treatment in meat emulsion systems. *Radiat Phys Chem* 166:108452.

373 Kim Y, Nam K, Ahn D. 2002. Volatile profiles, lipid oxidation and sensory characteristics of
374 irradiated meat from different animal species. *Meat Sci* 61:257-265.

375 Lee JH, Kim YJ, Choi YJ, Kim TK, Cha JY, Park MK, Jung S, Choi YS. 2024. Effect of
376 gamma-ray and electron-beam irradiation on the structural changes and functional
377 properties of edible insect proteins from *Protaetia brevitarsis* larvae. *Food*
378 *Chem* 434:137463.

379 Lee JW, Yook HS, Lee KH, Kim JH, Kim WJ, Byun MW. 2000. Conformational changes of
380 myosin by gamma irradiation. *Radiat Phys Chem* 58:271-277.

381 Li C, He L, Ma S, Wu W, Yang H, Sun X, Peng A, Wang L, Jin G, Zhang J. 2018a. Effect of
382 irradiation modification on conformation and gelation properties of pork myofibrillar
383 and sarcoplasmic protein. *Food Hydrocoll* 84:181-192.

384 Li C, Jin G, He L, Xiao C. 2020. Effect of d-glucose on the chemical characteristics and
385 irradiation off-odor performance in porcine meat emulsion system. *LWT* 133:110138.

386 Li C, Peng A, He L, Ma S, Wu W, Yang H, Sun X, Zeng Q, Jin G, Zhang J. 2018b. Emulsifying
387 properties development of pork myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic protein irradiated at
388 different dose: A combined ft-ir spectroscopy and low-field nmr study. *Food Chem*
389 252:108-114.

390 López-Bote C. 2017. Chemical and biochemical constitution of muscle. In Lawrie' s meat
391 science. 8th ed. Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, UK. pp 99-158.

392 Lung HM, Cheng YC, Chang YH, Huang HW, Yang BB, Wang CY. 2015. Microbial
393 decontamination of food by electron beam irradiation. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 44:66-
394 78.

395 Lv M, Mei K, Zhang H, Xu D, Yang W. 2018. Effects of electron beam irradiation on the
396 biochemical properties and structure of myofibrillar protein from *Tegillarca granosa*
397 meat. *Food Chem* 254:64-69.

398 Matharu AS, de Melo EM, Houghton JA. 2016. Opportunity for high value-added chemicals
399 from food supply chain wastes. *Bioresour Technol* 215:123-130.

400 Macfarlane R. 2002. Integrating the consumer interest in food safety: the role of science and
401 other factors. *Food Policy* 27:65-80.

402 Nam K, Ahn D. 2002. Carbon monoxide-heme pigment is responsible for the pink color in
403 irradiated raw turkey breast meat. *Meat Sci* 60:25-33.

404 Nam KC, Jo C, Ahn DU. 2017. Irradiation of meat and meat products. In *Emerging*
405 *technologies in meat processing: production, processing and technology*. Wiley, New
406 Jersey, NJ, USA. pp 7-36.

407 Panseri S, Chiesa LM, Biondi PA, Rusconi M, Giacobbo F, Padovani E, Mariani M. 2015.
408 Irradiated ground beef patties: Dose and dose-age estimation by volatile compounds
409 measurement. *Food Control* 50:521-529.

410 Pillai SD, Shayanfar S. 2017. Electron beam technology and other irradiation technology
411 applications in the food industry. In *Applications of radiation chemistry in the fields of*
412 *industry, biotechnology and environment*. Springer, Berlin, Germany. pp 249-268.

413 Purslow PP. 2023. The structure and growth of muscle. In *Lawrie's meat science*. 9th ed.
414 Woodhead Publishing, Sawston, UK. pp 51-103.

415 Rodrigues LM, Guimarães AS, de Lima Ramos J, de Almeida Torres Filho R, Fontes PR, de
416 Lemos Souza Ramos A, Ramos EM. 2022. Application of gamma radiation in the beef

417 texture development during accelerated aging. *J Texture Stud* 53:923-934.

418 Rodrigues LM, Sales LA, Fontes PR, De Almeida Torres Filho R, Andrade MPD, Ramos
419 ADLS, Ramos EM. 2020. Combined effects of gamma irradiation and aging on
420 tenderness and quality of beef from nellore cattle. *Food Chem* 313:126137.

421 Sales LA, Rodrigues LM, Silva DRG, Fontes PR, De Almeida Torres Filho R, Ramos ADLS,
422 Ramos EM. 2020. Effect of freezing/irradiation/thawing processes and subsequent
423 aging on tenderness, color, and oxidative properties of beef. *Meat Sci* 163:108078.

424 Shi Y, Li RY, Tu ZC, Ma D, Wang H, Huang XQ, He N. 2015. Effect of γ -irradiation on the
425 physicochemical properties and structure of fish myofibrillar proteins. *Radiat Phys*
426 *Chem* 109:70-72.

427 Song DH, Kim HW, Hwang KE, Kim YJ, Ham YK, Choi YS, Shin DJ, Kim TK, Lee JH, Kim
428 CJ, Paik HD. 2017. Impacts of irradiation sources on quality attributes of low-salt
429 sausage during refrigerated storage. *Korean J Food Sci Ani Resour* 37:698-707.

430 Standardization E. 2003. Foodstuffs-detection of irradiated food containing fat-gas
431 chromatographic/mass spectrometric analysis of 2-alkylcyclobutanones en1785.
432 European Committee for Standardization, Brussels.

433 WHO Technical Report Series. 2002. Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants.

434 Yılmaz I, Geçgel U. 2007. Effects of gamma irradiation on trans fatty acid composition in
435 ground beef. *Food Control* 18:635-638.

436 Yim DG, Kim HJ, Kim SS, Lee HJ, Kim JK, Jo C. 2023. Effects of different x-ray irradiation
437 doses on quality traits and metabolites of marinated ground beef during storage. *Radiat*
438 *Phys Chem* 202:110563.

439 Yook HS, Lee JW, Lee KH, Kim MK, Byun MW. 2001. Effect of gamma irradiation on the
440 microstructure and post-mortem anaerobic metabolism of bovine muscle. *Radiat Phys*
441 *Chem* 61:163-169.

442 Zabielski J, Kijowski J, Fiszler W, Niewiarowicz A. 1984. The effect of irradiation on
443 technological properties and protein solubility of broiler chicken meat. *J Sci Food Agric*
444 35:662-670.

445 Zhang M, He L, Li C, Yang F, Zhao S, Liang Y, Jin G. 2020. Effects of gamma ray irradiation-
446 induced protein hydrolysis and oxidation on tenderness change of fresh pork during
447 storage. *Meat Sci* 163:108058.

448 Zu XY, Li HL, Xiong GQ, Liao T, Yu YH, Qiu JH. 2022. Gamma irradiation on moisture
449 migration and lipid degradation of micropterus salmoides meat. *Radiat Phys Chem*
450 192:109915.

Tables

Table 1. Recent studies evaluating effects of irradiation on meat proteins

Sample type	Source of radiation	Radiation dose	Effects	Reference
Myofibrillar protein and sarcoplasmic protein	Gamma ray	3, 5, and 7 kGy	Total sulfhydryl group and free thiol groups decreased with increasing dose in both proteins. Surface charge of myofibrillar protein increased when irradiated with 3 and 5 kGy. Surface charge of sarcoplasmic protein decreased with increasing dose.	(Li et al., 2018a)
Myofibrillar protein and sarcoplasmic protein	Gamma ray	3, 5, and 7 kGy	Disulfide bonds in both proteins were decreased with increasing dose in both proteins. Myofibrillar protein solubility increased with increasing dose. Sarcoplasmic protein solubility decreased after irradiation.	(Li et al., 2018b)
<i>M. biceps femoris</i> muscles from porcine	Gamma ray	3, 5, and 7 kGy	Myosin and collagen were degraded by irradiation, which increase tenderness in a dose-dependent manner.	(Zhang et al., 2020)
<i>Tegillarca granosa</i> meat	Electron beam	1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 kGy	Actin, paramyosin, and myosin heavy chain (MHC) were degraded by irradiation. α -helix content of myofibrillar protein decreased and β -sheet content of myofibrillar protein increased by irradiation. Irradiation of 5 kGy or above induced significant decrease in total SH content and Ca^{2+} -ATPase activity of myofibrillar protein.	(Lv et al., 2018)
Myofibrillar protein from grass carps	Gamma ray	2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 kGy	Emulsifying activity and stability decreased with increasing dose. Surface hydrophobicity increased by irradiation. Total sulfhydryl group and free thiol group decreased with increasing dose.	(Shi et al., 2015)

MHC was degraded by irradiation.

Myofibrillar protein from chicken	Gamma ray	3, 7, and 10 kGy	Myofibrillar protein solubility decreased by irradiation to the significantly identical level, regardless of radiation dose.	(Choi et al., 2015)
<i>M. biceps femoris</i> of lamb and buffalo and <i>M. pectoralis major</i> of chicken	Gamma ray	2.5, 5, and 10 kGy	Myofibrillar protein solubility, sarcoplasmic protein solubility, and collagen solubility of muscles increased with increasing dose. Redness of muscles increased by irradiation.	(Kanatt et al., 2015)
<i>M. biceps femoris</i> , <i>M. semitendinosus</i> , and <i>M. semimembranosus</i> from porcine	Gamma ray, electron beam, X-ray	5 kGy	Redness was decreased by all radiation. Myofibrillar protein solubility and sarcoplasmic protein solubility were decreased by irradiation.	(Kim et al., 2017)
Pork ham	Gamma ray, electron beam, X-ray	10 kGy	Redness of raw meat emulsion irradiated X-ray was increased. Myofibrillar protein solubility was increased and sarcoplasmic protein solubility was decreased by irradiation.	(Kim et al., 2020)
Duck breast	Electron beam	3 and 7 kGy	Metmyoglobin content was increased, and oxymyoglobin content and redness of duck breast meat were decreased by irradiation of 7 kGy electron beam.	(Arshad et al., 2020)
<i>M. semimembranosus</i> from bovine	Electron beam and X-ray	5 kGy	Redness was decreased by irradiation. Sarcoplasmic protein pattern did not change in SDS-PAGE.	(Kim et al., 2018)
<i>M. longissimus lumborum</i> from Nellore bovine	Gamma ray	3, 6, and 9 kGy	Soluble collagen content was increased by irradiation. Metmyoglobin content was increased by irradiation with increasing dose.	(Rodrigues et al., 2020)