1	TITLE PAGE
2 - Food Sci	ence of Animal Resources -
3 Upload this comp	leted form to website with submission
4	
ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Type	Research article
Article Title	Performance, Egg Quality, and Immunity of Laying Hens Due to Natural Carotenoid Supplementation: A Meta-analysis
Running Title (within 10 words)	Carotenoids Function as Feed Additives for Laying Hens
Author	Fitri Yunitasari1, Anuraga Jayanegara2, Niken Ulupi1
Affiliation	 Department of Animal Production and Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia Department of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia
Special remarks – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office	
ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) https://orcid.org	Fitri Yunitasari (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1531-0752</u>) Anuraga Jayanegara (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7529-9770</u>) Niken Ulupi (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3859-2676</u>)
Conflicts of interest List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.)	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
Acknowledgements State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.)	Indonesian Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP/Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan), The Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. Danadyaksa Cikini Building, Cikini Raya Street 91A-D, Menteng, Jakarta Pusat 10330, Indonesia Phone number: 1500-652 Website: lpdp.kemenkeu.go.id
Author contributions (This field may be published.)	Conceptualization: Yunitasari F. Data curation: Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A, Ulupi N Formal analysis: Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A. Methodology: Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A. Software: Yunitasari, F, Jayanegara A. Validation: Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A, Ulupi N Investigation: Yunitasari F. Writing - original draft: Yunitasari F. Writing - review & editing: Yunitasari F, Jayanegara A, Ulupi N. (This field must list all authors)
Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) (This field may be published.)	This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.
5 6 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CON	TACT INFORMATION
For the corresponding author	Fill in information in each box below
(responsible for correspondence,	
First name, middle initial, last name	Fitri Yunitasari
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	fitriyunitasari@apps.ipb.ac.id
Secondary Email address	fitriyunitasari73@gmail.com
Postal address	Agatis Street, Department of Animal Production and Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia
Cell phone number	+6287896556955
Office phone number	0251-8622841

Fax number	0251-8622841, 8622842
7	
8	
9	

10

Performance, Egg Quality, and Immunity of Laying Hens Due to Natural

- 11
- 12
- 13

Abstract

Carotenoid Supplementation: A Meta-analysis

14 This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of carotenoid supplementation on the 15 performance, egg quality, and immunity of laying hens using a meta-analysis approach. 16 The database was searched using Google Scholar and Scopus, from 2012 to 2022. The 17 literature was published in English. 47 articles were selected for meta-analysis. 18 Analyses were performed using the Open Meta-analyst for Ecology and Evolution 19 (OpenMEE) software. The heterogeneity and data validation against publication bias 20 were analyzed using JASP 0.16.2 software. Overall, the results showed that carotenoid 21 supplementation improved feed intake by 0.32 g/day/hen (95% confidence interval (CI) 22 = 0.02 to 0.61), final body weight by 0.33 g/hen (95% CI = 0.05 to 0.60), egg 23 production by 0.38% (95% CI = 0.14 to 0.63), egg weight by 0.29 g (95% CI = 0.09 to 24 0.5), yolk colour by 2.11 (95% CI = 1.71 to 2.51), Haugh unit (HU) by 0.26 (95% CI = 25 0.11 to 0.42), yolk carotenoids by 1.17 μ g/kg (95% CI = 0.59 to 1.75), immunoglobulin 26 A (IgA) by 0.74 mg/L (95% CI = 0.18 to 1.29), and lower yolk cholesterol by -0.3827 mg/g (95% CI = -0.59 to -0.16). Feed conversion ratio (FCR), eggshell thickness, and 28 white blood cells were unaffected by the application of carotenoids. The heterogeneity 29 analysis showed variability in all studies (<0.05). In conclusion, carotenoid 30 supplementation can elevate productivity, enhance egg quality, and improve immunity. 31 However, based on Kendall's test, there was a publication bias in several parameters, namely FCR, egg weight, HU, yolk carotenoids, and IgA. 32

33 Keywords: egg, natural carotenoids, laying hens, productivity, immunity

Introduction

36 Eggs are animal protein sources that contain essential nutrients in the form of selenium, B vitamins, provitamin A, amino acids, folic acid, and fatty acids (Titcomb et 37 38 al., 2019). Egg production is influenced by climate, feed, and the health of the laying 39 hens. The use of carotenoids in animal feed has been investigated in many research 40 studies, specifically vellow carotenoids (apocarotenoic esters), which help to increase 41 the orange color of egg yolks (Faruk et al., 2018). Carotenoids are a category of 42 essential pigments (red, yellow, and orange) that are widely found on the earth. Based 43 on their composition, carotenoids are classified into two main subclasses: xanthophylls, 44 which include lutein, β -cryptoxanthin, and zeaxanthin; and carotenes, for example, α carotene, lycopene, and β -carotene. Carotenoids are found in different plants, 45 microalgae, bacteria, and fungi (Nabi et al., 2020). Plants synthesize carotenoids de 46 47 novo; they also develop naturally in food products and require no metabolic 48 modification (Marounek and Febriansyah, 2018).

Carotenoids have various biological functions, including increasing production, 49 50 antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and immunomodulator (Milani et al., 51 2017; Arain et al., 2018; Nabi et al., 2020). Carotenoids can increase poultry 52 performance, including egg production and weight, and decrease FCR. These processes 53 occur through molecular mechanisms (Milani et al., 2017). Carotenoids also effectively 54 improve the color of egg yolks. Egg yolk is a source of provitamin A, which is the 55 forerunner of retinol. Retinol and its metabolites, for example, retinoic acid, play a role 56 in eye function, immunity, brain function, tissue repair, and protein digestion (Brossaud 57 et al., 2017). Because of these benefits, carotenoids are used extensively in the diets of 58 laying hens to boost the internal quality of eggs and ensure they are suitable for use as a 59 functional food. Carotenoids help improve the orange color in yolks, an essential

consideration in shoppers' food decisions. For example, consumers in northern
European nations prefer lighter-hued yolks, while those in southern Europe prefer more
strongly shaded egg yolks (Marounek and Febriansyah 2018).

63 Lipid globules aid the absorption of carotenoids in the digestive tract. Carotene 64 particles dissolve in triacylglycerol while the xanthophylls remain near the surface of 65 the monolayer (Faruk et al., 2018). This cycle is restricted since bile acids in the 66 duodenum will decrease their secretion in micelles formation. At this point, while the 67 carotenoids can still move to the lipid phase, micelles inhibit their movement in the duodenal lumen. The distribution of carotenoid from feed to egg synthesis is affected by 68 69 several variables, including accessibility, openness, fringe tissue interest, a carotenoid 70 compound, carotenoid liking, and the capacity of various lipid vesicles to cross layers in the liver and eggs. According to Lokaewmanee et al. (2012), Ekmay et al. (2015), and 71 72 Sun et al. (2019), the carotenoid content of feed emphatically affects the production 73 performance of laying hens.

74 Meta-analysis is a factual technique or statistical method used to gather data from 75 past studies (St-Pierre, 2001; Sauvant et al., 2008). Jackson and Bowden (2016) stated 76 that meta-analysis is an analytical technique used to compare and combine various data 77 from different sources to draw an appropriate and informative conclusion based on the 78 research questions. The review studies included in the meta-analysis should be 79 transparent, reproducible, and up-to-date (Gurevitch et al., 2018). A meta-analysis has 80 several advantages: 1) it provides an evidence-based summary centered on the 81 identification of a large volume of primary evidence and its examination piece by piece, 82 2) it overcomes the bias associated with experiments conducted using small sample 83 sizes, where there is insufficient statistical power to overcome variation, 3) it improves 84 generalizability by combining the results of several studies with varying populations

compared to only a primer study with a small sample size and originating from a single population, and 4) it can be updated when new primary research is published and helps to identify issues that require further research. In terms of the use of carotenoids in increasing egg yolk color, they have been shown to enhance the carotenoid content of egg yolks. Therefore, valid evidence is needed to prove the effectiveness of carotenoids in their application as an additive in laying hen feed through a meta-analysis approach.

91 The problem of oxidative stress that often occurs in laying hens has caused various 92 losses for the environment, breeders, and the physiological conditions of livestock. The 93 phenomenon of oxidative stress refers to an imbalance between the production and accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells and tissues and the ability of 94 95 biological systems to detoxify these reactive products. ROS can function 96 physiologically (as in cell signaling) and as a byproduct of oxygen metabolism. So 97 alternative materials are needed to solve the above problems. One of them uses natural 98 antioxidants from plants as feed supplements. The potential of carotenoids in feed has 99 been extensively studied by many researchers (Nabi et al., 2020). However, there was 100 no comprehensive conclusion supporting the use of carotenoids to boost laying hen 101 productivity, egg quality, and immunity. As a result, this study is expected to provide 102 more systematic information about carotenoid supplementation in feed and to be used as 103 a database for the development of research sustainability in the feed sector.

104

105 Methods

106 Literature Search and Selection Method

107 The meta-analysis was conducted in several stages, including the identification, 108 selection, and checking of the suitability of the literature (as shown in Fig. 1). The 109 articles were obtained from Google Scholar and Scopus from 2012 to 2022. The

110 following keywords were used in the search: 'laying hens', 'maintenance', 111 'antioxidants', 'natural carotenoids', 'eggs', 'quality', 'productivity', and 'immunity'. 112 An additional search was conducted using the names of the authors in the literature 113 reference. The initial stage of identification comprised a title review. The following 114 criteria were applied in the selection stage: (1) published in English as a full-text article; 115 (2) contains the parameters of performance, egg quality, and immunity; (3) includes a 116 comparison between control and experimental treatments; (4) contains the addition of 117 natural carotenoids in feed; (5) egg products intended for consumption. The articles 118 were selected using Mendeley reference management software to peruse the journal 119 abstracts and eliminate duplicate journals. The appropriateness evaluation stage was 120 completed by viewing the articles and selecting them based on their reasonableness for 121 the point under study.

122 A total of 183 articles were rated as relevant to the study. This was reduced to 152 123 articles after duplicates had been eliminated. A total of 62 articles was excluded because 124 the title of the study was not on the effect of natural carotenoid on performance, egg 125 quality, and immunity of laying hens. After reading the abstract, 66 articles were 126 indicated according to the research objectives. Finally, after considering the similarity 127 of aims, methods, and forms of articles, 47 articles were used for meta-analysis. A total 128 of 39 of these were selected for the performance meta-analysis, 44 full-text articles for 129 the egg quality parameter, and 3 articles for the immunity parameter (presented in Table 130 1).

131

132 **Data Extraction**

133 Several moderator variables were used to baseline information. Inclusion criteria134 included moderator variables (study location, breed, treatment duration, age of hens,

number of hens used in the study, carotenoid (source, level, and form) added to feed), as
well as mean value, standard deviation (SD), and standard error (SE). The parameters
observed were egg quality (egg weight, shell thickness, egg yolk color, Haugh unit
(HU), egg yolk cholesterol, egg yolk carotenoids), performance (feed consumption, feed
conversion ratio (FCR), final body weight, egg production), and immunity
(immunoglobulin A (IgA) and white blood cells (WBC)).

141

142 Statistical Analysis

143 Databases were tabulated using Microsoft Excel 2021, and converted into Comma-144 Separated Value (CSV) files. The file was then analyzed using OpenMEE. OpenMEE is 145 a popular open-source and user-friendly meta-analysis software (Wallace et al., 2016). 146 The continuous variable results were reported as the standardized mean difference 147 (SMD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) between the control and carotenoid 148 treatments. The effect size was calculated from the difference between the control and 149 treatment groups divided by the SD of the population. In measuring the effect size, 150 Hedges'd was used to determine the distance parameter between the control and the 151 effect of dietary carotenoids. This method was chosen because of its ability to calculate 152 effect sizes regardless of sample size heterogeneity, the unit of measurement, and 153 statistical test results, and based on its suitability for estimating the paired treatment 154 effect. Random effects models (REM) were appropriate for meta-analyses because 155 heterogeneity occurs at different levels in each pooled analysis. Meanwhile, JASP 0.16.2 software was used to test for heterogeneity (I^2) and validate the data against 156 publication bias. I² is a statistic that represents the percentage of variance in the meta-157 158 analysis that is due to study heterogeneity (Higgins et al., 2003). If the p-value was 159 >0.05, it was considered statistically significant in this study. The SMD result was

significantly affected when the diamonds in the forest plot did not touch the control line(Koricheva et al., 2013).

162

163 Results and Discussion

Forty-seven publications were selected for meta-analysis of the effectiveness of carotenoids on performance, egg quality, and immunity (Fig. 1). Detailed articles breakdown is shown in Table 1. Meanwhile, the forest plot result can be seen in Fig. 2.

167

168 Effectiveness of Carotenoids on Performance of Laying Hens

169 Feed Intake

170 A total of 39 journals were used in the meta-analysis of the effectiveness of 171 carotenoids on feed intake, and 93 databases were interrogated. Based on the REM 172 calculations, the overall SMD value was 0.32 with 95% CI (0.02, 0.61) (Table 2). The 173 CI did not equal 0 (zero); therefore, the treatment in the experimental group was not 174 quite the same as that for the control group. p SMD (<0.05) (Table 2) indicated that 175 there was a critical connection between the use of carotenoids and the consumption of 176 laying hens. However, before concluding, it was necessary to test for heterogeneity. The 177 results showed a p-value of <0.05 (Table 2), so the presumption of heterogeneity is 178 accepted. This was confirmed by the high level of I^2 at 99.249% (Table 2).

The validation test for publication bias using Kendall's test (p>0.05) indicated the formation of a symmetrical funnel plot from the REM, meaning there was no publication bias. As such, the conclusions derived are valid, as indicated by the high inconsistency and absence of bias. In conclusion, carotenoids help to increase feed consumption, which aligns with the findings of Faruk et al. (2018) and Bidura et al. (2020). The provitamin A content of carotene is converted into retinol and its metabolites, such as retinoic acid, for eye function, immunity, brain function, tissue repair, and metabolism (Brossaud et al., 2017). Visually, birds given yellow feed are more palatable; as such, the addition of carotenoid pigments is vital for hens. Carotenoids work as antioxidants to counteract the effect of free radicals. Stressed chickens were reported to have significant free radical damage. This stress will disrupt physiological function and affect the behavior of chickens. One of them was eating behavior, specifically the decrease in consumption during heat stress.

192

193 Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)

194 A total of 27 journals were used from the literature and 78 databases were consulted. 195 Based on calculations utilizing the REM, the overall SMD value was -0.31 (95% CI -196 0.60, -0.02) (Table 2). The CI was not equivalent to 0 (zero), meaning that the 197 experimental group differed from the control. The negative summary effect results indicated that the treatment could reduce the FCR value of laying hens. The 198 199 heterogeneity analysis indicated that variability occurred in all studies (p<0.05); 200 therefore, the assumption of homogeneity is rejected. This was also shown by the 201 elevated I² score of 99.066% (Table 2).

202 Kendall's test was then used for validation against publication bias. The results 203 showed that the funnel plot from the REM was asymmetric in shape; in other words, 204 there was publication bias. The trim and fill method can help reduce publication bias. 205 The funnel plot (Fig. 3) formed on the FCR parameter is asymmetric. The funnel plot in 206 Fig. 4 is the result of the trim and fill analysis. Rosenthal believes that if the shift is not 207 too far, the meta-analysis study's conclusions are acceptable and valid. The friction in 208 the funnel plot on the FCR parameter is not very significant. So, the result can be 209 accepted. The results indicated that carotenoids were insignificant in reducing the FCR

210 of laying hens. This result aligns with those from an experiment by Lokaewmanee et al. 211 (2012), who found that the use of red pepper was unable to improve the production 212 performance of laying hens, including feed consumption and feed efficiency. On the 213 other hand, red peppers could increase the size of the intestinal villi. The condition of 214 the villi would have an impact on nutrient absorption. According to Zheng et al. (2018), 215 Beijing-you chicken supplemented with 5-10% alfalfa flour significantly reduced FCR 216 and mortality. Alfalfa bioactive compounds improved bone strength and immune 217 function, also lowering mortality rates (Kwiatkowska et al., 2017).

218

219 Final Body Weight

220 The literature reviewed for the meta-analysis of the effectiveness of carotenoids on 221 final body weight comprised 19 journals from a total of 56 databases. Based on the 222 REM calculations, the SMD value was 0.33 (95% CI 0.05, 0.60) (Table 2). The 223 interspace (CI) did not include 0 (zero), thus implying that the experimental group 224 contradicted the control but that the effect was very small. The results (Table 2) 225 indicated the existence of a significant effect between the use of carotenoids in feed and 226 the final weight of the laying hens (<0.05). However, a heterogeneity test was required 227 before conclusions could be drawn. The heterogeneity analysis indicated variability in 228 all studies (<0.05) (as shown in Table 2), meaning the premise of heterogeneity should 229 be accepted. This was additionally verified by the peak percentage of I^2 at 97.662%.

Furthermore, the validation test against publication bias with Kendall's test showed that the p-value using rank correlation was p>0.05. Therefore, the funnel plot formed from the REM was symmetrical, and there was no publication bias. In conclusion, the use of the REM method in a meta-analysis of final body weight parameters was valid. The results showed that carotenoids could increase the final weight of laying chickens. This finding aligns with the investigations by Sun et al. (2019) and Lokaewmanee et al. (2012), which reported that the use of carotenoids in feed can improve the production efficiency of laying hens. The chickens used for this meta-analysis were in the egglaying phase. Laying hens would grow quickly during the starter to pre-layer period.

239

240 Egg Production

The egg production parameters were calculated based on the value of hen day production (HDP). HDP is the sum of eggs produced divided by the total number of chickens and then multiplied by 100%. The literature used in the HDP meta-analysis comprised 36 journals and 101 databases. Based on the calculation utilizing the REM, the SMD value was 0.38 (CI 0.14, 0.63) (Table 2). The gap (CI) did not include 0 (zero), which meant the experimental group deviated from the control but only to a very small extent. This was also demonstrated through the positive summary effect.

248 The heterogeneity analysis revealed the presence of variability in all studies (<0.05) 249 (evident in Table 2). Thus, the presumption of homogeneity should be declined, and the 250 premise of heterogeneity is perceived, namely, that the variability did not occur due to a 251 sampling failure. This was likewise confirmed by the high levels of I^2 (98.868%) (Table 252 2). Furthermore, Kendall's test was used as a validation test against publication bias. 253 The outcomes showed that a symmetrical funnel plot was formed, or there was no 254 publication bias. The results showed that carotenoids can increase egg production. This 255 aligns with Al Nedawi et al. (2014) and An et al. (2019), who found that the addition of 256 carotenoids to feed enhances the performance of laying hens.

257 Chicken eggs are a nutritional food that has been widely consumed by global 258 consumers (Leenierowski and Stangierski, 2018). Several factors could influence egg 259 production, including breed, age, health conditions, maintenance systems, feed, and

ambient temperature. Carotenoids worked as antioxidants, reducing the free radical effects. This will affect chicken metabolism and immunity. Carotenoids are antiinflammatory compounds that play a role in cell protection mechanisms. The addition of microalgae to feed increases the number of trophic agents in the intestinal mucosa for stimulating the mitotic process in the villi.

265

266 Effectiveness of Carotenoids on Egg Quality

267 Egg Weight

A total of 115 databases were used for the meta-analysis of egg weight parameters. 268 Based on calculations using the REM, the overall SMD value was 0.29 with 95% CI 269 270 (0.09, 0.50; Table 3). Since the CI did not contain 0 (zero), it can be stated that the effect of the treatment given to the experimental group was not quite the same as for the 271 272 control group in terms of the egg weight parameters. The aftereffects of the analysis 273 show that the true effect size was not equivalent to 0, thus indicating a significant 274 correlation between carotenoid supplementation in feed and egg weight (p<0.05). 275 However, before legitimizing this result from the REM, heterogeneity tests were 276 required to measure the accuracy of the outcomes.

277 The heterogeneity analysis of the role of carotenoids on egg weight showed that 278 variability occurred in all studies (p<0.05) (listed in Table 3). Therefore, the premise of 279 homogeneity was dismissed while that of heterogeneity was recognized, i.e., the 280 variability that occurred was not caused by a testing error. This was likewise confirmed by the high level of I^2 (inconsistency), which stood at 98.27% (Table 3). Thus, it can be 281 282 concluded that dietary carotenoids effectively increase egg weight. A validation test 283 (Kendall's test) of the effectiveness of carotenoids in increasing egg weight was 284 required to ascertain whether there was any publication bias. The outcomes of the

analysis showed that the p-value (rank correlation test for funnel plot asymmetry) was asymmetrical, thus providing the presence of publication bias (p<0.05). The positive rating correlation (0.129) in Table 3 indicates that reviews with very large sample sizes were excluded from the meta-analysis study sample. Therefore, the result based on the REM was not valid, as indicated by the result for publication bias in Kendall's test (p<0.05).

One of the primary criteria for egg grading was egg weight. Egg weight typically ranges between 50-70 g, depending on the age and genotype of hens (Travel et al., 2011). Carotenoids with a higher fat content in the feed will be absorbed in the small intestine. Absorption outputs are transported to the liver and tissues via portomikron and lipoproteins. Hight carotenoid and lipoprotein bonds increase yolk weight, resulting in egg weight (Fernandes et al., 2020).

297

298 Shell Thickness

299 The meta-analysis of natural carotenoid benefits on shell thickness was conducted 300 using 95 databases. Based on the REM, the overall SMD value was -0.02 with 95% CI 301 (-0.201, 0.78; Table 3). The CI contains a value of 0 (zero), meaning there was no 302 distinction between the experimental and control groups. The results of the analysis 303 show that the true value of the effect size was 0; therefore, there was no significant 304 correlation between carotenoid supplementation in feed and shell thickness (p>0.05). 305 However, before reaching any conclusions based on REM, heterogeneity tests were 306 required to measure the accuracy of the results.

The heterogeneity analysis of the role of carotenoids on eggshell thickness showed that variability occurred in all studies (p<0.05) (as shown in Table 3). Hence, heterogeneity, as opposed to homogeneity, should be perceived, i.e., the variability was

310 not caused by a sampling inaccuracy. This was also demonstrated by the high I^2 311 (inconsistency) value of 97.964%. From this, it can be assumed that dietary carotenoids 312 were beneficial in increasing eggshell thickness, although the effect was small.

313 A validation test (Kendall's test) of the effectiveness of carotenoids in increasing 314 shell thickness was required to determine the presence of publication bias. The 315 outcomes of the analysis revealed that the resulting funnel plot was well-proportioned. 316 As such, no evidence was found of publication bias (p>0.05). The positive rating 317 correlation (0.035) indicated that studies with large samples were excluded from the 318 meta-analysis of the study sample. It is thus possible to conclude that the result obtained from the REM was valid, as denoted by the high inconsistency and low publication bias 319 320 from Kendall's test (p>0.05).

Environmental conditions and the availability of calcium minerals in the feed are 321 322 factors that influence eggshell thickness. Good-quality eggshells will protect the 323 internals of the eggs and extend their shelf life (Kalvandi et al., 2022). When the 324 chicken is exposed to high ambient temperatures, the quality of the eggshells suffers. 325 High environmental temperatures cause an increase in respiratory activity (panting) in hens, thereby causing a decrease in CO compounds in the body. During the shell 326 327 formation process, Ca binds with CO to form CaCO₃. Alkalosis or CO deficiency 328 causes an increase in Ca levels in the blood. Alkalosis causes a low pH in the blood, 329 making it acidic. Carotenoids, in this case, act as antioxidants that can reduce the risk of 330 heat stress, reduce panting, and increase eggshell quality, although the analysis reported 331 no effect.

332

333 Egg Yolk Colour Intensity

334 A total of 92 databases were interrogated for the meta-analysis of the effect of 335 natural carotenoid feed on egg yolk colour intensity parameters. An overall SMD value 336 of 2.110 with 95% CI (1.707, 2.51; Table 3) was obtained from calculations using the 337 REM. Here, CI did not include 0 (zero); therefore, the treatment in the experimental 338 group was divergent from that of the control group. The analysis results show that the 339 true effect size was not 0, meaning there was a very large correlation between 340 carotenoid supplementation in feed and egg yolk colour (p<0.05). However, 341 heterogeneity tests were needed to measure the accuracy of the results based on this 342 REM.

The heterogeneity analysis of the effect of carotenoids on egg yolk colour intensity 343 344 indicated that variability occurred in all studies (p<0.05) (as shown in Table 3). 345 Therefore, the presumption of heterogeneity should be acknowledged, implying that the 346 inconsistency was not generated by a sampling error. This was also proven by the high 347 I^2 (inconsistency) value of 98.93% (Table 3). It can therefore be concluded that dietary 348 carotenoids effectively increase egg yolk colour intensity. A validation test (Kendall's 349 test) of the effectiveness of carotenoids in increasing egg yolk colour intensity was then 350 required to test for the presence of publication bias. The validation test showed that the 351 funnel plot was balanced, meaning there was no publication bias (p>0.05). The positive 352 correlation (0.037) indicated that studies with large samples were excluded from the 353 meta-analysis sample. Therefore, the results of the calculations with REM were valid, as 354 shown by the high inconsistency and no publication bias through Kendall's test 355 (p>0.05).

The measurement of yolk colour intensity in this meta-analysis used the Roche Yolk Color Fan (RYCF) method. The colour of the yolk is a necessary factor in consumer egg selection. Colour is influenced by pigment content (usually xanthophyll), type, and compounds (Altuntas and Aydin 2014). Several materials used in this metaanalysis provided the highest effect size for the egg yolk colour parameter, including marigold flowers (*Tagetes erecta L.*), algae (*Haematococcus sp.*), and lupine seeds (*Lupinus luteus L.*). β -carotene is a provitamin A compound used to improve the colour of egg yolks (Bidura et al., 2020). Through its absorption in the small intestine, β carotene can improve the colour of egg yolks.

365

366 Haugh Unit

A total of 102 databases were used in the assessment of the HU parameter. The calculations using REM produced an overall SMD value of 0.26 with 95% CI (0.105, 0.418; Table 3). Since the CI did not touch the value of 0 (zero), it can be stated that the treatment of the experimental group was discrete from the control. The result of the true effect size was not 0, thus demonstrating an impressive relationship between carotenoid supplementation in feed and HU (p<0.05). Nonetheless, heterogeneity tests were required to measure the accuracy of the results.

374 The heterogeneity analysis of the role of carotenoids in the HU showed the 375 occurrence of variability in all studies (p<0.05) (as shown in Table 3). Therefore, the 376 supposition of homogeneity is dismissed, and the presumption of heterogeneity is 377 acknowledged, i.e., the variability was not caused by a sample distribution mistake. This was likewise shown by the high level of I^2 (96.82%). Thus, it can be concluded that 378 379 dietary carotenoids effectively increased HU. A validation test (Kendall's test) of the 380 effectiveness of carotenoids in increasing HU was needed to check for the presence of 381 publication bias. The analysis showed that the funnel was unbalanced, which implies 382 publication bias (p<0.05). The positive correlation (0.149) in Table 3 shows that studies 383 with enormous samples were excluded from the review. Accordingly, REM was not suitable for use in this meta-analysis, as indicated by the publication bias revealed through Kendall's test (p<0.05).

386 Many factors contributed to publication bias. Those factors were writers who tend 387 to enter only positive data, editors or reviewers who tend to select articles with positive 388 results, and meta-analytical users who are subjective in their article selection. In 389 addition, it can also be caused by measurement results that are indeed positive. The 390 average HU value used in this meta-analysis was measured less than 24 hours after egg 391 collection, so the eggs' quality was still good. The HU value was affected by the height 392 of the egg white (Narushin et al., 2021). A higher HU value indicated that the egg quality was getting better (Martinez et al., 2021). The HU scores in this study ranged 393 394 from 60 to 99. According to the USDA (2020), HU numbers >72 are classified as "AA" quality eggs, 60-70 are "A" quality eggs, 31-60 are "B" quality eggs, and <31 are 395 396 categorized as "C" quality eggs. Antioxidants in poultry feed could delay the natural 397 oxidation process of internal egg components, but had no direct effect on albumen and 398 HU (Fernandes et al., 2020). Measurement time could affect the value of HU. If the 399 measurement is taken shortly after egg retrieval, the HU results will also increase.

400

401 Egg Yolk Cholesterol

402 Research information on the egg yolk cholesterol (EYC) parameters was obtained 403 from 27 databases. Based on the REM calculations, the SMD value was -0.376 with 404 95% CI (-0.591, -0.160; Table 3). Given that the CI did not meet the value of 0 (zero), it 405 can be stated that the experimental group was dissimilar to the control. The analysis 406 results show that the true effect size was not equivalent to 0. This showed a critical 407 negative connection between carotenoid supplementation in feed and EYC (p<0.05). 408 Nevertheless, heterogeneity tests were still required to quantify the precision of the409 results of this REM.

410 The heterogeneity analysis of the role of carotenoids on EYC revealed that 411 variability occurred in all studies (p<0.05) (as shown in Table 3). Therefore, the premise 412 of heterogeneity is acknowledged, i.e., the variability was not caused by a sampling 413 omission. This was also denoted by the high level of I^2 , which stood at 97.85%. Hence, 414 it can be reasoned that carotenoid content has a powerful effect in reducing EYC. A 415 validation test (Kendall's test) of the effectiveness of carotenoids in increasing EYC 416 was required to test for the presence of publication bias. The investigation revealed that 417 the funnel plot was balanced, so there was no publication bias (p>0.05). The forest plot 418 is shown in Figure 2 and the funnel plot is in Fig. 5. The negative rating correlation (-419 0.217) indicates that studies with large samples were included in the study sample. In 420 summary, the REM outcomes were valid, as indicated by the high inconsistency and 421 low publication bias (p>0.05).

Based on subgroup analysis using OpenMEE software, the relationship between carotenoid additives and EYC levels was negatively correlated. That is, the addition of carotenoids can significantly lower EYC. Alfalfa meal, Moringa leaves, and *Haematococcus pluvialis* algae are more effective at lowering cholesterol than other carotenoid sources. Meanwhile, when considering the level of carotenoids, the best result for lowering EYC was obtained following the addition of 4–6% Moringa leaves (Bidura et al., 2020).

Moringa oleifera is a plant from the Moringaceae family and is widely used in traditional medicine. Moringa plants are found widely across the continents of Asia and Africa. People use the leaves as they are thought to be rich in cancer-preventing agents, nutrients, carotenoids, alkaloids, tannins, and saponins (Leone et al., 2015). *M. oleifera* 433 leaves have also been used to improve productivity and meat quality in broiler chickens 434 (Sharmin et al., 2020). The addition of 1–1.5% Moringa leaves can essentially reduce 435 serum cholesterol and EYC levels in native laying hens aged 26–42 weeks (p<0.05) 436 (Sharmin et al., 2021). In addition to carotenoids, *M. oleifera* contains saponins that can 437 bind cholesterol. The decrease in fatty substances and cholesterol content occurs mainly 438 due to an increase in lipogenic catalyst movement and the greater discharge of bile acids 439 in feces (Patil et al., 2010). The addition of H. Pluvialis 0.01-0.08% has also been 440 found to have a good effect on lowering EYC. Shao et al. (2019) stated that H. Pluvialis 441 (nonsaline single-celled microalgae) is one of the best sources of astaxanthin.

442 Many carotenoids are derived from plant parts containing crude fiber. This fiber 443 could bind bile acids, preventing fat absorption and increasing fat excretion through 444 feces. Carotenoids are transported from the intestinal mucosa into the blood via 445 lymphatic vessels, then to the liver, and finally to the surrounding tissues via very low-446 density lipoprotein (VLDL). The high cholesterol content of egg yolks (around 200 mg) 447 is a concern for consumers, particularly the elderly and people with 448 hypercholesterolemia (Xia et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2019). Excessive consumption of 449 high-cholesterol foods may result in atherosclerosis and a fatty liver.

450 According to Patil et al. (2010) and Syahruddin et al. (2013), lowering lipogenic 451 enzyme activity and increasing bile acids contributed to the decrease in cholesterol and 452 triglyceride levels. Carotene's ability to lower cholesterol is related to the enzyme 453 Hydroxy Methyl Glutaryl CoA (HMG-CoA). This enzyme is essential in the 454 biosynthesis of cholesterol. β-carotene can lower blood cholesterol levels by inhibiting 455 the function of the HMG-CoA enzyme in the formation of mevalonate in the 456 biosynthesis of cholesterol (Bidura et al., 2021). The mevalonic pathway, which starts 457 with acetyl CoA, is where cholesterol and beta-carotene are synthesized together. If β-

458 carotene consumption exceeds saturated fatty acid consumption, the HMG-CoA enzyme 459 biosynthesis process will be directed to β -carotene synthesis. Mevalonic is needed to 460 inhibit cholesterol-forming enzymes.

461

462 Egg Yolk Carotenoid

A total of 26 databases were used in the analysis of egg yolk carotenoid parameters. The overall SMD value in light of the computations using REM was 1.17 with 95% CI (0.591, 1.75; Table 3). The CI was not equal to 0 (zero), which implies that the treatment in the experimental group was not the same as for the control. The true effect size was not equivalent to 0. This shows a huge correlation between dietary carotenoid and egg yolk carotenoid (p<0.05). However, heterogeneity tests were required to gauge the precision of the results from this REM.

470 The heterogeneity analysis of the role of carotenoids on egg yolk carotenoids 471 indicated variability across all studies (p<0.05) (as shown in Table 3). Accordingly, the 472 premise of heterogeneity is perceived, i.e., the variability was not caused by a sampling 473 error. Heterogeneity was likewise confirmed by the high level of I^2 , at 99.40%. 474 Kendall's test was expected to indicate the effectiveness of carotenoids in improving 475 egg yolk carotenoids regardless of any publication bias. The result indicated an 476 asymmetrical form for the funnel plot. As such, the result obtained from the REM was 477 invalid due to the presence of publication bias (p<0.05). The positive rating relationship 478 (0.43) in Table 3 shows that studies with very large samples were excluded from the 479 meta-analysis sample.

480 Giving as much as 10 g of tomato flour per kg of feed can affect the concentration
481 of carotenoids (lycopene and β-carotene) in blood serum, thus influencing egg quality
482 (Akdemir et al., 2012). The two dominant carotenoid groups contribute to increasing

483 egg yolk color, namely lycopene and astaxanthin (Shevchenko et al., 2021). Unlike β-484 carotene, the two substances are directly accumulated in the egg yolk after absorption. 485 Meanwhile, carotene would be transformed into vitamin A, so it would not affect the 486 color of the yolk. β-carotene had a positive effect on the morphological parameters and 487 egg composition.

488

489 Effectiveness of Carotenoids on Laying Hen Immunity

490 Immunoglobulin (IgA) Serum

The literature used for the meta-analysis comprised three journals with seven effect sizes. The analysis outcomes showed that the studies were heterogeneous (Q = 47,327, p<0.001). Thus, the REM was suitable for estimating the mean effect size of the seven analyzed studies. The results of the analysis also indicated the potential to investigate moderator variables. The results of the analysis using the REM indicated a strong positive correlation (estimate 0.74) between the addition of natural carotenoids to the diet and IgA (z = 2.610; p = 0.009; 95% CI 0.18, 1.29) (Table 4).

498 Furthermore, the validation test against publication bias using Kendall's test 499 yielded a p-value of <0.05. It can thus be interpreted that the funnel plot formed from 500 the REM was asymmetric, or there was evidence of publication bias. Therefore, the 501 findings obtained from the REM regarding the viability of carotenoids against IgA were not valid, as indicated by the high I^2 (94.180%). Bias was nevertheless observed 502 503 through Kendall's test (<0.05) (shown in Table 4). Several factors can contribute to 504 biased results, including an insufficient volume of literature, databases that are too small, 505 and unpublished literature.

506 IgA is one of the antibodies involved in allergic reactions. These antibodies were 507 usually found in the mucous lining and membrane, especially in the digestive and

508 respiratory tracts. Natural antioxidants have been extensively researched to replace 509 antibiotic function. Giving as much as 4% of the red seaweed Chondrus crispus in 510 laying hen feed has a positive physiological effect during Salmonella enteritidis 511 infection. The amount of IgA in red blood cells exceeded the antibiotic treatment, which 512 was 19.83 mg/mL (Kulshreshtha et al., 2017). However, a different response was shown 513 by another type of seaweed, the Sarcodiotheca variant. The addition of Sarcodiotheca 514 sp. at 4% produces lower serum IgA than 2%. This demonstrated that the use of feed 515 ingredients required a specific dose to improve the physiological function of laying hens. 516 In the research by Zhu et al. (2021), the use of the yeast Phaffia rhodozyma as a source 517 of astaxanthin had a positive effect on IgG parameters but did not affect IgA parameters. 518 Increased serum IgG is associated with T-cell proliferation.

519

520 White Blood Cells (WBC) Serum

521 The meta-analysis used three journals with seven databases. The analysis showed 522 that the seven effect sizes of the analyzed studies were heterogeneous (Q = 12,348) as 523 the results were p=0.05. Thus, the REM was suitable for estimating the mean effect size. 524 The analysis results also indicated the potential to investigate moderating variables 525 influencing the relationship between the addition of natural carotenoids and WBC. The 526 results of the study using the REM indicated a very strong positive correlation (estimate 527 0.052) between the addition of natural carotenoids in the diet and WBC (z = 0.467; p =528 0.641; 95% CI -0.17, 0.27). Furthermore, publication bias was tested using Kendall's 529 test (p>0.05). The investigation revealed that the p-value of the rank correlation method 530 was >0.05. It can thus be interpreted that the funnel plot formed from the REM was 531 symmetrical, or there was no publication bias. In conclusion, the use of the REM in this

532 meta-analysis was valid, as indicated by a high I^2 (94.180%), and no bias was found 533 using Kendall's test (Table 4).

534 Like other animal species, chickens are unable to synthesize carotenoids in their 535 bodies and must therefore acquire them from their eating regimen. Nogareda et al. 536 (2016) investigated differences in the general health indicators of broilers fed high 537 levels of carotenoids and controls. The relationship between carotenoids, oxidative 538 pressure, and the immune system in seagulls was likewise examined by Lucas et al. 539 2014. A diet high in carotenoids may induce more rapid follicular repopulation than a 540 control diet, thereby lessening early irritation and improving the resistant reaction 541 (Blount et al., 2003; Chew and Park, 2004). It has also been reported that leukocyte 542 depletion in chickens fed a diet high in carotenoids may reflect a better response to 543 vaccines (Oladele et al., 2005; Nogareda et al., 2016). The antioxidant function of 544 carotenoids is important for immunomodulation. However, this results in a depletion of 545 circulating carotenoid content during periods of immune stress and a universal reduction 546 in product pigmentation (Hamelin and Altemueller, 2012). The liver is the main 547 stockpiling network for carotenoids and retinol, whereas serum carotenoids represent a collection of mobile pigments transported to peripheral tissues (Koutsos et al., 2003; 548 549 Jlali et al., 2012).

550

551 Mechanism of Carotenoids in the Body

The term carotenoids refers to the class of naturally occurring pigments (red, yellow, and orange) that are found generally in nature and were first isolated by Berzeli in the early 19th century. More than 750 types of natural carotenoids have been distinguished to date, of which 50 have been identified as playing a significant role in the organic functions of animals and humans (Mezzomo and Ferreira, 2016; Pasarin and 557 Rovinaru, 2018; Nabi et al., 2020). Based on their compound construction, carotenoids 558 are grouped into two divisions: xanthophylls and carotenes (Privadarshani and Rath, 559 2012; Saini et al., 2015). Xanthophylls are oxygen-containing carotenoids, including 560 lutein, zeaxanthin, and β -cryptoxanthin, while carotenes do not contain oxygen but do 561 feature hydrocarbon compounds. Carotenes include α -carotene, β -carotene, and 562 lycopene. Based on the compounds found within this large group, carotenoids can be 563 grouped into provitamin A (β -carotene, cryptoxanthin) and non-provitamin A (lycopene, 564 lutein, and zeaxanthin).

565 Carotenoids have various biological functions, including antibacterial and 566 immunomodulatory properties (Arain et al., 2018; Nabi et al., 2020) that help to boost 567 the immune system (Yeuhm et al., 2009; Simons et al., 2012). The antioxidant content 568 in carotenoids can protect the body against damage caused by ROS (reactive oxygen 569 species). Poultry is incapable of synthesizing carotenoids in vivo, which means they 570 must be provided in feed (O'Byrne and Blaner, 2013). Lutein, zeaxanthin, and 571 canthaxanthin are commonly used carotenoids in poultry feed. Of these, canthaxanthin 572 is considered the most effective in increasing egg yolk color. Chicken egg yolk is a 573 natural food source of carotenoids, especially xanthophyll, lutein, and zeaxanthin, which 574 can help to prevent cataracts and macular degeneration (Abdel-Aal et al., 2013; 575 Demmig-Adams et al., 2020; Kljak et al., 2021). The provitamin A in carotenoids is 576 activated in the presence of enzymes in the intestinal mucosa. These enzymes are 577 oxygenases that break down provitamin A molecules into retinal (vitamin A aldehyde) 578 and retinal reductase (Goodwin, 1986). Retinal reductase converts retinal into retinol. 579 Retinol and its metabolites, such as retinoic acid, play a role in vision, immunity, brain 580 function, tissue repair, and metabolism (Brossaud et al., 2017). The absorption of 581 vitamin A in the digestive tract requires fat with a combination of bile acids. It is

therefore possible to absorb carotenoid-containing vitamins with the help of these two substances (Silva and Furlanetto, 2018). In the early stages of chicken growth, carotenoids are distributed in the blood, liver, adipose tissue, skin, and feathers. During sexual maturation, carotenoids are then transferred to the reproductive organs, such as the ovaries, and transported by VLDL (very low-density lipoprotein) into oocytes and stored in egg yolk (Hansen et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2020).

588

589 **Publication Bias**

590 Publications bias in the meta-analysis was caused by several factors. Those factors 591 were writers who tend to enter only positive data, editors or reviewers who tend to 592 select articles with positive results, few data obtained, and meta-analytical users who are 593 subjective in their article selection. Moreover, it can also be caused by measurement 594 results that are indeed positive. Fail-Safe N is an approach suggested by Rosenthal to 595 overcome the problem of publication bias. Rosenthal assumes that studies with 596 statistically significant results are more likely to be published than studies with non-597 significant results. "File Drawer" is a label given by Rosenthal to research that has not been published. The result has not been published because of insignificant outcomes. In 598 599 this condition, there will be publication bias. This method can answer how many studies 600 need to be added to reduce the results of all tests that are significant to insignificant.

Publication bias can cause the funnel to be asymmetrical. If there are more small studies on the right, then our concern is that there may be missing studies on the left. The trim and fill method removes the enormous small experiment from the positive side of the funnel plot, recalculating the effect size at each iteration until the funnel plot is symmetrical. In theory, this would result in an unbiased effect size estimate. Besides resulting in an adjusted effect size, trimming also reduces the variance of the effect and

607 results in a narrower confidence interval. Therefore, it is necessary to add the original 608 research to the analysis. Trim and Fill can create funnel plots with missing 609 (unpublished) studies, so researchers can see how the effect size shifts when missing 610 (unpublished) research is included in the analysis. If the friction is narrow, then other 611 people can believe the conclusions.

612

613 Conclusion

Based on the results and discussion in this study, it can be concluded that carotenoid supplementation in laying hen feed significantly improves performance (feed intake, final body weight, egg production), egg quality (egg weight, yolk colour, HU,

617 egg yolk cholesterol, egg yolk carotenoid), and immunity (IgA).



619 References

Abdel-Aal ESM, Akhtar H, Zaheer K, Ali R. 2013. Dietary sources of lutein and
zeaxanthin carotenoids and their role in eye health. Nutrients. 5: 1169-1185.

622 Akdemir F, Orhan C, Sahin N, Sahin K, Hayirli A. 2012. Tomato powder in laying hen

- diets: effect on concentrations of yolk carotenoids and lipid peroxidation. British
- 624 Poult Sci. 53(5): 675-680.
- Al-Nedawi NAA, Mohammed AA, Allow AA. 2014. Effect of adding different levels of
- 626 lycopene powder to the ration on some productive and egg quality parameters of627 the laying hens ISA-Brown. J Bio Agric Health. 4 (10): 10-19.
- Altuntas A, Aydin R. 2014. Fatty acid composition of egg yolk from chickens fed a diet
 including marigold (*Tagetes erecta L.*). J Lipids. 1-4.
- An BK, Choo W, C. Kang C, J. Lee J, and K. Lee K. 2019. Effects of dietary lycopene
 or tomato paste on laying performance and serum lipids in laying hens and on
 malondialdehyde content in egg yolk upon storage. 18(1): 630-635.
- Ao T, Macalintal LM, Paul MA, Pescatore AJ, Cantor AH, Ford MJ, Timmons B,
 Dawson KA. 2015. Effect of supplementing microalgae in laying hen diets on
 productive performance, fatty-acid profile, and oxidative stability of eggs. J Appl
 Poult Res. 00:1-7.
- Arain MA, Mei Z, Hassan F, Saeed M, Alagawany M, Shar A, Rajput I. 2018.
 Lycopene: A natural antioxidant for the prevention of heat-induced oxidative stress
 in poultry. World's Poult Sci J. 74(1): 89-100.
- 640 Bidura IGNG, Partama IBG, Utami IAP, Candrawati DPMA, Puspani E, Suasta IM,
- 641 Warmadewi DA, Okarini IA, Wibawa AAP, Nuriyasa IM, Siti NW. 2020. Effect of
- 642 Moringa oleifera leaf powder in diets on laying hen performance, β -carotene,
- cholesterol, and minerals contents in egg yolk. IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng. 823.

- 644 Bidura IGNG, Siti NW, Wibawa AAPP, Ariana INT, Puspani E. 2021. The effect of
- 645 carrot leaves meal fermented in diets on egg production, yolk cholesterol, and beta646 carotene in yolk of hens. Annals. 25(6): 18705-18711.
- Blount J, Metcalfe N, Birkhead T, Surai P. 2003. Carotenoid modulation of immune
 function and sexual attractiveness in zebra finches. Science. 300: 125-127.
- 649 Brossaud J, Pallet V, Corcuff JB. 2017. Vitamin A, endocrine tissues and hormones:
- 650 interplay and interactions. Endocrine Connections. 6: 121-130.
- 651 Chew BP, Park JS. 2004. Carotenoid action on the immune response. J Nutr. 134: 257-652 261.
- Demmig-Adams B, López-Pozo M, Stewart JJ, Adams WW. 2020. Zeaxanthin and
 lutein: Photoprotectors, anti-inflammatories, and brain food. Molecules. 25.
- 655 Duru M, Duru AA, Karadas K, Eyduran E, Cinli H, Tariq MM. 2017. Effect of carrot
- (*Daucus carota*) leaf powder on external and internal egg characteristics of Hy-line
 white laying hens. Pakistan J Zool. 49(1): 125-132.
- Ekmay RD, Chou K, Magnuson A, Lei XG. 2015. Continual feeding of two types of
- 659 microalgal biomass affected protein digestion and metabolism in laying hens. J660 Anim Sci. 93: 287-297.
- Englmaierova M, Skrivan M, Bubancova I. 2013. A comparison of lutein, spray-dried
 Chlorella, and synthetic carotenoids' effect on yolk color, oxidative stability, and
 reproductive performance of laying hens. Czech J Anim Sci. 58(9): 412-419.
- Faruk MU, Roos FF, Cisneros-Gonzalez F. 2018. A meta-analysis on the effect of
 canthaxanthin on egg production in brown egg layers. Poult Sci. 97(1): 84-87.
- Fernandes RTV, Goncalves AA, Arruda AMV. 2020. Production, egg quality, and
 intestinal morphometry of laying hens fed marine microalga. Brazilian J Anim Sci.
 1-11.
 - 29

669

670	Gao S, Runhua L, Nuo H, Chen Y, Wang L, Li Z, Guo Y, Sheng X, Wang X, Xing K, Ni
671	H, Qi X. 2020. Effects of dietary supplementation of natural astaxanthin
672	from Haematococcus pluvialis on antioxidant capacity, lipid metabolism, and
673	accumulation in the egg yolk of laying hens. Poult Sci. 99(11): 5874-5882.
674	Goodwin TW. 1986. Metabolism, nutrition, and function of carotenoids. Ann Rev Nutr.
675	6: 273-297.
676	Grcevic M, Kralik Z, Kralik G, Galovic D, Radisic Z, Hanzek D. 2019. Quality and

- 677 oxidative stability of eggs laid by hens fed marigold extract supplemented diet.678 Poult Sci. 0:1-7.
- 679 Grela ER, Knaga S, Winiarska-Mieezan A, Zieba G. 2019. Effects of dietary alfalfa
- protein concentrate supplementation on performance, egg quality, and fatty acid
 composition of raw, freeze-dried, and hard-boiled eggs from Polar laying hens.
 Poult Sci. 1-10.
- Gurevitch J, Koricheva J, Nakagawa S, Stewart G. 2018. Meta-analysis and the science
 of research synthesis. Nature. 555: 175-182.
- 685 Habanabashaka M, Sengabo M, Oladunjoye IO. 2013. Effect of tomato waste meal on
- lay performance, egg quality, lipid profile, and carotene content on eggs in laying
 hens. J Applied Anim Sci. 4(3): 555-559.
- Hamelin C, Altemueller U. 2012. The effect of carotenoids on yolk and skinpigmentation. World Poult. 8.
- Hansen H, Wang T, Dolde D, Xin H, Prusa K. 2015. Supplementation of laying-hen
- feed with annatto tocotrienols and impact of α -tocopherol on tocotrienol transfer to
- 692 egg yolk. J Agric Food Chem. 63(9): 2537-2544.
- Higgins J, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. 2003. Measuring inconsistency in

694 meta-analyses. Br Med J Clin Res Ed (Clin Res Ed). 327: 557-560.

- Honda M, Kawashima Y, Hirasawa K, Uemura T, Jinkun S, Hayashi Y. 2020. Possibility
 of using astaxanthin-rich dried cell powder from *Paracoccus carotinifaciens* to
 improve egg yolk pigmentation of laying hens. Symmetry. 12(923): 1-13.
- Jackson D, Bowden J. 2016. Confidence intervals for the between-study variance in a
- random-effects meta-analysis using generalized heterogeneity statistics: should we
 use unequal tails? BMC Medic Research Methodol. 16(118): 1-15.
- 701Jlali M, Graulet B, Chauveau-Duriot B, Chabault M, Godet E, Leroux S, Praud C, Le702Bihan-Duval E, Duclos MJ, Berri C. 2012. A mutation in the promoter of the703chicken β , β-carotene 15,15'-monooxygenase 1 gene alters xanthophyll
- metabolism through a selective effect on its mRNA abundance in the breast
 muscle. J Anim Sci. 90: 4280-4288.
- King AJ, JK Griffin. 2015. Short-term incorporation of tomato pomace and invert sugar
 in diets of one- and two-year-old layers. Global J Anim Sci Res. 3: 550-561.

708 Kljak K, Carovic-Stanko K, Kos I, Janjecic Z, Kis G, Duvnjak M, Safner T, Bedekovic

D. 2021. Plant carotenoids as pigment sources in laying hen diets: effect on yolk

- 710 color, carotenoid content, oxidative stability, and sensory properties of eggs. Foods.
 711 10(4): 721.
- Koricheva J, Gurevitch J, Mengersen K. 2013. Handbook of Meta-analysis in Ecology
 and Evolution. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford, UK.
- 714 Kotrbacek V, Skrivan M, Kopecky J, Penkava O, Hudeckova P, Uhrikova I, Doubek J.
- 715 2013. Retention of carotenoids in egg yolks of laying hens supplemented with
 716 heterotropic Chlorella. Czech J Anim Sci. 58(5): 193-200.
- Koutsos E, Clifford AJ, Calvert CC, Klasing KC. 2003. Maternal carotenoid status
 modifies the incorporation of dietary carotenoids into immune tissues of growing

- 719 chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). J Nutr. 133: 1132-1138.
- 720 Krawczyk M, Przywitowski M, Mikulski D. 2015. Effect of yellow lupine (L. luteus) on
- the egg yolk fatty acid profile, the physicochemical and sensory properties of eggs,and laying hen performance. Poult Sci. 00-1-8.
- 723 Kulshreshtha G, Rathgeber B, Maclsaac J, Boulianne M, Brigitte L, Stratton G, Thomas
- 724 NA, Critchley AT, Hafting J, Prithiviraj B. 2017. Feed supplementation with red
- seaweeds, *Chondrus crispus*, and *Sarcodiotheca gaudichaudii*, reduce *salmonella*enteritidis in laying hens. Front Microbiol. 8: 1-12.
- 727 Kwiatkowska K, Kwiecien M, Winiarska-Mieczan A. 2017. Fast-growing chickens fed
- with lucerne protein-xanthophyll concentrate: growth performance, slaughter yieldand bone quality. J Anim Feed Sci. 26:131-140.
- 730 Laudadio V, Ceci E, Lastella NMB, Introna M, Tufarelli V. 2014. Low-fiber alfalfa
- 731 (*Medicago sativa L.*) meal in the laying hen diet: Effects on productive traits and
 732 egg quality. Poult Sci. 93: 1868-1874.
- 733 Leone A, Spada A, Battezzati A, Schiraldi A, Aristil J, Bertoli S. 2015. Cultivation,
- genetic, ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry, and pharmacology of *Moringa oleifera* Leaves: an overview. Int J Mol Sci. 16(6): 12791-12835.
- Leenierowski G, Stangierski J. 2018. What's new in chicken egg research and
 technology for human health promotion? A review Trends in Food Science and
 Technology. 71:46-51.
- 739 Lokaewmanee K, Yamauchi K, Okuda N. 2012. Effect of dietary red pepper on egg yolk
- 740 color and histological intestinal morphology in laying hens. Anim Physiol Anim741 Nutr. 97: 986-995.
- Lucas A, Morales J, Velando A. 2014. Differential effects of specific carotenoids on
 oxidative damage and immune response of gull chicks. J Exp Biol. 217: 1253-1262.

- Magnuson AD, Sun T, Yin R, Liu G, Tolba S, Shinde S, Lei XG 2018. Supplemental
 microalgal astaxanthin produced coordinated changes in intrinsic antioxidant
 systems of layer hens exposed to heat stress. Algal Research. 33: 84-90.
- 747 Marounek M, Febriansyah A. 2018. Use of carotenoids in feed mixtures for poultry: a
 748 review. Agricultura Tropica et Subtropica. 51(3): 107-111.
- 749 Mezzomo N, Ferreira SRS. 2016. Carotenoids Functionality, Sources, and Processing
- by Supercritical Technology: A Review. Hindawi Publishing Corporation J ofChemist. 1-16
- Milani A, Basirnejad M, Shahbazi S, Bolhassani A. 2017. Carotenoids: biochemistry,
 pharmacology, and treatment. British J Pharmacol. 174: 1290-1324.
- Moeini MM, Ghazi SH, Sadeghi S, Malekizadeh M. 2013. The effect of red pepper
 (*Capsicum annuum*) and marigold flower (*Tagetes erecta L.*) powder on egg
 production, egg yolk color, and some blood metabolites of laying hens. J Applied
 Anim Sci. 3(2): 301-305.
- 758 Moreno JA, Diaz-Gomez J, Fuentes-Font L, Angulo E, Goncalves LF, Sandmann G,

759 Portero-Otin M, Capell T, Zhu C, Christou P, Nogareda C. 2018. Poultry diets

- 760 containing (keto)carotenoid-enrich maize improve egg yolk color and maintain761 quality. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 1-10.
- 762 Nabi F, Arain MAA, Rajput N, Alagawany M, Soomro J, Umer M, Soomro F, Wang Z,
- Ye R, Liu J. 2020. Health benefits of carotenoids and potential application in
 poultry industry: A Review. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 104: 1809-1818.
- Narushin VG, Romanov MN, Griffin DK. 2021. A novel egg quality index as an
 alternative to haugh unit score. Journal of Food Engineering. 298:1-6.
- Nobakht A. 2015. The possibility of using watermelon waste in laying hen diets. J
 Applied Anim Sci. 5(2): 459-462.

- 769 Nogareda C, Moreno JA, Angulo E, Sandmann G, Portero M, Capell T, Zhu C, Christou
- P. 2016. Carotenoid-enriched transgenic corn delivers bioavailable carotenoids to
- poultry and protects them against coccidiosis. Plant Biotechnol J. 14(1): 160-168.
- O'Byrne SM, Blaner WS. 2013. Retinol and retinyl esters: biochemistry and physiology.
 J Lipid Res. 54: 1731-1743.
- Oladele O, Adene D, Obi T, Nottidge H, Aiyedun A. 2005. Sequential hematological
 study of experimental infectious bursal disease virus infection in chickens, turkeys,
 and ducks. Rev Élev Méd Vét Pays Trop. 58: 211-215.
- 777 Oliveira MC, Silva WD, Oliveira HC, Moreira EQB, Ferreira LO, Gomes YS, Souze
- Junior MAP. 2017. Paprika and/or marigold extracts in diets for laying hens. Rev
 Bras Saude Prod Anim. 18(2): 293-302.
- 780 Omri B, Amraoui M, Tarek A, Lucarini M, Durazzo A, Cicero N, Santini A, Kamoun M.
- 781 2019. *Arthrospira platensis* (Spirulina) supplementation on laying hen
 782 performance: eggs' physical, chemical, and sensory qualities. Foods. 8(386): 1-12.
- Omri B, Chalghoumi R, Abdouli H. 2017. Study of the effects of dietary
 supplementation of linseeds, fenugreek seeds, and tomato-pepper mix on laying
 hen's performance, egg yolk lipids and antioxidants profiles, and lipid oxidation
 status. J Anim Sci Livest Prod. 1(2): 1-8.
- Panaite TD, Nour V, Saracila M, Turcu RP, Untea AE, Vlaicu PA. 2021. Effect of
 linseed meal and carotenoids from different sources on egg characteristics, yolk
 fatty acid, carotenoid profile, and lipid peroxidation. Foods. 10: 1-21.
- 189 Taity acid, carotenold prome, and npid peroxidation. Foods. 10. 1-21.
- Panaite TD, Nour V, Vlaicu PA, Ropota M, Corbu AR, Saracila M. 2019. Flaxseed and
 dried tomato waste used together in laying hens diet. Archive of Anim Nutr. 73(3):
 222-238.
- 793 Pasarin D, Rovinaru C. 2018. Sources of carotenoids and their uses as animal feed

- 794 additives a review. Anim. Sci. 61(2): 74-85.
- Patil RH, Prakash K, Maheshwari VL. 2010. Hypolipidemic effect of *Celastrus paniculatus* in experimentally induced hypercholesterolemic Wistar rats. Indian J
 Clin Biochem. 25(4): 405–410.
- Priyadarshani I, Rath B. Commercial and industrial applications of micro algae A
 review. J Algal Biomass Utln. 3(4): 89-100.
- 800 Rutkowski A, Hejdysz M, Kaczmarek S, Adamski M, Nowaczewski S, Jamroz D. 2017.
- 801 The effect of addition of yellow lupin seeds (*Lupinus luteus L.*) to laying hen diets
 802 on performance and egg quality parameters. J Anim Feed Sci. 26: 247-256.
- 803 Saini RK, Nile SH, Park SW. 2015. Carotenoids from fruits and vegetables: chemistry,
- analysis, occurrence, bioavailability, and biological activities. Food Research Inter.
 76(3): 735-750.
- Salajegheh MH, Ghazi S, Mahdavi R, Mozafari O. 2012. Effects of different levels of
 dried tomato pomace on performance, egg quality, and serum metabolites of laying
 hens. African J Biotechnol. 11(87): 15373-15379.
- 809 Saleh AA, Gawish E, Mahmoud SF, Amber K, Awad W, Alzawqari MH, Shukry M,
- 810 Abdel-Moneim AE. 2021. Effect of natural and chemical colorant supplementation
- 811 on performance, egg-quality characteristics, yolk fatty-acid profile, and blood
 812 constituents in laying hens. Sustainability. 13(8): 4503.
- 813 Sauvant D, Scmidely P, Daudin JJ, St-Pierre NR. 2008. Meta-analyses of experimental
 814 data in animal nutrition. Anim. 2(8): 1203-1214.
- 815 Shahsavari K. 2015. Influences of different sources of natural pigments on the color and
- quality of eggs from hens fed a wheat-based diet. Iranian J Appl Anim Sci. 5(1):
 167-172.
- 818 Shao Y, Gu W, Jiang L, Zhu Y, Gong A. 2019. Study on the Visualization of pigment in

- 819 *Haematococcus pluvialis* by Raman spectroscopy Technique Sci. Rep-uk, 9: 1-9.
- Sharmin F, Koyama T, Koyama H, Ishizaki S. 2020. Cholesterol-binding ability of
 saponin from Japanese Starfish. J Food Sci Technol. 58(8): 3056-3064.
- Sharmin F, Sarker MSK, Sarker NR, Faruque S. 2021. Dietary effect of *Moringa oleifera* on native laying hens' egg quality, cholesterol, and fatty-acid profile. 1544-
- 824 1553.
- Shevchenko LV, Davydovych VA, Midyk SV, Besuza OO. 2021. Enrichment of chicken
 table eggs with lycopene and astaxanthin. Regul Mech Biosyst. 12(1): 9-13.
- Silva MC, Furlanetto TW. 2018. Intestinal absorption of vitamin D: a systematic review.
 Nutrition Reviews. 76: 60-76.
- 829 Simons MJP, Cohen AA, Verhulst S. 2012. What does carotenoid-dependent coloration
- tell? Plasma carotenoid level signals immunocompetence and oxidative stress state
 in birds–a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE. 7(8): 1-14.
- Siti NW, Bidura IGNG, Mayuni SN, Suasta IM, Utami IAP. 2019. Effect of *Moringa oleifera* leaf powder in diets on feed digestibility and external egg quality
 characteristics in laying hens. Intern J Fau Biologic Stud. 6(4): 113-118.
- Skřivan M, Englmaierová M, Skřivanová E, Bubancova I. 2015. Increase in lutein and
 zeaxanthin content in the eggs of hens fed marigold flower extract. Czech J Anim
 Sci. 60(3): 89-96.
- 838 Skřivan M, Marounek M, Englmaierová M, Skřivanová E. Effect of increasing doses of
- 839 marigold (*Tagetes erecta*) flower extract on eggs carotenoids content, color, and
 840 oxidative stability. J Anim Feed Sci. 25: 58-64.
- Spasevski NJ, Dragojlovic DM, Colovic DS, Vidosavljevic SZ, Peulic TA, Rakita SM,
 Kokic BM. 2018. Influence of dietary carrot and paprika on egg physical
 characteristics and yolk color. Food Feed Research. 45(1): 59-66.

Spasevski NJ, Tasic T, Vukmirovic D, Banjac V, Rakita S, Levic J, Duragic O. 2017.
Effect of different levels of marigold and paprika on egg production and yolk color.

846 Archiva Zootech. 20(2): 51-57.

- Sriagtula R, Djulardi A, Yuniza A, Wizna, Zurmiati. 2019. Effects of the substitution of
 corn with sorghum and the addition of Indigofera leaf flour on the performance of
 laving hens. 7(10): 829-834.
- 850 St-Pierre NR. 2001. *Invited review:* Integrating quantitative findings from multiple
 851 studies using the mixed model methodology. J Dairy Sci. 84(4): 741-755.
- Sun J, Li M, Tang Z, Zhang X, Chen J, Sun Z. 2019. Effects of *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* fermentation product on the laying performance, egg quality, jejunal
 musical morphology, and intestinal microbiota of hens. J Appl Microbiol. 128: 5464.
- Tamiru B, Alkhtib A, Tamiru M, Demeke S, Burton E, Tolemariam T, Debela L,
 Janssens GPJ. 2021. Evaluation of dried papaya pomace meal in laying hen diets.
 Ved Med Sci. 7: 1914-1920.
- Titcomb TJ, Kaeppler MS, Cook ME, Simon PW, Tanumihardjo SA. 2019. Carrot
 leaves improve color and xanthophyll content of egg yolk in laying hens but are not
 as effective as commercially available marigold fortificant. Poult Sci. 98: 5208–
 5213.
- Travel A, Nys Y, Bain M. 2011. Improving the safety and quality of eggs and egg
 products. Egg chemistry, production, and consumption. Woodhead Publishing
 Series in Food Science, Technology, and Nutrition. 1: 300-329.
- Tufarelli V, Baghban-Kanani P, Azimi-Youvalari S, Hosseintabar-Ghasemabad B,
 Slozhenkina M, Gorlov I, Viktoronova FM, Seidavi A, Laudadio V. 2021. Effect of
 dietary flaxseed meal supplemented with dried tomato and grape pomace on

869	performance traits and antioxidant status of laying hens. Anim Biotech. 1-10.
870	United States Department of Agriculture [USDA]. 2020. Egg Grading Manual.
871	Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture,
872	Washington, USA.
873	Walker LA, Wang T, Xin H, Dolde D. 2012. Supplementation of laying hen feed with
874	palm tocos and algae astaxanthin for egg yolk nutrient enrichment. J Agric Food
875	Chem. 60: 1989-1999.
876	Wallace BC, Lajeunesse MJ, Dietz G, Dahabreh IJ, Trikalinos TA, Schmid CH,
877	Gurevitch J. 2016. OpenMEE: intuitive, open-source software for meta-analysis in
878	ecology and evolutionary biology. Methods Ecol. Evol. 8: 941-947.
879	Yeuhm K, Aldini G, Russell RM, Krinsky NI. 2009. Antioxidant/pro-oxidant actions of
880	carotenoids. 5: 235-268.

- Zheng M, Mao P, Tian X, Guo X, Meng L. 2018. Effect of dietary supplementation of
 alfalfa meal on growth performance, carcass characteristics, meat, and egg quality,
 and intestinal microbiota in Beijing-you chicken. Poult Sci. 1-10.
- Zhu Y, Yin L, Ge J, Wu X, Peng Y, Zhang T, Jiang M. 2021. Astaxanthin
 supplementation enriches productive performance physiological and immunological
 responses in laying hens. J Anim Biosci. 34(3): 443-448.

887

889 Tabel

Articles	Study Location	Treatment	Compound	Carotenoid level (g/kg)	Result
Lokaewmanee et al. (2012)	Japan	Red Pepper	Capsanthin and capsaicin	0-5.0	↑ yolk color ↑ villi height
Nobakht (2015)	Iran	Watermelon skin	β-carotene	0-2.0	↑ performance
An et al. (2019)	South Korea	Tomato paste	Lycopene	0-17.0	↑ egg production ↑ yolk lycopene
Grela et al. (2019)	Poland	Alfalfa	β-carotene	0-30.0	↑ yolk color and PUFA
Moeini et al. (2013)	Iran	Red Pepper, Marigold	Zeaxanthin and lutein	0-3.0	 ↑ yolk color ↓ cholesterol
Oliveira et al. (2017)	Brazil	Red Pepper, Marigold	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-7.0	↑ yolk color
Moreno et al. (2018)	Spain	New maize gen (Ph3, BKT)	β-carotene	0-62.06	↑ yolk color
Tufarelli et al. (2021)	Italy	Dried tomato pomace	Lycopene	0-15.0	No significant effect
Krawczyk et al. (2015)	Poland	L. Luteus	β-carotene	0-300.0	↑ yolk color and final body weight
Kotrbacek et al. (2013)	Czech Republic	Chlorella Algae	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-20.0	↑ yolk color ↑ yolk carotenoid
Bidura et al. (2020)	Indonesia	Moringa oleifera leaves	β-carotene	0-6.0	↑ performance, egg quality ↓ yolk cholesterol
Kulshreshtha et al. (2017)	Canada	C. crispus and Sarcodiotheca sp.	Carotene	0-40.0	 ↑ immunity ↓ radical effect
Zhu et al. (2021)	China	P. rhodozyma	Astaxanthin	0-1.6	↑ yolk color, immunity ↓ MDA blood
Ao et al. (2015)	USA	S. limacinum	Carotene	0-30.0	↑ egg yolk color and DHA
Ekmay et al. (2015)	USA	Desmodesmus spp., Staurosira spp.	Carotene	0-117.0	↑ digestion in ileum ↑ protein metabolism
Magnuson et al. (2018)	USA	H. pluvialis (algae)	Astaxanthin	0-0.08	↑ yolk carotenoid ↓ free radicals
Rutkowski et al. (2017)	Poland	Lupinus luteus L.	Xanthophyll	0-250.0	 ↓ performance ↑ yolk color
Al Nedawi et al. (2014)	Iraq	Fruit extracts	Lycopene and Carotene	0-0.20	 ↑ egg production ↑ egg quality
Omri et al. (2017)	Tunis	Linseed, tomato, paprika, fenugreek	Carotene	0-45.0	feed intake
Altuntas and Aydin (2014)	Turkey	Marigold (Tagetes erecta L.)	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-20.0	↑ SFA ↓ MUFA

Table 1. Description of studies used in the meta-analysis of dietary carotenoids in laying hens

Sriagtula et al. (2019)	Indonesia	Indigofera leaves	Xanthophyll	0-6.00	No significant effect
Sun et al. (2019)	China	Fermented R. mucilaginosa	β-carotene	0-12.5	↑ performance ↑ yolk color
Duru et al. (2017)	Turkey	Daucus carota leaves	Capsanthin and capsaicin	0-8.00	↑ internal and external egg quality
Titcomb et al. (2019)	USA	Marigold, carrot leaves	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-40.0	↑ yolk carotenoid ↑ yolk color
Shevchenko et al. (2021)	Ukraine	Haematococcus Algae, LycoRed	Lycopene, astaxanthin	0-0.09	↑ yolk color ↑ egg quality
Englmaierova et al. (2013)	Czech Republic	Lutein, chlorella	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-12.5	↑ yolk color ↑ shell strength
Laudadio et al. (2014)	Italy	Alfalfa	β-carotene	0-150.0	↑ yolk carotenoid ↑ yolk color
Skrivan et al. (2015)	Czech Republic	Marigold flowers	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-0.35	↑ yolk color ↑ egg quality
Zheng et al. (2018)	China	Alfalfa	β-carotene	0-100.0	↓ yolk cholesterol ↑ yolk color
Salajegheh et al. (2012)	Iran	Tomato	Lycopene	0-190.0	↑ egg yolk color
Panaite et al. (2021)	Romania	Kapia pepper, Sea buckthorn, carrot	β-carotene	0-20.0	↑ egg yolk color
Honda et al. 2020	Japan	P. carotinifaciens Panaferd-AX dan P	Astaxanthin	0-0.008	↑ egg yolk color and egg quality
Spasevski et al. (2018)	Serbia	Dried carrot, paprika	Carotene	0-15.0	 ↑ performance ↑ yolk carotenoid
Grcevic et al. (2019)	Croatia	Marigold flowers	Lutein	0-2.00	↑ egg yolk color
Shahsavari (2015)	Iran	Paprika, carrot, Alfalfa, tomato	Oxycarotenoid (xanthophyll)	0-50.0	No significant effect
Siti et al. (2019)	Indonesia	<i>Moringa oleifera</i> leaves	β-carotene	0-60.0	↑ shell thickness ↑ egg yolk color
Skrivan et al. (2016)	Czech Republic	Marigold flower	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-0.95	↑ egg yolk color
Panaite et al. (2019)	Romania	Dried tomato waste	Lycopene	0-75.0	↑ yolk carotenoid and color
King and Griffin (2015)	USA	Tomato pomace	Lycopene	0-10.0	No-significant effect
Bidura et al. (2021)	Indonesia	Fermented carrot leaves	Carotene	0-60.0	↑ yolk color ↓ yolk cholesterol
Spasevski et al. (2017)	Serbia	Marigold flowers, paprika	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-15.0	↑ egg yolk color
Saleh et al. (2021)	Egypt	Paprika	Lutein and zeaxanthin	0-4.00	 ↑ yolk color, fatty acids ↑ egg production
Akdemir et al. (2012)	Turkey	Tomato	Lycopene	0-10.0	↑ egg quality ↓ FCR
Habanabashaka et al. (2013)	Rwanda	Tomato (peel and seed) waste meal	Lycopene	0-9.00	↑ yolk color and carotenoid

					. 1					
Walke (2012)	er et)	al.	τ	JSA	Algae astaxanthin	Astaxa	anthin	0-2.94	↑ egg w	eigh
Omri e	et al. (201	19)	Т	unis	A. platensis	β-car	otene	0-2.50	↑ egg yo HU	olk c
Tamir (2021)	u et)	al.	Be	lgium	Papaya pomace	β-car	otene	0-7.50	↑ egg producti color ↓ feed c	ion,
↑, inci	rease; ↓, (decrea	se							
Table	2. Result	ts of th	ne me	eta-anal	ysis of the role of c	lietary carot	enoids or	n performan		
No	Parame	eter	N	SMD	0/d+ [RE 95% CI]	p-value	I ² (%)	p-value	Kendall' s t	p-
1	Feed intake		93	0	.32 [0.02, 0.61]	0.034	99.249	< 0.05	-0.069	(
2	FCR Final be	odv	78	-0.	31 [-0.60, -0.02]	0.034	99.066	< 0.05	-0.287	<
3	weight	ouy	56	0	.33 [0.05, 0.60]	0.02	97.662	< 0.05	0.048	(
4	Egg producti	on	101	0	.38 [0.14, 0.63]	0.002	98.868	< 0.05	0.118	(
(perce Table	ntage) 3. Result	ts of th	ne me	eta-anal	ysis of the role of c	lietary carot	enoids or	i egg qualit	y	
(perce Table No	3. Result	ts of th meter	ne me	eta-anal N	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI)	lietary carot p-value	enoids or I ² (%)	a egg qualit p-value	y Kendall' s τ	va
Table No	3. Result Para Egg we	ts of th meter ight	ne me	eta-anal N 115	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] 0.02 [0.09, 0.50]	bietary carot p-value	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27	n egg qualit p-value <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.025	v :
Table No 1 2 3	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg yo	ts of th meter ight icknes ilk col	ne me	eta-anal N 115 95 95	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51]	lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93	<u>egg qualit</u> p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037	v : 0. 0.
Table No 1 2 3 4	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg yo intensity Haugh	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit	ne me	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42]	lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001 0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82	p-value <pre></pre>	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149	v : 0. 0. 0.
Table No 1 2 3 4 5	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg yo intensit Haugh Egg yol choleste	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk erol	ne me	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27	ysis of the role of of SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16	lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids on I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85	eegg qualit p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217	v: 0. 0. 0. 0.
Table No 1 2 3 4 5 6	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensit Haugh Egg you choleste Egg you carotene	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk erol lk oid		eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27 26	ysis of the role of of SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75]	lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001 0.001] <0.001] <0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40	r egg qualit p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430	v : 0. 0. 0. 0.
TableNo123456N, nulleffect	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensity Haugh Egg you choleste Egg you carotement mber of co size) by t	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk erol lk oid databas randon	ne me ss lor ses; \$	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27 26 SMD/d- ects mo	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% CI; I	Lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001 0.001] <0.001] <0.001 fidence Inter ² , Inconsister	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Statestic ency (pero	n egg qualit p-value <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differe	v: 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
TableNo123456N, numeffectTable	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensity Haugh T Egg you choleste Egg you carotene mber of c size) by r	ts of th meter ight icknes ilk col y unit lk erol lk oid databas randon	ne me ss lor ses; S n-effe	eta-anal N 115 95 102 27 26 SMD/d- ects mo	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% CI; I ysis of the role of c	Lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Sta ency (pero	r egg qualit p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05	y Kendall' s τ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differe	v ; 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
TableNo123456N, numeffectTableNo	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensity Haugh th Egg you choleste Egg you carotene mber of c size) by th 4. Result Param	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit k erol k databas randon ts of th eter	ne me ss lor ses; S n-effe ne me	2115 95 95 102 27 26 SMD/d- ects mo	ysis of the role of of SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% CI; I ysis of the role of of I+(RE 95% CI)	Lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids or 1 ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Sta ency (percent enoids or 1 ² (%)	r egg qualit p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 entage) r immunity p-value	y Kendall' s τ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differe Kendall's τ	v: 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. ence
TableNo123456N, numerifectTableNo1	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensity Haugh u Egg you cholesta Egg you cholesta Egg you carotene mber of c size) by t 4. Result Param	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk erol lk oid databas randon ts of th eter	ne me ss lor ses; S n-effe <u>ne me</u>	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27 26 SMD/d- ects model eta-anal SMD/c 0.74	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% CI; I ysis of the role of c I+(RE 95% CI) 4 [0.18, 1.29]	Lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Sta ency (pero enoids or I ² I ² (%) 94.180	egg qualit p-value <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differed Kendall's τ 0.905	v ; 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. ence
TableNo123456N, numeffectTableNo12	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you intensity Haugh the Egg you choleste Egg you choleste Egg you carotener mber of co size) by the 4. Result Param IgA White blood co	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk erol lk oid databas randon ts of th eter ell	$\frac{\text{ne me}}{\text{ss}}$	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27 26 SMD/d-ects model eta-anal SMD/d-ects model 0.74 0.05	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con del with 95% CI; I ysis of the role of c I+(RE 95% CI) 4 [0.18, 1.29] 5 [-0.17, 0.27]	Lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Sta ency (pero enoids or I ² (%) 94.180 55.173	egg qualit p-value <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 <0.05 entage) nimmunity p-value <0.05 <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differe Kendall's τ 0.905 0.000	v : 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
TableNo123456N, numeffectTableNo12N, numeffect	3. Result Para Egg we Shell th Egg you choleste Egg you choleste Egg you choleste Egg you carotener mber of c size) by t 4. Result Param IgA White blood c	ts of th meter ight icknes olk col y unit lk oid databas randon ts of th eter ell databas	$\frac{10 \text{ me}}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$	eta-anal N 115 95 95 102 27 26 SMD/d-ects model eta-anal SMD/d-ects model 0.74 0.05 SMD/d-ects model	ysis of the role of c SMD/d+(RE 95% CI) 0.29 [0.09, 0.50] -0.02 [-0.20, 0.17 2.11 [1.71, 2.51] 0.26 [0.11, 0.42] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.16 1.17 [0.59, 1.75] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% CI; I ysis of the role of c 1+(RE 95% CI) 4 [0.18, 1.29] 5 [-0.17, 0.27] +(RE 95% CI/Con odel with 95% corf	lietary carot p-value 0.005 0.870 <0.001 0.001] <0.001] <0.001] <0.001 fidence Inte 2, Inconsister p-value 0.009 0.641 fidence Inte idence Inte	enoids or I ² (%) 98.27 97.96 98.93 96.82 97.85 99.40 rval), Sta enoids or I ² (%) 94.180 55.173 rval), Sta val: I ² (%)	egg qualit p-value <0.05	y Kendall' sτ 0.129 0.035 0.037 0.149 -0.217 0.430 mean differed Kendall's τ 0.905 0.000 mean differed	v 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

- -













Fig 3. Funnel plot of the meta-analysis of FCR using the random-effects model



