1 2

TITLE PAGE

- Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources -

Upload this completed form to website with submission

ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below	
Article Title	Optimization of gelatin extracting condition from Korean native black	
	goat skin and quality comparison with commercial gelatin	
Running Title (within 10 words)	Quality characteristics of goat skin gelatin	
Author	Youn-Kyung Ham1, Sin-Woo Noh2, Jae-Hyeok Lee2, Na-Eun Yang3,	
	Yun-Sang Choi4, and Hyun-Wook Kim2,3*	
Affiliation	1Department of Animal Science, Sangji University, Wonju 26339,	
	Korea 2Department of Animal Science & Biotechnology, Gyeongsang	
	National University, Jinju 52725, Korea	
	3Department of GreenBio Science, Gyeongsang National University,	
	Jinju 52725, Korea	
	4Research Group of Food Processing, Korea Food Research Institute,	
	Wanju 55365, Republic of Korea	
Special remarks - if authors have additional		
information to inform the editorial office		
ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) Youn-Kyung Ham (0000-0002-5659-5256)		
https://orcid.org	Sin-Woo Noh (0000-0001-5265-2607)	
	Jae-Hyeok Lee (0000-0002-7476-0796)	
	Na-Eun Yang (0000-0002-1417-9684)	
	Yun-Sang Choi (0000-0001-8060-6237)	
	Hyun-Wook Kim (0000-0002-4397-9664)	
Conflicts of interest	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.	
List any present or potential conflict s of		
interest for all authors.		
(This field may be published.)		
Acknowledgements	This work was supported by the 2021 Post-Doc Development program	
State funding sources (grants, funding	of Gyeongnam National University of Science and Technology.	
sources, equipment, and supplies). Include		
name and number of grant if available.		
(This field may be published.)		

Author's contributions	Conceptualization: Kim HW. Data curation: Noh SW, Lee JH, Yang		
(This field may be published.)	NE. Formal analysis: Noh SW, Lee JH, Yang NE. Methodology: Kim		
	HW, Lee JH. Software: Kim HW. Validation: Noh SW, Kim HW.		
	Investigation: Noh SW, Kim HW. Writing - original draft: Ham YK, Choi		
	YS. Writing - review & editing: Ham YK, Noh SW, Lee JH, Yang NE,		
	Choi YS, Kim HW.		
Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC)	This manuscript does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there		
(This field may be published.)	are no human and animal participants.		

6 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the corresponding author	Fill in information in each box below
(responsible for correspondence,	
proofreading, and reprints)	
First name, middle initial, last name	Hyun-Wook Kim
Email address – this is where your proofs	hwkim@gnu.ac.kr
will be sent	
Secondary Email address	khw1097@gmail.com
Postal address	52725
Cell phone number	82-10-9861-2017
Office phone number	82-55-751-3261
Fax number	82-55-751-3267

9 Abstract

The practical use of Korean native black goat skin as a source of gelatin extraction is 10 limited. The objective of this study was to optimize the extraction temperature and time 11 of gelatin from Korean native black goat skin, and to compare the quality characteristics 12of goat skin gelatin and other commercial gelatin products. Response surface 13 14methodology was applied to optimize the extraction temperature and time of gelatin obtained from native Korean black goat skin. The effects of temperature (50-70°C) and 15 time (2-4 h) extraction yield and gel strength were investigated using a face-centered 16 17central composite design with 13 experiments. Gelatin extraction from Korean native black goat skin was prepared through the serial processes of alkali pre-treatment, 18 bleaching, neutralization, hot-water extraction, and freeze-drying. Using the optimization 19 plot of Minitab software, the optimized conditions for extracting temperature and time of 20 the goat skin gelatin were 59.49°C and 3.03 h, and the optimized values of extraction 21 yield and gel strength were 12.52% and 263.37 g, respectively. Based on a quality 22 23 comparison of goat skin gelatin with commercial gelatin, the pH value of gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin was 5.57. Gelatin extracted from Korean native black 24 goat skin was darker than that extracted from commercial gelatin (p<0.05). Higher 25 emulsifying properties and gel strength of goat skin gelatin were observed when 26

compared to those of commercial gelatin (p<0.05). Therefore, the results of this study
indicate that Korean native black goat skin may be a valuable source for gelatin extraction.

Keywords: emulsifier, gelatin extraction, goat skin, Korean native black goat, response
 surface methodology

33 Introduction

The Korean native black goat (Capra hircus coreanae) is a unique indigenous goat that 34 35 accounts for approximately 80% of the number of domesticated native goats in Korea (Son, 1999). Indigenous meats produced from native animal species occupy a niche 36 37 market in the region because of their unique characteristics as valuable genetic resources (Huang et al., 2020). In practice, Korean native black goat is traditionally consumed as 38 the type of soup, marinated meat, boiled meat, and hot water extract with herbal medicine. 39 As goats are less challenging to breed than conventional livestock, such as chicken, cattle, 40 and pigs, the number of Korean native black goat populations has also increased markedly 41with the recent societal trends of people returning to rural farming in Korea. Owing to the 42 increased production and consumption of Korean native black goats, the practical use of 43 goat by-products generated from slaughterhouses has also been interesting. 44

Animal by-products account for approximately 20–30% of the live body weight and primarily include hair, hides (skin), internal organs, and blood (Ockerman and Hansen, 1999). Mammalian skin containing the epidermal and dermal layers of mammals is composed of water and collagenous connective tissues, and bovine hides and pig skins are commercially valuable materials for producing collagen and gelatin (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2011). According to Sen et al. (2004), the proportion of major goat byproducts to live body weight is as follows: blood (3.71%), head (5.62%), and skin (9.53%). Thus, although it could be expected that Korean native black goat skin may be a valuable source of collagen and gelatin, little is known about its extraction process and quality characteristics.

Commercial food gelatin is generally produced from bovine hide and pig skin through 55 acid or alkali pre-treatment, neutralization, hot-water extraction, and drying processes 56 (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2011). The quality of commercial gelatin is determined by its yield 57 (for economic feasibility), rheological and mechanical properties (e.g., gel strength, 58 melting point, and viscosity), emulsifying and foaming properties, and sensory properties, 59 including off-flavor and odor (Abedinia et al., 2020). Moreover, it has been well 60 documented that the physicochemical characteristics of gelatin are primarily affected by 61 the raw material species and extraction conditions (pre-treatment method, extraction 62 temperature and time, drying method, etc.) (Gómez-Guillén et al., 2011). In this regard, 63 previous studies have investigated the impacts of various factors, such as alkali treatment 64 (Mad-Ali et al., 2016a), sodium sulfate/hydrogen peroxide pre-treatment (Mad-Ali et al., 65 2016b), drying method (Mad-Ali et al., 2016c), and extraction conditions (temperature 66 and time) (Mad-Ali et al., 2017a), on the quality attributes of goat skin gelatin. Regarding 67

the sensory properties, as well as, our previous study found that the off-flavor intensity of Korean native black goat skin gelatin was similar to that of commercial porcine skin gelatin (Lee et al., 2021).

According to Mad-Ali et al. (2017a), an increase in the extraction temperature and time 71 increased the extraction yield of goat skin gelatin, but decreased its gel strength. 72 Considering that both yield and gel strength are the most crucial qualities of commercial 73 74 gelatin, it may be necessary to optimize the extraction conditions to maximize the two main factors with opposing variations with temperature and time. In the food industry, 75 response surface methodology (RSM) is widely used as a powerful technique to optimize 76 various factors with more than two parameter changes, which could guarantee the 77 improvement of process efficiency and save cost and time (Yolmeh and Jafari, 2017). In 78 numerous previous studies, RSM has been extensively used to optimize gelatin extraction 79 conditions, mainly temperature and time, of mammalian, poultry, and fish skins (Gómez-80 Guillén et al., 2011). 81

With the final purpose of the practical use of Korean native black goat skin as a commercial gelatin source, this study was performed to optimize the extraction temperature and time of gelatin from Korean native black goat skin and to compare the quality characteristics of goat skin gelatin and other commercial gelatin products.
 86

87 Materials and Methods

88 Experimental design

89 Response surface methodology was applied to optimize the extraction temperature and time of gelatin obtained from native Korean black goat skin. The effects of temperature 90 $(X_1: 50-70^{\circ}C)$ and time $(X_2: 2-4 h)$ on two response variables (extraction yield and gel 91 strength) were investigated using a face-centered central composite design (Table 1). The 92 three coded levels (-1, 0, and +1) of temperature and time were considered to set the 93 design of 13 runs. All experiments were conducted in triplicate and the effects of 94 temperature (X_1) and time (X_2) on the two response variables (Y) were determined using 95 second-order polynomial regression equations. 96

97

98
$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2 + \beta_4 X_1^2 + \beta_5 X_2^2$$

99 where β_0 is a constant; β_1 and β_2 are the coefficients of the linear effects; β_3 is the 100 coefficient of the interaction effect between the two independent variables; β_4 and β_5 are 101 the coefficients of the quadratic effects.

102 Materials

Fresh skin of female Korean native black goats was obtained from a local distributor 103 104 postmortem 7 days. The commercial gelatin products used for quality comparison were as follows: fish skin gelatin I (250 bloom, ES Ingredients, Gunpo, Korea), fish skin gelatin 105 106 II (250 bloom, QLS16265, Hangzhou Qunli Gelatin Chemical Co., Hangzhou, China), bovine bone gelatin I (150 bloom, QLS16498, Hangzhou Qunli Gelatin Chemical Co., 107 108 Hangzhou, China), bovine hide gelatin I (280 bloom, QLS16269, Hangzhou Qunli Gelatin Chemical Co., Hangzhou, China), porcine skin gelatin I (280 bloom, QLS16275, 109 Hangzhou Qunli Gelatin Chemical Co., Hangzhou, China), and porcine skin gelatin (250 110 bloom, BL250P, Sammi Industry Co., Ltd., Ansan, Korea). All reagents used were of 111 analytical grade and the commercial gelatin products were of food grade. 112 113

114 Gelatin extraction procedure

115 Gelatin extraction from Korean native black goat skin was prepared through serial 116 processes of alkali pretreatment, bleaching, neutralization, hot-water extraction, and 117 freeze-drying according to the procedure described by Mad-Ali et al. (2016a, 2016b, 118 2017a) with minor modifications. In detail, fresh goat skin was manually cut into

119	approximately 4×4 cm pieces, separated into 13 groups, and randomly assigned to each
120	experiment set by the face-centered composite design mentioned above. The goat skin
121	was soaked in 10 volumes (v/w) of 0.75 M NaOH solution for 48 h with agitation at 6 h
122	intervals. The swelled goat skin was neutralized with running water below pH 7.0 for
123	approximately 12 h. The washed goat skin was reweighed, bleached in a 2 M $\rm H_2O_2$
124	solution for 24 h, and washed with distilled water several times. The hot-water gelatin
125	extraction was conducted in a water bath at the experimentally designed temperatures and
126	times (Table 1), and then the gelatin extract was filtered using a cheesecloth. The filtrate
127	was cooled to room temperature, frozen in a -70°C deep freezer, and freeze-dried using a
128	freeze dryer (80×10 ⁻³ Torr pressure, PVTFD10R, Ilshin Lab, Daejeon, Korea). The freeze-
129	dried gelatin was weighed to determine the extraction yield, powdered, vacuum-packaged,
130	and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until further use.

131

132 *Physicochemical analysis of goat skin gelatin*

133 *Extraction yield*

134 The extraction yield was determined by calculating the weight difference between the 135 initial fresh goat skin and freeze-dried gelatin powder using the following equation: extraction yield (%) = (weight of freeze-dried gelatin powder (g) / weight of initial goat
skin (g)) × 100.

138

139 Gel strength

Gel strength was determined according to the AOAC method (948.21) described by 140 Rafieian et al. (2015). The gelatin powder was dissolved in distilled water (6.67 %, w/v) 141at 60°C for 2 h and cooled at 10°C for 18 h. The gelatin gel was cut to a diameter of 33 142 mm and height of 60 mm. The strength of the gelatin gel was determined using a texture 143 144analyzer (CT3, Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Inc.. Middleboro, MA) equipped with a 12.7 mm diameter cylindrical probe, and the cross-head speed was 1 mm/s. Six 145 samples per treatment were used, and the maximum force (g) required to penetrate 4 mm 146147of the gelatin gel was averaged to obtain the gel strength as a bloom.

148

149 *pH measurement*

Five grams of gelatin gel sample (6.67%, w/v) were homogenized with four volumes
of distilled water for 60 s using a homogenizer (UltraTurrax SK15, Janke & Kunkel,
Staufen, Germany) (Park et al., 2013). A pH meter (Orion Star A211; Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Beverly, MA, USA) was calibrated at room temperature using standard pH
buffers (pH 4.01, 7.00, and 10.01).

155

156 Color evaluation

The gelatin gel sample (6.67%, w/v) was cut into a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cm cube size, and the surface color of the gel was measured using a colorimeter (Chroma meter, CR 400; Minolta, Osaka, Japan) with an 8-mm mm measurement area and an 11-mm mm illumination area. The illuminant was set as a D₆₅ source, and the observer was a standard 2° . The colorimeter was calibrated using a white calibration tile (L* = +97.83, a* = -0.43, b* = +1.98) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The CIE L* (lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness) values were recorded for all six samples.

164

165 *Turbidity*

The turbidity of gelatin powder was determined by the method of See et al. (2010) described by Rafieian et al. (2015). One hundred milligrams of gelatin powder were dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water in a 60°C water bath for 30 min. The absorbance of the gelatin solution was measured at 660 nm using a spectrophotometer (Libra S22, Biochrom, Cambridge, UK). The turbidity of the gelatin powder was expressed as kaolin
mg per kilogram of sample.

172

173 Emulsifying property

The emulsion activity index (EAI) and emulsion stability index (ESI) of the gelatin 174powder were determined using the method described by Duan et al. (2018). Six milliliters 175 of gelatin solution (2%, w/v) were emulsified with 2 mL of commercial soybean oil using 176a homogenizer (UltraTurrax SK15, Janke & Kunkel, Staufen, Germany). The emulsion 177178(100 µL) was homogenized in 5 mL of a 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution for 10 s. The absorbance (500 nm) of the homogenate was measured at 0 min (initial phase) 179 and 10 min using a spectrophotometer. The EAI and ESI were calculated using the 180 following equations: 181

182 EAI
$$(m^2/g) = (2.303 \times A_{initial}) / (0.25 \times sample weight (g))$$

183
$$\operatorname{ESI}(\min) = (A_{initial} \times 10 \min) / (A_{initial} - A_{10 \min})$$

184 where $A_{initial}$ is the absorbance at 500 nm immediately after emulsification and $A_{10 min}$ is

185 the absorbance at 500 nm after standing for 10 min.

187 *Foaming property*

195
$$FS (\%) = V_{3 \min} (mL) / V_{Initial} (mL) \times 100$$

196 where V_0 is the sample volume before whipping, $V_{initial}$ is the sample volume immediately

197 after whipping, *V*_{3 min} is the sample volume after standing for 3 min.

198

199 Statistical analysis

200 The experimental design, visualization, and optimization of response surface 201 methodology were performed using the Minitab statistical software. For quality 202 comparison, analysis of variance was performed on the measured variables using the oneway ANOVA procedure of the SPSS program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Duncan's
multiple range test was used to determine significant differences between the means
(p<0.05).

206

207 Results and discussion

208 Optimization of extracting temperature and time of goat skin gelatin

209	In this study, the face-centered central composite design was considered with three
210	coded levels of two independent variables to optimize the extraction temperature and time
211	for gelatin from Korean native black goat skin. A total of 13 runs with five replicates at
212	the center were set (Table 2). The ranges of extraction temperature and time were within
213	50-70°C and 2-4 h, based on previous studies indicating successful gelatin extraction
214	from goat skin (Mad-Ali et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2016c, 2017a).

The extraction yield and gel strength of gelatin from native Korean black goat skin at various temperatures and times are shown in Table 1. The observed extraction yield and gel strength of the goat skin gelatin were 10.2–13.4% (w/w) and 251.8–271.6 g, respectively. The mathematical model equations between the independent (temperature and time) and response variables (extraction yield and gel strength) are listed in Table 2.

220	The result for the lack of fit indicated the proper fitness of both models for two response
221	variables (p>0.05), and the <i>R</i> square of the models for the extraction yield and gel strength
222	was 0.95 and 0.83, respectively. The ANOVA results, indicating the regression
223	coefficients and their significant differences, are shown in Table 3. In the quadratic
224	polynomial models, the linear terms of temperature (p<0.001) and time (p<0.001), and
225	the quadratic term of temperature (p=0.005) had remarkable effects on the extraction yield
226	The linear term of temperature (p=0.002) and quadratic term of time (p=0.043) greatly
227	affected the gel strength. Similarly, Jakhar et al. (2014) reported that gelatin extraction
228	from blackspotted croaker skin was significantly affected by the linear terms of
229	temperature and time in the optimization of the extraction process. Moreover, many
230	previous studies have indicated that the extraction temperature of gelatin from
231	mammalian and fish skins could be a critical factor affecting extraction yield and gel
232	strength (Alfaro et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2005; Jakahr et al., 2014).

The response surfaces and contour plots showing the effects of the extraction 233 temperature and time on the extraction yield and gel strength of gelatin from Korean 234 native black goat skin are shown in Fig. 1. The optimization plot of the extraction 235 temperature and time using the Minitab software is shown in Fig. 2, in which the 236 optimization objective of both response variables was set to maximum values within 50-237

70°C and 2–4 h, respectively. The optimization plots with the optimized settings (vertical 238 red line) and the optimized values (red numbers) show the effects of the extraction 239 240 temperature and time on the extraction yield and gel strength of goat skin gelatin. The 241 optimized setting conditions and values were validated using three independent tests (data not shown). Finally, the optimized setting conditions for extracting the temperature and 242 243 time of the goat skin gelatin were 59.49°C and 3.03 h, and the optimized values of extraction yield and gel strength were 12.52% and 263.37 g, respectively. Similarly, Mad-244 Ali et al. (2017a) observed that the extracting conditions for the goat skin gelatin with 245 high gel strength was 50°C for 2.5 h. Thus, it could be thought that those setting conditions 246 may be useful to guarantee the industrial productivity of gelatin manufacturing using 247Korean native black goat skin. 248

249

250 Quality comparison of goat skin gelatin with commercial gelatins

251 Commercial food gelatin is industrially manufactured from pig skin (gelatin type A), 252 bovine hide and bones (gelatin type B), and fish. According to Karim and Bhat (2009), 253 pig skin, bovine hide, and bovine bone account for 98.5% of the gelatin extraction sources 254 worldwide. Along with religious reasons and the value addition of underutilized by-255 products, previous studies on the diversification of gelatin materials have been

256	extensively conducted (Huang et al., 2019). In the current study, the quality characteristics
257	of gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin were compared with those of six
258	commercial gelatin products to ensure the possibility of the industrial utilization of goat
259	skin gelatin (Table 3). One-way ANOVA showed a significant difference in all measured
260	dependent variables.

The pH value of gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin was 5.57, which 261 262 was within the pH range of commercial gelatin products (5.43-5.85). Generally, commercial gelatin has a pH of approximately 5 (Baziwane and He, 2003). The pH of 263 gelatin is a critical factor affecting its techno-functional properties, such as emulsifying 264 capacity and gel strength (Montero and Borderías, 1991). In this regard, the pH value of 265gelatin is generally formed through neutralization (pH 5.5-7.0) after acidic or alkali pre-266 treatment, which is a range that minimizes its adverse impacts on the physicochemical 267 and sensory properties of applied food products. 268

The gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin was darker and redder than that extracted from commercial gelatin products (p<0.05; Table 3). Moreover, the highest turbidity was observed for goat skin gelatin (p<0.05). Commercial gelatin is yellowish and is affected by the raw materials and extraction conditions (Alfaro et al., 2015).

273	Previously, Mad-Ali et al. (2016a) reported that the lightness, redness, and yellowness of
274	goat skin gelatin treated with 0.5 and 0.75 M NaOH for 2-4 h were 16.88-18.17, 2.30-
275	2.89, and 7.16-8.56, respectively, and suggested that the pre-treatment condition could
276	be an important factor on the color characteristics of goat skin gelatin. However, previous
277	results showed a lower lightness of goat skin gelatin compared to our results. According
278	to Mad-Ali et al. (2016b), an increase in hydrogen peroxide concentration (0-2 mol/L)
279	during the pre-treatment process could increase the lightness (21.15-28.97) of goat skin
280	gelatin. Thus, in the current study, treatment with hydrogen peroxide as a bleaching
281	reagent could also contribute to the increased lightness of goat skin gelatin. Furthermore,
282	because the turbidity of gelatin may not be important depending on the application
283	practice (Alfaro et al., 2015), the color and turbidity characteristics of the goat skin gelatin,
284	there would be no color and turbidity issues in its practical use in the food industry.
285	In terms of functional properties, the highest EAI and ESI were observed for gelatin
286	extracted from Korean native black goats (p<0.05), in which the ESI of goat skin gelatin
287	was approximately 2.6 times higher than that of porcine skin gelatin I (p<0.05). However,
288	the foaming capacity and foaming stability of goat skin gelatin were lower than those of
289	the commercial gelatin treatments (p<0.05). The emulsifying and foaming capacities of
290	gelatin are associated with the presence of a hydrophobic region in its amino acid

291	sequence (Abedinia et al., 2020). Rasli and Sarbon (2015) found that the high foaming
292	stability of chicken skin gelatin could be related to a high amount of hydrophobic amino
293	acids. Mad-Ali et al. (2016a) noted that the number of hydrophobic amino acids in goat
294	skin gelatin, such as valine, leucine, isoleucine, proline, phenylalanine, methionine, and
295	tryptophan, was comparable to that of bovine gelatin and porcine gelatin. A recent study
296	reported that the proportion of hydrophobic amino acids (34.1%) in goat skin gelatin is
297	higher than that in commercial bovine gelatin (29.2%) (Zilhadia et al., 2018). Despite the
298	excellent emulsifying capacity of goat skin gelatin, the opposite result was observed for
299	foaming properties. A similar result was reported by Zilhadia et al. (2018), who noted that
300	goat skin gelatin had lower forming ability and stability than commercial bovine gelatin.
301	According to Cho et al. (2004), the decline in the foam properties of shark cartilage gelatin
302	might be associated with protein aggregation responsible for protein-water interactions
303	and suggested that the increased protein aggregation could increase turbidity. Our result
304	was also in agreement with previous observations, considering the high turbidity of goat
305	skin gelatin.

The gel strength of gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin was 280 g, similar to that of fish skin gelatin II and bovine hide gelatin I (p>0.05). In previous studies, the gel strength of goat skin gelatin was reported as 209–267 g, in which the gel strength

313	
312	strength of goat skin gelatin is within the normal gel strength range of commercial gelatin.
311	ranges from 50 g to 300 g (Baziwane and He, 2003). Our results also showed that the gel
310	(Mad-Ali et al., 2016a; 2016c; 2017a; 2017b). The gel strength of commercial gelatin
309	was affected by the pre-treatment method, extraction conditions, and drying conditions

314 Conclusion

In this study, the optimal extracting conditions for the gelatin from Korean native black 315 316 goat skin, to guarantee maximum extraction yield and gel strength, were obtained as 59.49°C and 3.03 h. Based on the results, the entire procedure for gelatin extraction was 317 318 performed as follows; alkali pre-treatment, bleaching, neutralization, hot-water extraction, and freeze-drying. Gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin showed a darker 319 320 color than commercial gelatins, and excellent emulsifying properties and gel strength 321 were observed. Thus, the results of this study indicate that Korean native black goat skin may be a valuable source for gelatin extraction. Further studies on the application of goat 322 skin gelatin in the food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries are required to improve 323 the practical value of underutilized goat skin. 324

326 References

- Abedinia A, Nafchi AM, Sharifi M, Ghalambor P, Oladzadabbasabadi N, Ariffin
 F, Huda N. 2020. Poultry gelatin: Characteristics, developments, challenges, and
 future outlooks as a sustainable alternative for mammalian gelatin. Trends Food
 Sci Technol. 104:14-26.
- Alfaro A, Fonseca GG, Balbinot E, Souza NE, Prentice C. 2014. Yield, viscosity,
 and gel strength of Wami tilapia (*Oreochromis urolepis hornorum*) skin gelatin:
 Optimization of the extraction process. Food Sci Technol. 23:765-773.
- Alfaro AT, Weber CI, Tonial IB, Machado-Lunkes A. 2015. Fish gelatin:
 Characteristics, functional properties, applications and future potentials. Food
 Eng Rev. 7:33-44.
- 4. AOAC. 1990. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. 15th ed.
 AOAC International, Arlington, VA, USA. p 929.
- Baziwane D, He Q. 2003. Gelatin: The paramount food additive. Food Rev Int.
 19:423-435.
- 6. Cho SM, Gu YS, Kim SB. 2005. Extracting optimization and physical properties
 of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) skin gelatin compared to mammalian
 gelatins. Food Hydrocol. 19:221-229.
- Cho SM, Kwak KS, Park DC, Gu YS, Ji CI, Jang DH, Lee YB, Kim SB. 2004.
 Processing optimization and functional properties of gelatin from shark (Isurus oxyrinchus) cartilage. Food Hydrocol. 18: 573-579.
- Buan R, Zhang J, Liu L, Cui, W, Regenstein JM. 2018. The functional properties
 and application of gelatin derived from the skin of channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). Food Chem. 239:464-469.

- Gómez-Guillén MC, Giménez B, López-Caballero ME, Montero MP. 2011.
 Functional and bioactive properties of collagen and gelatin from alternative
 sources: A review. Food Hydrocol. 25:1813-1827.
- Huang T, Tu Z, Shangguan X, Sha X, Wang H, Zhang L, Bansal N. 2019. Fish
 gelatin modifications: A comprehensive review. Trends Food Sci Technol.
 86:260-269.
- Huang Y, Zhou L, Zhang J, Liu X, Zhang Y, Cai L, Zhang W, Cui L, Yang J, Ji J,
 Xiao S, Ai H, Chen C, Ma J, Yang B, Huang L. 2020. A large-scale comparison
 of meat quality and intramuscular fatty acid composition among three Chinese
 indigenous pig breeds. Meat Sci. 168:108182.
- Jakhar JK, Basu S, Sasidharan S, Chouksey MK, Gudipati V. 2014. Optimization
 of process parameters for gelatin extraction from the skin of Blackspotted
 croaker using response surface methodology. J Food Sci Technol. 51:3235-3243.
- 363 13. Karim A, Bhat R. 2009. Fish gelatin: Properties, challenges, and prospects as an
 364 alternative to mammalian gelatins. Food Hydrocol. 23:563-576.
- Lee JH, Kim JH, Mun JW, Kim HW. 2021. Comparison of gel properties of
 gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin and commercial gelatin.
 53rd KoSFA International Symposium and Annual Meeting, On-line. p 593.
- Mad-Ali S, Benjakul S, Prodpran T, Maqsood S. 2016a. Characteristics and gel
 properties of gelatin from goat skin as influenced by alkaline-pretreatment
 conditions. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci. 29:845-854.
- Mad-Ali S, Benjakul S, Prodpran T, Maqsood S. 2016b. Characteristics and gel
 properties of gelatin from goat skin as affected by pretreatments using sodium
 sulfate and hydrogen peroxide. J Sci Food Agric. 96:2193-2203.

- Mad-Ali S, Benjakul S, Prodpran T, Maqsood S. 2016c. Interfacial properties of
 gelatin from goat skin as influenced by drying methods. LWT-Food Sci Technol.
 73:102-107.
- Mad-Ali S, Benjakul S, Prodpran T, Maqsood S. 2017a. Characteristics and gel
 properties of gelatin from goat skin as affected by extraction conditions. J Food
 Process Preserv. 41:e12949.
- Mad-Ali S,Benjakul S, Prodpran T, Maqsood S. 2017b. Characterisation and
 gelling properties of gelatin from goat skin as affected by drying methods. J
 Food Sci Technol. 54:1646-1654.
- Montero P, Borderías J. 1991. Emulsifying capacity of collagenous material
 from the muscle and skin of hake (*Merluccius merluccius* L.) and trout (*Salmo irideus* Gibb): Effect of pH and NaCl concentration. Food Chem. 41:251-267.
- 386 21. Ockerman HW, Hansen CL. 1999. Animal by-product processing & utilization.
 387 CRC Press, p 27.
- Park JH, Choe JH, Kim HW, Hwang KE, Song DH, Yeo EJ, Kim HY, Choi YS,
 Lee SH, Kim CJ. 2013. Effects of various extraction methods on quality
 characteristics of duck feet gelatin. Korean J Food Sci An. 33:162-169.
- Rafieian F, Keramat J, Shahedi M. 2015. Physicochemical properties of gelatin
 extracted from chicken deboner residue. LWT-Food Sci Technol. 64:1370-1375.
- Rasli HI, Sarbon NM. 2015. Effects of different drying methods on the
 rheological, functional and structural properties of chicken skin gelatin
 compared to bovine gelatin. Int Food Res J. 22:584-592.
- See SF, Hong PK, Ng KL, Wan Aida WM, Babji AS. 2010. Physicochemical
 properties of gelatins extracted from skins of different freshwater fish species.

- 398 Int Food Res J. 17, 809-816.
- Sen AR, Santra A, Karim SA. 2004. Carcass yield, composition and meat quality
 attributes of sheep and goat under semiarid conditions. Meat Sci 66:757-763.
- 401 27. Son YS. 1999. Production and uses of Korean Native Black Goat. Small Rumin
 402 Res 34:303-308.
- 403 28. Yolmeh M, Jafari SM. 2017. Applications of response surface methodology in
 404 the food industry processes. Food Bioprocess Technol. 10:413-433.
- 405 29. Zilhadia, Yahdiana H, Irwandi J, Effionora A. 2018. Characterization and
- 406 functional properties of gelatin extracted from goatskin. Int Food Res J. 25:275-

407 281.

Table 1. Central faced composite design with independent (temperature and time) and response variables (extraction yield and gel strength) for gelatin extraction from Korean native black goat skin

Experiment	Factors		Response variables		
number	X ₁	<i>X</i> ₂	Extraction yield (%, w/w)	Gel strength (g)	
1	60	3	12.1	260.4	
2	60	3	12.6	262.9	
3	60	3	12.5	263.9	
4	70	4	13.3	251.8	
5	70	3	13.2	255.6	
6	50	3	10.7	271.6	
7	60	2	12.0	264.3	
8	60	3	12.6	262.2	
9	60	4	13.4	252.5	
10	60	3	13.0	266.4	
11	70	2	12.7	256.1	
12	50	2	10.2	263.2	
13	50	4	11.2	265.2	

 X_l , extraction temperature (°C).

 X_2 , extraction time (h).

Independent variable	Model equation	Lack of fit	R square (R^2)	Significance of p value
Extraction yield	$-22.89 + 0.990X_1 + 0.99X_2 - 0.00697X_1^2 + 0.036X_2^2 - 0.0116X_1X_2$	0.629	0.95	<0.001
Gel strength	$256.0 - 0.79X_1 + 35.1X_2 + 0.0055X_1^2 - 4.65X_2^2 - 0.159X_1X_2$	0.139	0.83	0.013

Table 2. Mathematical model equations to present the impacts of extraction temperature and time on extraction yield and gel strength of the gelatin extracted from Korean native black goat skin

 $\overline{X_l}$, extraction temperature (°C).

 X_2 , extraction time (h).

Independent variable	Extraction yield	(%)	Gel strength (g)			
	Regression coefficient	Significance of p value	Regression coefficient	Significance of p value		
Constant	12.561	< 0.001	263.14	< 0.001		
Linear						
X_{I}	1.777	< 0.001	-6.10	0.002		
X_2	0.501	0.004	-2.34	0.110		
Quadratic						
X_l^2	-0.697	0.005	0.55	0.780		
X_{2}^{2}	0.036	0.845	-4.65	0.043		
Interaction			\sim			
X_1X_2	-0.117	0.450	-1.59	0.346		

Table 3. Analysis of variance of regression coefficient calculated for gelatin extraction from Korean native black goat skin

 $\overline{X_l}$, extraction temperature (°C).

 X_2 , extraction time (h).

Turit	Korean native	Fish skin	Fish skin	Bovine bone	Bovine hide	Porcine skin	Porcine skin
	black goat skin	gelatin I	gelatin II	gelatin I	gelatin I	gelatin I	gelatin II
pH value	5.57±0.04b	5.43±0.02d	5.47±0.02d	5.85±0.05a	5.83±0.04a	5.64±0.04c	5.45±0.04d
Color parameter							
CIE L* (lightness)	29.97±0.08d	35.05±0.32c	38.93±0.38a	37.40±0.52b	37.77±0.70b	38.24± 0.94ab	37.44±0.47b
CIE a* (redness)	0.49±0.05a	$0.44 \pm 0.04 b$	$0.26 \pm 0.02c$	-0.46±0.02g	-0.24±0.03f	-0.12±0.02e	$0.00 \pm 0.01 d$
CIE b* (yellowness)	3.13±0.18d	1.40±0.07e	$1.02 \pm 0.09 f$	10.15±0.34a	3.63±0.05c	4.09±0.21b	3.50±0.07c
Turbidity (kaolin mg/kg sample)	1.44±0.03a	0.27±0.02c	0.15±0.01d	0.56±0.01b	$0.24\pm0.02c$	0.23±0.01c	0.26±0.01c
Functional property							
Emulsion activity index (m ² /g)	24.67±2.58a	21.69±0.67b	19.54±1.45b	19.25±0.12b	20.59±2.54b	14.87±0.75c	21.63±0.19b
Emulsion stability index (min)	58.01±9.04a	33.02±3.44b	30.66±2.60b	30.89±1.98b	33.36±2.49b	21.93±2.45c	30.84±2.94b
Foaming capacity (%)	30.83±3.82e	74.17±1.44d	98.33±3.82a	80.83±1.44c	85.83±3.82bc	90.83±1.44b	98.33±3.82a
Foaming stability (%)	7.50±0.02e	54.17±2.89c	77.50±2.50a	37.50±6.61d	51.67±3.82c	64.17±1.44b	43.33±6.29d
Gel strength (g)	280.83±8.04b	260.83±7.64c	268.33± 3.82bc	161.67±1.44d	273.33±8.04b	305.00±8.66a	257.50±2.50c

Table 4. Comparison on the physicochemical properties of Korean native black goat skin gelatin and commercial gelatins

1

2 a-e Means sharing the same letters within a row are not significantly different at p<0.05 by Duncan's multiple range test.



Fig. 1. Response surface (a and c) and contour plots (b and d) presenting the effects of extraction temperature and time on yield (a and b) and gel strength.



Fig. 2. Optimization of temperature (X1) and time (X2) for extracting the gelatin from Korean native black goat skin with maximum yield and gel strength. The range of optimal temperature and time was limited within 50-70 °C and 2-4 h, respectively.