| 1 |
|---|
| 4 |
| _ |
| 2 |
|   |

#### 3 4

## TITLE PAGE - Food Science of Animal Resources -Upload this completed form to website with submission

#### ARTICLE INFORMATION Fill in information in each box below Article Type Research article Article Title Effect of Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) Varying in CO2 and N2 Composition on Quality Characteristics of Dry Fermented Sausage During Refrigeration Storage Running Title (within 10 words) Effect of MAP on Quality Characteristics of DFS Ammara Ameer<sup>1</sup>, Semeneh Seleshe<sup>1</sup>, Suk Nam Kang<sup>1</sup> Author Affiliation Department of Animal Resource, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea Special remarks - if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) Ammara Ameer (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8110-2433) https://orcid.org Semeneh Seleshe (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2599-393X) Suk Nam Kang (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9230-3070) Conflicts of interest The authors declare no potential conflict of interest. List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.) This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Acknowledgements State funding sources (grants, funding sources, Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) equipment, and supplies). Include name and (2017R1A2B201277). number of grant if available. (This field may be published.) Author contributions Conceptualization: Suk Nam Kang (This field may be published.) Data curation: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe Formal analysis: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe Methodology: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe, Suk Nam Kang Software: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe, Suk Nam Kang Validation: Suk Nam Kang Investigation: Suk Nam Kang Writing-original draft: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe, Suk Nam Kang Writing-review & editing: Ammara Ameer, Semeneh Seleshe, Suk Nam Kang Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and (This field may be published.) animal participants.

5

## CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

| For the <u>corresponding</u> author<br>(responsible for correspondence,<br>proofreading, and reprints) | Fill in information in each box below                                   |
|--|---|
| First name, middle initial, last name  | Suk Nam Kang  |
| Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent   | whitenightt@hanmail.net   |
| Secondary Email address  | <u>sk-kang@daegu.ac.kr</u>  |
| Postal address   | Department of Animal Resource, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea |
| Cell phone number  | +82-010-3783-7718   |
| Office phone number  | +82-53-850-6726   |
| Fax number   | +82-53-850-6729   |

7

| 9  | Effect of CO2 and N2 Composition in Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) on Quality |
|----|--|
| 10 | Characteristics of Dry Fermented Sausage During Refrigeration Storage              |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | Running title: Effect of MAP on Quality Characteristics of DFS                     |
| 15 |  |

Title of the manuscript: Effect of Modified Atmosphere Packaging (MAP) Varying in CO<sub>2</sub> and
 N<sub>2</sub> Composition on Quality Characteristics of Dry Fermented Sausage During Refrigeration
 Storage

19

## Abstract

The current study investigated the effects of the most suitable modified atmosphere packaging 20 (MAP) on the physicochemical, microbiological, and sensory properties of fermented dry sausages 21 22 during 45 days of refrigeration (4 $^{\circ}$ C) storage period. Treatments were vacuum-packed (control), 25%CO<sub>2</sub>/75%N<sub>2</sub> (MAP1), 50%CO<sub>2</sub>/50%N<sub>2</sub> (MAP2), 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub> (MAP3) and 100% CO<sub>2</sub> 23 (MAP4). All MAP samples regardless of their CO<sub>2</sub> composition significantly (p<0.05) decreased 24 in pH, aw, total plate count (TPC), and LAB count values as compared to the vacuum-package 25 during storage. The *Enterobacteriaceae* count in all MAP packaging was significantly (p<0.05) 26 27 lower than the vacuum-packed samples and counts in MAP3 and MAP4 samples were markedly 28 (p < 0.05) lower than all other treatments in prolonged storage of 15 and 45 days. Based on the 29 TBARS content at day 15 and 30 storage time, treatments are ranked as follows: vacuum-packed >MAP1 >MAP2 >MAP3>MAP4. The a\* value of MAP4 was higher than all other treatments. 30 31 In the final storage days, no variation was exhibited (p>0.05) among treatments in lactic acid aroma 32 and sourness, and MAP2 samples had the lowest (p<0.05) overall acceptability. The use of MAPs with an increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> from MAP1 to MAP4 samples can help in better microbial inhibition 33 than vacuum package, and 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub> (MAP3) and 100% CO<sub>2</sub> (MAP4) were effective to 34 maintain several quality parameters (aw, pH, microbial inhibition, stability against lipid oxidation, 35 and instrumental color traits) and extend the shelf life of dry fermented sausage. 36

- Keywords: modified atmosphere packaging, microbiological, physicochemical, and sensoryproperties, vacuum-packed.



#### Introduction

Meat and meat byproducts are considered as integral part of human diet due to their nutritional properties such as protein source, fatty acid profile, minerals, vitamins and other bioactive compounds and potential booster for growth and development. These products are frequently contaminated with spoilage, pathogenic bacteria and other micro-organisms (viruses and parasites) causing food borne illness/diseases by *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *L. monocytogenes*, *Clostridium perfringens* and *Salmonella spp*. Thus, food industries have been developing alternative techniques of meat bio preservation (Aymerich et al., 2008).

Now days, refrigeration, vacuum packing (VP), and modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) 49 50 are all being utilized more and more to increase the shelf life of meat products for distribution and retail sale (Kim et al., 2014; Stiles, 1991). Beyond traditional protection features, modern food 51 packaging has several advantages (Han, 2005). Modified atmospheric packaging (MAP) is one of 52 53 the preservation and packaging solutions being employed to meet customer demand for food that is safe, additive-free, and nutritious (Esturk and Ayhan, 2009). Cann (1984) and Gokoglu et al. 54 55 (2010) defined modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) as replacing the air in a food package with 56 a different mixture of gases, often a combination of nitrogen, carbon dioxide, and oxygen. One of 57 the technological requirements for meeting customer demands is to extend the shelf life of meat products (Adab et al., 2020). As a result, MAP paired with cold storage can improve the quality 58 and extend shelf life of minimally processed foods (Church and Parsons 1995; Farberet al., 2003). 59 As marketing sliced ready-to-eat meat products have gained popularity in recent years, the use of 60 61 MAP and chill storage for meat products such as salami may considerably preserve the quality and increase the shelf life (Esturk and Ayhan, 2009). Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) utilizes 62

different combinations of gases to improve the shelf life of meat and meat products (Ö zogul et al.,
2004). Because of its antibacterial properties, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is the most key component of
the gas mixtures in MAP (Adab et al., 2020; Farber, 1991). Carbon dioxide-enriched atmospheres
prevent the growth of unwanted microbes, and nitrogen gas, while inert to meat products, is used
as a filler to reduce the concentrations of more active gases (Fernandez- Fernandez et al., 2002;
Kim et al., 2014; Rubio et al., 2008).

The packaging methods of aerobic, vacuum, and modified atmosphere affected the color, lipid 69 oxidation, pH, microbial counts, and texture profiles of dry-cured meat products differently (Aksu 70 et al., 2005; Cilla et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2014). Oxygen, carbon dioxide and nitrogen are used in 71 different combinations and many studies related to their composition in MAP have been done by 72 meat scientists to extend the shelf-life of meat. However, for the prolonged shelf-life of MAP, the 73 findings are inconsistent (Samelis and Georgiadou, 2000; Pexara et al., 2002; Santos et al., 2005). 74 75 Therefore, according to Møller et al. (2000), optimizing the gas composition is critical for product quality and safety. The determination of the shelf life and its validation are very important for the 76 microbiological safety of dry fermented sausages. Moreover, maintaining the quality associated 77 physiochemical attributes and sensory characteristics of the product is important to address the 78 consumer demands. The objective of this study was to determine the quality changes and shelf life 79 of fermented dry sausages packed under varying modified atmospheres. The current study 80 investigated the effects of the most suitable modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on the 81 important quality characteristics: microbiological, physiochemical, and sensory properties of 82 fermented dry sausages during 45 days of refrigeration (4°C) storage period. 83

85

## Martials and methods

## 86 Dry Fermented Sausages Manufacture

The prototype meat processing centre at Daegu University's Animal Resources Department 87 88 produced low-temperature dry fermented sausages. Fresh pork lion was purchased from the commercial market of Geyongsan, Korea which were vacuum packaged. The back fat was thawed 89 for 24 h at 4°C. The lean meat was preserved in the refrigerator for later use after cutting the 90 91 connective tissues and extra fat. With the use of a 3-4 mm plate, chilled pork and pig fat were cut into small cubes and minced twice in a meat mincer (M-12S, Hankook fujee Industries Co., Ltd., 92 Suwon, Korea). Ground pork (65%), pig fat (21.5%), ice water (10%), NPS (97:3, a blend of 93 sodium chloride and nitrite) (0.34%), NaCl (1.70%), sugar (0.45%), glucose (0.45%), sodium 94 ascorbate (0.20%), and sausage seasonings (0.36%) are all included in the basic sausage 95 formulation. For the start of the fermentation, one ml/kg (v/w) mixed starter cultures of 96 97 Lactobacillus sakei and Staphylococcus xylosus were added and properly blended using a rotary 98 slice cutter (SF-2002, Samwoo Industry Co., Korea). Each starter culture had approximately 6 Log CFU/g, and the intended suspension put into the sausage batter was one mL/kg (v/w). With a 99 100 vacuum filling machine (RVF 327, Düker-REX Fleischereimaschinen gmbh, Germany), the batter 101 was filled into collagen casings (IKJIN Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea), 2.4 cm diameter and 15 cm length. The sausages were fermented and ripened in a digital chamber system with a temperature and RH 102 103 control unit (SMK-2000SL, Metatek, Korea). The temperature was kept at 23°C for the first seven days of fermentation, and the relative humidity (RH) was regulated between 90 to 95 %. Following 104 105 that, the ripening process was conducted for 28 days (following the fermentation process) at 15°C 106 (the temperature was gradually reduced from 23°C), with RH varying between 70 to 75%.

## 107 Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and Sampling

After the completion of the ripening process, sausages were packed in their respective 108 treatments as follows. Nylon/PE bags (80 µm thick: 15 µm for Nylon and 65 µm for PE) (Gasung 109 Pak Co., Ltd., Gyeonggi, Korea) with O<sub>2</sub> permeability of 9.5 ml O<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>/24 h at 0°C, 0.98 g/cm<sup>3</sup> 110 111 density was used in the current experiment. Five lots of sausage/bag and 8 packages for each treatment were used. The intended gases mixtures were purchased from a local gas supplier 112 (Deokyang Co., Ltd. Ulsan, South Korea) in cylinders having an injection pipe and a gauge for the 113 gas control system. One of the treatments was solely packed in a vacuum (Model 19/S, 114 Röscherwerke gmbh, Hanover, Germany) and used as a control treatment, and the other four 115 treatments were sealed after flushing with the following gas mixtures: MAP1, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>/75% N<sub>2</sub>; 116 MAP2, 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50% N<sub>2</sub>; MAP3, 70% CO<sub>2</sub>/30% N<sub>2</sub>; MAP4, 100% CO<sub>2</sub>. Residual gases were 117 initially removed with vacuum and the sausages to gas volume ratio in the MAP samples were 1/1 118 119 (Gokoglu et al., 2010). Storage study was conducted for a total of 45 days and sampling was done at 1, 15, 30, and 45 days of storage time for physiochemical analyses [aw, pH, color, VBN and 120 TBARS contents, and texture profile analysis (TPA)], microbial quality, and sensory 121 122 characteristics of sausages samples. For each analysis time and batch, two packages of sausage were withdrawn, and each analysis was performed in triplicates. 123

124

## 125 **Physiochemical analysis**

After homogenizing three grams of a sample with 30 ml of distilled water in a homogenizer (Model Polytron® PT 2500 E Stand Dispersion Device, Kinematica AG, Switzerland), the pH values of the samples were determined. A digital pH meter (Mettler Toledo, Columbus, Ohio, USA) was used for reading the values. After slicing the core of the samples into 4 mm cubes, water
activity (a<sub>w</sub>) was assessed using a<sub>w</sub> measurement apparatus (Lab master aw, Novasina AG,
Switzerland). Determination of volatile basic nitrogen (VBN) contents was performed according
to the Conway micro diffusion method (Conway, 1950), and total VBN values were expressed in
mg%. Analysis of the 2-thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), was conducted using
the method indicated by Pikul et al. (1989), and the content was calculated as mg malonaldehyde
equivalent per kg (mg MA/kg) of sample.

Instrumental color analysis was performed from the inner surface of the sliced sausages using a portable chromameter (CR-400, Konica Minolta, NJ, USA). Prior to the analysis, the device was calibrated using a standard calibration plate (Y=92.80, x=0.3136, and y=0.3194) and five readings per sample were taken for L\* (lightness), a\*(redness), b\*(yellowness). The viewing/illuminating apertures were 11 mm/8 mm (8 mm) and 3 mm/3 mm, (3 mm) respectively. Average values were calculated from five readings and expressed as L\*, a\*, and b\* based on the CIE color system (CIE, 1976).

143

## 144 Microbial quality analysis

Microbiological quality characteristics were conducted by enumeration of total plate count (TPC), lactic acid bacteria (LAB), *Enterobacteriaceae, E. Coli* O157:H7, and *Salmonella* spp. Each dry fermented sausage sample was taken aseptically using a sterile spoon, combined with 225 mL of 0.1 % peptone water, and homogenized for 2 minutes in a Stomacher Lab Blender (model 400 Circulator, Seward Laboratory, USA). Diluting one ml of the material in nine ml of 0.1% sterile peptone water yielded a series of 10-fold dilutions (10<sup>1</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup>) After incubating

samples with their appropriate selective medium, enumerations of the developed colony of 151 152 microorganisms were undertaken. For total plate counts, LAB, Enterobacteriaceae, E. Coli 153 O157:H7, and Salmonella spp. counts, the media used were Plate Count Agar (mbcell, kisanbio 154 Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea), Lactobacillus MRS agar (Difco, USA), Violet Red Bile Glucose Agar 155 (VRBGA) (Kisanbio Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea), MacConkey Plates and Bismuth Sulfite Agar 156 (Kisanbio Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea) respectively and appropriate dilutions were incubated for 48 157 hours in triplicate at 37°C (Drosinos et al., 2005). The average number of colonies per countable 158 plate was determined, and the total number of colonies per gram (CFU/g) were calculated before 159 the data was presented in log CFU/g.

## 160 Sensorial analysis

Color, lactic acid aroma, sourness, and overall acceptability of dry fermented sausages were 161 all assessed using descriptive sensory analysis (scoring method). The sensory evaluation was 162 performed by seven experienced panelists who are researchers and students in Daegu university's 163 department of animal resources, meat science laboratory. Ahead of the actual evaluation session, 164 165 the panelists were trained on sensory characteristics of dry fermented sausages using five-point scale. The intensity scale used to define the quality attributes ranged from 1 to 5 that corresponds 166 167 to the sensory attributes of samples as follows "extremely pale to very dark," for color, "very weak 168 fermented aroma to very strong fermented aroma," for aroma, and "light sour to strong sour" for 169 sourness. Three different types of commercial dry fermented sausage were used during training 170 session, and panel were given 3 slices (5 mm thickness) of samples on white plastic plates during the judgment. To avoid carryover influences, all samples were individually labeled with three 171 digits and provided at random. The sample consisted of five series. Each series was made up five 172 173 batches manufacturing with the respective MAP gas mixture (0, 25,50,70 and 100% CO<sub>2</sub>). Before each sample was examined, the panel were provided cold water to rinse their mouths. The sensory
analysis method was certified by the life management committee of Daegu University and given
an IRB number (1040621-201905-HR-004-02).

177

#### 178 Statistical analysis

Statistical data were analyzed by using Analysis Variance (ANOVA) for the three replicates.
SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) was used for the analysis, and a significance level of p < 0.05 was applied for all evaluations. Differences among the means were compared according to Duncans's Multiple Range Test.</p>

- 183
- 184

## **Results and discussion**

## 185 The effect of packaging conditions on pH and aw characteristics

Effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on pH and a<sub>w</sub> of dry fermented sausages during 186 storage period is indicated in Table 1. Modified atmospheric packaging varying in gas composition 187 had a significant (p < 0.05) effect on the pH value of samples during storage. Similarly, Gokoglu 188 et al. (2010) reported a significant difference in pH among the modified atmospheres during the 189 190 storage. In all storage time, the batches in MAP samples presented significantly lower pH values 191 than the vacuum-packed, control samples. The finding agrees with Kim et al. (2014) who observed a significantly lower pH in the MAP samples than that in the VP of dry-cured pork neck products 192 193 at the given storage time. During the storage study, the pH value of MAP packages decreased as CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 25% to 100% CO<sub>2</sub> in MAP1-MAP4 samples. The current result 194

agrees with Cilla et al. (2006) and Martínez et al. (2005) reports that the increase in 195 196 concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> lowered pH in dry-cured meat products. The initial decrease of pH is due to CO<sub>2</sub> absorption. As possible reason, carbonic acid, H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, may have been produced from 197 198 absorbed carbon dioxide by meat (Dixon and Kell, 1989), increased corresponding to the increase 199 in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the MAP treatment and became responsible for the pH variation. Gokoglu 200 et al. (2010) assumed that the increase in LAB count caused for decrease in pH values. In the 201 current investigation, the LAB, which are responsible for lactic acid generation, showed a 202 progressive decline in the MAP treatments as CO2 concentration increased (Table 2), but the pH also fell, indicating that the pH value was unaffected by LAB. The storage time had a profound (p 203 204 < 0.05) effect on the pH value of all MAP treatments and vacuum-packed batches. The pH value 205 notably decreased in all treatments as the storage time extended. This may be associated with lower LAB activity. Muhlisin et al. (2014) documented the increase in pH values of all groups MAP 206 varying in gas composition as storage time increased after studying the effect of MAP on the shelf-207 208 life of Longissimus dorsi. In the current study, the LAB was not completely inhibited in all treatments that lactic acid production at a steady rate and its accumulation as the storage time 209 prolonged may be contributed for the decline in the pH. Houben and Van-Dijk (2001) for sliced 210 211 hams, Pexara et al. (2002) for cured turkey fillets, Kim et al. (2014) for dry-cured pork neck products, and Muhlisin et al. (2014) for Longissimus dorsi of Korean native black pigs during 212 213 storage all testified the decrease of pH values in MAP products with extended storage time.

The chemical reactions and the survival of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms rely on the water activity of the food products. Measurement of the water activity, therefore, increasingly important to determine the shelf-stability of meat products. Water activity (a<sub>w</sub>) of treatments significantly varied on 1, 30 and 45 days of storage time. In the indicated days, all the MAP

treatments regardless of their CO<sub>2</sub> composition exhibited the lower a<sub>w</sub> as compared to vacuum-218 219 packed treatment. The current finding agrees with Kim et al. (2014) that the aw values of the MAP 220 samples were significantly lower than those of the VP samples after 30, 60, and 90 days of 221 storage. At day 1 of storage, MAP4 had the lowest aw than other MAP treatments. When the 222 storage time prolonged to 30 and 45 days, MAP3 and MAP4 presented similarly lowest a<sub>w</sub> than 223 all the treatment. The decrease in a<sub>w</sub> in MAP treatments was corresponding with the increase in 224 CO<sub>2</sub>. After application of MAP to reduce the ripening time of dry-cured boneless hams, Wang 225 (2001) indicated that the stability of microbiological quality is attributed to low water activity in 226 dry-cured ham and bacteriostatic effect of modified atmospheres. Storage time had a significant 227 effect on the aw of all MAP treatments regardless of their gas composition and the values noticeably 228 decreased as the storage time prolonged. In the vacuum-packed samples, aw activity increased at day 30 storage compared to earlier storage of days 1 and 15. However, it decreased again at 45 229 days of storage. Similarly, Rubio et al. (2006) observed a decrease in aw values of sliced dry-230 231 cured meat under both VP and MAP conditions when the storage time was extended.

232

## 233 The effect of packaging conditions on microbiological characteristics

Effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) varying in  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$  composition compared to the control, vacuum packaging, on microbial quality of dry fermented sausages during storage (45 days) indicated in Table 2. All MAP batches showed a lower total count (TPC) than vacuum-packed treatments throughout the storage period and the effect was significant (p < 0.05) at day 1 and day 30 of the storage time. Microbial inhibition was effective as  $CO_2$  composition grew from 25% to 100% in MAP1-MAP4 treatments throughout these days, and the effect was

significantly (p 0.05) higher in MAP3 and MAP4 than other MAP treatments and the vacuum 240 241 packaged control. Similarly, Gokoglu et al. (2010) reported the lowest count in samples packed 242 under 100% CO<sub>2</sub> after studying the effect of modified atmosphere packaging on the quality and 243 shelf life of frankfurter type-sausages. Kim et al. (2014) observed significantly lower total aerobic 244 bacteria and LAB counts in MAP samples than those of the VP samples. It has been stated by 245 Sorheim et al. (2004) that a concentration of 20–30% CO<sub>2</sub> was sufficient to prevent the growth of 246 aerobic spoilage bacteria. In the current study, the effective inhibition could be achieved starting 247 with the use of 25%, that is MAP1, and confirmed to the earlier finding; however, the effect gets pronounced as the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> increased. The progressive reduction in water activity 248 249 along with the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> of MAP treatments could be the possible reason for the decline in 250 microbiological counts presented in the present study (Table 2). Storage time has a profound effect 251 on the TPC of all MAP treatments and the control. TPC substantially increased (p < 0.05) as the duration time extended in all treatment batches. 252

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) count showed a similar trend to TPC. There is a noticeable (p < p253 0.05) difference in LAB counts of MAP treatments varying in their gas mixture across the storage 254 255 period (Table 2). In all the storage days, vacuum-packed samples had a significantly highest (LAB) count than all MAP packages regardless of their gas compositions. Similarly, Kim et al. (2014) 256 documented that the LAB counts in MAP samples were significantly lower than those of the VP 257 samples in dry-cured pork neck products. According to Aksu et al. (2005), MAP with CO<sub>2</sub> and 258 259 N<sub>2</sub> greatly inhibited the growth of LAB. The prominent inhibitory effect for LAB starts in MAP1 260 packages, and the effect increased as the increment of  $CO_2$  and a significant highest count is exhibited in MAP4 with 100% CO<sub>2</sub>. It was recognized that the rate of carbon dioxide in the gas 261 mixture affected the growth of lactic acid bacteria (Gokoglu et al., 2010). Previous investigations 262

263 of Blickstad and Molin (1984), Borch et al. (1996), and Metaxopoulos et al. (2002) have reached 264 similar conclusions. In contrast, some researchers exhibited that modified atmosphere packaging 265 did not have a growth rate hindering effect on the LAB compared to vacuum packaging (Samelis 266 and Georgiadou, 2000; Pexara et al., 2002). Gokoglu et al. (2010) reported that a higher aerobic 267 spoilage bacteria inhibition effect was observed when the gas mixture contained over 30% CO<sub>2</sub>. 268 The current finding, inhibition of lactic acid bacteria was achieved starting from 25% CO<sub>2</sub> 269 composition which is comparable with the previous study. Storage time had a noticeable (p < 0.05) 270 effect on LAB counts of all MAP treatments and the control, vacuum packaging. In all the batches, 271 the count substantially decreased as storage time extended. However, dry fermented sausage is 272 manufactured with deliberate addition of the LAB to achieve the important characteristics required 273 for dry fermented sausages, further activity of the LAB is not required after products completed the ripening process to maintain the quality. In this regard, the decrease in LAB counts by the 274 application of MAP and the storage time can be appreciated in keeping the quality of the products. 275 Evaluation of the microbiological quality and safety of food products are commonly carried 276 out by determination of indicator microorganisms' levels and the one is Enterobacteriaceae 277 278 (Moore et al., 2002; EFSA, 2010). The Enterobacteriaceae are a large family of facultative 279 anaerobic, gram-negative bacilli that inhabit the intestines of many animal species. This family includes pathogenic Escherichia, Salmonella serovars, and Klebsiella species (Gwida et al., 2014; 280 Ruby and Ingham, 2009). The high prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae could be attributed to 281 282 inadequate sanitary conditions and poor general hygiene. MAP treatments varying in their gas 283 mixture showed a significant (p < 0.05) effect on *Enterobacteriaceae* counts at 1, 15 45 days of 284 storage days (Table 2). On day 1, vacuum packing, MAP1, MAP2 had similar higher counts than MAP3 and MAP4 batches. In prolonged storage of 15 and 45 days, however, all MAP packaging 285

resulted in a significantly lower *Enterobacteriaceae* count than the vacuum-packed samples and counts in MAP3 and MAP4 samples were markedly (p < 0.05) lower than all other treatments during the stated period. According to Kim et al. (2014), MAP with a combination of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> inhibited the growth of LAB and *Enterobacteriaceae*. All MAP packaging presented a decrease in the counts at the final storage time of 45 days compared to day 1 storage time when the count increased for vacuum packaging samples.

292 Counts for E. Coli O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. was exhibited during day 1 in all MAP treatments and vacuum-packed batch. E. Coli O157:H7 count ranged from 1.43 log CFU/g in 293 MAP4 to 2.71 log CFU/g in vacuum-packed samples, and the range for Salmonella spp. count was 294 2.55 log CFU/g in MAP3 to 2.87 log CFU/g in vacuum-packed batch. Gram-negative bacteria are 295 generally more sensitive to CO<sub>2</sub> than Gram-positive bacteria (Church, 1994) because most Gram-296 positive bacteria are facultative or strict anaerobes (Gill and Tan, 1980). Despite, the variation was 297 298 not significant, vacuum-packed samples resulted in higher E. Coli O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. counts than all MAP treatments at early 1-day storage time. Vacuum-packed samples were 299 exhibited to have higher Enterobacteriaceae (Table 2) which includes E. Coli O157:H7 and 300 301 Salmonella spp. at day one of the storage. As the storage time extended to 15, 30, and 45 days, 302 both E. Coli O157:H7 and Salmonella spp. disappeared in all MAP and vacuum-packed treatments.

303

## 304 The effect of packaging conditions on TBARS and VBN contents

Table 3 displays the effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) varying in CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> composition compared to the control, vacuum packaging, on TBARS and VBN contents of dry fermented sausages during the storage period (45 days). Martinez et al. (2006) and Gokoglu et al. 308 (2010) documented those sausages are more sensitive to oxidation than intact muscle because 309 grinding reduces particle size and disrupts membranes, allowing air and oxygen to enter the tissues. 310 Treatments had a significant (p < 0.05) variation in TBARS content across the storage period. 311 Vacuumed-packed samples presented the highest TBARS content on 1, 15 and 30 days of storage 312 time and along with MAP<sub>2</sub>, 50% CO<sub>2</sub> and 50% N<sub>2</sub>, at the final storage time as compared to other 313 treatments. The current finding is in agreement with Wanget al. (1995) who found less oxidation 314 in modified-atmosphere packed samples than those in vacuum packed. In contrast, higher TBA 315 values under modified atmosphere packaging than those packed under vacuum was reported by some researchers (Berruga et al., 2005; Gokoglu et al., 2010; Kerry et al., 2000; Martinez et al., 316 317 2006). On day 1 of storage, MAP4 showed a significantly (p < 0.05) lowest TBARS value than all 318 treatments and other MAP treatments were the same in the content. The increase in concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> affected lipid oxidation. Based on the TBARS content at day 15 and 30 storage time, 319 320 treatments are ranked as follows: vacuum-packed > MAP1 > MAP2 > MAP3 > MAP4 as the 321 prevention of rancidity through an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. Jeremiah, (2001) documented that the occurrence of lipid oxidation can be prevented by anaerobic packaging that the present 322 study could achieve the inhibition of rancidity by increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. During the 323 storage period of 45-days, except for MAP2 treatment which had the same highest content as 324 vacuum-packed, MAP treatments showed a decrease in TBARS content as the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> 325 326 composition and MAP4 exhibited the lowest content throughout the storage study. 327 Correspondingly, Gokoglu et al. (2010) reported the oxidation inhibition effect of carbon dioxide concentration based on TBARS analysis. The TBARS values significantly (p < 0.05) decreased in 328 329 vacuum-packed, MAP3 and MAP4 samples, and increased in MAP3 treatment while the MAP1 batch was unaffected (p > 0.05) due to extended storage time. The increased TBARS value in 330

MAP2 samples indicates rancidity development when rancidity was inhibited in vacuum-packed,MAP3 and MAP4 samples during the storage.

The VBN content indicates protein degradation and the increase of VBN content in meat 333 334 can be caused by either bacterial or enzymatic degradation of proteins (Egan et al., 1981; Kim 335 et al., 2014). In the current study, the VBN values significantly (p < 0.05) varied among treatments up to 30 days of storage time and the variation disappeared and all the treatments at 336 the final storage time (Table 3). Across the study period, the variation didn't show similar trend 337 and the values of treatments were fluctuating inconsistently having no relation with the 338 composition of gas used in MAP and the bacterial growth characteristics (Table 2) during storage. 339 However, the MAP2 treatment with 50% CO2 and 50% N2 gas mixture had the highest VBN 340 content throughout storage period. The highest VBN and TABRS content exhibited in MAP2 341 could be the reason for the lowest sensory attributes of color and overall acceptability of the 342 343 samples as to the panel judgment in the current study (Table 5). Additionally, the change in storage time didn't show a clear trend in the VBN contents of the vacuum-packed samples and 344 all the MAP treatments regardless of their gas composition. The VBN value noticeably (p < 0.05) 345 increased from day 1 up to 30 days and then decreased at the final storage time for MAP1, MAP3, 346 and MAP4 treatments. The VBN content for MAP2 increased on 15 and 30 days compared to 347 initial storage time thereafter decreased significantly (p < 0.05) in the final storage time like 348 other MAP treatments. The VBN content of the vacuum-packed samples increased at 30 days 349 350 compared to the previous time but then declined substantially (p < 0.05) at the final storage time. 351 All treatments tended to have a decrease in VBN at the final storage time (45 days).

#### 353 The effect of packaging conditions on color characteristics

Color is an important qualitative factor that determines meat and meat products acceptability 354 of consumers (Glitsch, 2000; Gokoglu et al., 2010). The three primary (L\*, a\*, and b\*) color 355 356 coordinates used in the Hunter system of color determination were performed in the current study 357 and the results are presented in Table 4. A significant variation in the L\* color attribute of treatments was observed during days 1 and 15 of the storage time and the variation disappeared 358 359 thereafter at 30 and 45 days of storage time. On days 1 and 15 storage time, all MAP treatments 360 showed a noticeable (p < 0.05) lower score in L\* than the vacuum-packed samples. Similarly, Kim et al. (2014) observed the highest L\* values in VP samples than the MAP samples at all storage 361 times except at Day 45. Gokoglu et al. (2010) found lower L\*values in samples packed under 362 30% CO<sub>2</sub>/70% N<sub>2</sub> and 100% CO<sub>2</sub> atmospheres during the storage. In contrast, Rubio et al. 363 (2007) and García-Esteban et al. (2004) documented that the type of packaging system had little 364 influence on L\* values, and a significant difference was not found between vacuum-packaged 365 and MAP treatments. Our results disagree also with Li et al. (2012) who reported higher L\* values 366 of beef MAP than VP. As a result of metmyoglobin production, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in 367 368 MAP cause a degree of discoloration. The redox chemistry of myoglobin can be altered by gases in MAP, which affects color. In the current study, the variation among the MAP samples was not 369 370 substantial regardless of the difference in gas composition applied. The L\* values of samples packed with MAP tended to decrease as the storage time extended and the values were significantly 371 (p < 0.05) higher at day 1 storage than further storage period for all MAP samples varying in gas 372 composition. The vacuum-packed samples significantly (p < 0.05) decreased in L\* value on 15 373 and 30 days of storage than at day 1. However, the value again increases at 45 days but still 374 maintained a lower value as compared to day one. The current finding disagrees with Garcia-375

376 Esteban et al. (2003) who reported the increased L\* value in vacuum packed samples and the
377 stability in the modified atmosphere packed samples during storage.

In meat and meat products, redness (a\*) is considered a color stability indicator (Kim et al., 378 2014). There was a significant difference in  $a^*$  value among MAP, varying in CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> 379 380 composition, and the vacuum-packed batches on 1, 30, and 45 storage days, and vacuum-packed samples exhibited a decrease in a\* value than all MAPs treatments. Hur et al. (2013) stated that 381 CO<sub>2</sub> has a positive role in the reduction of lipid oxidation and negative effects in color 382 deterioration in meat packaging during storage. During the storage time, a\* value of MAP4 was 383 higher than all other treatments, and other MAP packages presented similar values which were 384 higher than the vacuum-packed samples. The current finding is in agreement with Adab et al. 385 (2020) who observed an increase in a\* values during the storage of sausages packaged under 386 modified atmospheres. Similarly, Jeremiah et al. (1995) reported that pork packaged with 100% 387 CO<sub>2</sub> had great color stability. On the contrary, Ruiz-Capillas and Jiménez-Colmenero (2010) 388 reported that a\* values remain constant during the storage of meat products packaged under 389 modified atmosphere. Viana et al. (2005) reported that high CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in meat MAP 390 391 application as the major disadvantage with a certain degree of darkening as a result of metmyoglobin formation. Sørheim et al. (1997) reported that CO<sub>2</sub> did not effect on meat color. 392 According to Hur et al. (2013), a decreased redness is associated with rancidity. The decreased 393 TBARS values in the MAP samples of the current study (Table 3) can be related to the increase in 394 a\* value which indicates the advantage of MAPs in color stability by inhibiting the oxidation of 395 lipids. Storage time had a profound (p < 0.05) effect in a\* value of all the packages, MAP, and 396 vacuum-package, used in the current study. And a marked decline in redness was observed in all 397 samples at 30 and 45 days than earlier storage time. The present study is in agreement with Kim 398

et al. (2014) who reported a pronounced fading in the redness color of all packaging systems,
both VP and MAP samples, at extended storage time. In contrast, Esturk and Ayhan (2009)
reported a decrease in the redness of salami slices at all MAP applications with an increase in
storage time.

403 The yellowness (b\*) value of treatments significantly showed variation during day 1, 15 and 30 storage time and all MAPs samples exhibited lower b\* values than vacuum-packed batch. All 404 MAP samples regardless of the gas composition had similar value during the stated period. Then 405 after, all the MAP and vacuum-packed samples presented a similar b\* value on the final storage 406 time of 45 days. The current finding disagrees with Kim et al. (2014) report who found a 407 significantly higher b\* values in the MAP samples than the VP samples at day 30, 60, and 90 of 408 storage. Cilla et al. (2006) reported the increase in yellowness color in MAP samples is related 409 to the increased pigment oxidation during storage. Martínez et al. (2005) has been demonstrated 410 that myoglobin oxidation is favored as the concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> increased. However, the 411 TBARS analysis of the current study (Table 3) didn't support the stated hypothesis as MAP 412 treatments showed a decrease in TBARS content as the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> composition having the 413 lowest content in MAP4, 100% CO<sub>2</sub>, throughout the storage study. Similarly, Wang et al. (1995) 414 reported that TBA and peroxide values were lower in modified atmosphere than in vacuum 415 conditions after analyzing the lipid oxidation in Chinese-style sausages both stored at 4 and 15 °C 416 temperatures. All the MAP and vacuum-packed samples showed a decrease in b\* value due to 417 extended storage time and the values at day 45 storage was substantially lower than day 1 storage 418 419 time. Similarly, Gokoglu et al. (2010) reported a decreased b\* values of the samples packed under modified atmosphere and vacuum during storage. 420

#### 421 The effect of packaging conditions on sensory characteristics

Effect of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) varying in CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> composition 422 423 compared to vacuum packaging on sensory characteristics of color, lactic acid aroma, sourness, 424 and overall acceptability attributes of dry fermented sausages during storage was investigated, and 425 the results are presented in Table 5. Treatments showed a significant (p < 0.05) variation in color attribute of sensory characteristics on 1, 30, and 45 storage days, lactic acid aroma and sourness 426 on 1<sup>st</sup> day of storage, and overall acceptability at the initial and final storage period. The current 427 428 results disagree with those of Fernández-Fernández et al. (2002) who documented that packing methods did not affect any sensory property of dry sausages subjected to VP and MAP. The color 429 was preferred in vacuum packed and MAP1 on day 1 and MAP3 joined the preferred group on day 430 30 and 45 days of storage. The color attribute in MAP2, 50% CO<sub>2</sub> and 50% N<sub>2</sub>, samples were 431 lower compared to all other treatments. The sensory color score significantly decreased in all 432 433 MAPs due to extended storage and vacuum-packed samples didn't vary across the storage time. On day one, the lactic acid aroma in vacuum packed, MAP1 and MAP2 were noticeably (p < 0.05) 434 higher than others, and sourness were preferred in all MAPs than vacuum-packed samples. 435 436 Thereafter, variation was not detected (p > 0.05) among treatments in both sensory traits of the 437 lactic acid aroma and sourness according to the panel's judgments. Both sensory traits of lactic acid aroma and sourness values were significantly (p < 0.05) decreased in all treatment samples, 438 MAP sample and vacuum-package, due to prolonged storage, and the lowest scores were recorded 439 in the final storage time of 45 days. The overall acceptability score in MAP2 samples was the 440 441 lowest compared to all other treatments in the initial and final storage days and no variation was exhibited among other treatments during these days. The least score exhibited in color and overall 442 acceptability of MAP2 samples can be related to the higher TBARS and VBN results (Table 3) 443

which is associated with lipid oxidation and protein degradations in the treatment. The overall
acceptability of MAP1, MAP3, and MAP4 samples didn't show changes due to storage time,
samples in vacuum-packed and MAP2 samples decreased significantly at final storage time than
the initial day 1 storage.

In conclusion, the use of MAPs showed a better microbial inhibition than vacuum package with an increase in the CO<sub>2</sub> from 25% to 100% in MAP1- MAP4 samples. Modified atmospheric packaging with 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub> (MAP3) and 100% CO<sub>2</sub> (MAP4) are found to be more effective to maintain several quality parameters (a<sub>w</sub>, pH, microbial inhibition, stability against lipid oxidation, and instrumental color traits) of dry fermented sausage and extend the shelf life without any effect on sensory quality characteristics during storage.

## 454 **Conflict of interests**

455 The authors have no conflict of interest.

## 456 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research
Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2017R1A2B201277).

459

## 460 **References**

Adab S, Goieid S, Tekiki A, Ben Moussa O, Boulares M, Belgaied S, Hassouna M. 2020. Effect
of modified atmosphere packaging and starter cultures on the quality and shelf life of horse

463 meat sausage. Int J Adv Eng Manag Res. 5:92–107

- Aksu MI, Kaya M, Ockerman HW. 2005. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging and
  temperature on the shelf life of sliced pastirma produced from frozen/thawed meat. J Muscle
  Foods. 16:192–206.
- 467 Aymerich T, Picouet PA, and Monfort JM. 2008. Decontamination technologies for meat products.
  468 Meat Sci. 78: 114-129
- Berruga MI, Vergara H, Gallego L. 2005. Influence of packaging conditions of microbial and
  lipid oxidation in lamb meat. Small Rum Res. 57:257–264.
- 471 Blickstad E, Molin G. 1984. Growth and end-product formation in fermenter cultures
  472 of *Brochothrix thermosphacta* ATTC11509 and two psycrotrophic *Lactobacillus* sp. in
  473 different gaseous atmospheres. J Appl Bacteriol. 57:213–220.
- 474 Borch E, Kant-Muermans ML, Blixt Y. 1996. Bacterial spoilage of meat and cured meat
  475 products. Int J Food Microbiol. 33:103–120.

476 Cann DC. 1984. Packing fish in a modified atmosphere. Torry Advisory Note No. 88.

- 477 Church JJ, Parsons AL. 1995. Modified atmosphere packaging technology: A review. J Sci Food
  478 Agr. 67:143–152.
- Church N. 1994. Developments in modified-atmosphere packaging and related technologies: A
  review. Trends Food Sci Technol. 5:345–352.

| 481 | CIE (Commission International de l'E'clairage). Official recommendations on uniform color    |
|-----|--|
| 482 | spaces. Color difference equations and metric color terms. Suppl. No. 2. CIE Publication No. |
| 483 | 15 Colourimetry. Paris. 1976.  |
|     |  |

- 484 Cilla I, Martínez L, Beltrán JA, Roncalés P. 2006. Dry-cured ham quality and acceptability as
  485 affected by the preservation system used for retail sale. Meat Sci. 73:581–589.
- 486 Dixon NM, Kell B. 1989. The inhibition by CO<sub>2</sub> of the growth and metabolism of micro487 organisms. J Appl Bacteriol. 67:109–136.
- 488 Drosinos EH, Mataragas M, Xiraphi N, Moschonas G, Gaitis F, Metaxopoulos J. 2005.
  489 Characterization of the microbial flora from a traditional Greek fermented sausage. Meat Sci.
  490 69:307–317.
- 491 EFSA. 2010. The assessment of the comparison of the Australian monitoring programme for
  492 carcasses to requirements in Regulation (EC) No. 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria on
  493 foodstuffs. European Food Safety Authority Journal. 8: 1452-1503.
- Egan H, Kirk RS, Sawyer R. 1981. Pearson's Chemical Analysis of Foods. 8th ed. Essex:
  Longman scientific and Technical, UK. 1981. p 185–185.
- 496 Esturk O, Ayhan Z. 2009. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging and storage time on physical
  497 and sensory properties of sliced salami. J Food Process Preserv. 33:114–125.
- Farber JM. 1991. Microbiological aspect of modified atmosphere packaging technology. A review.
  J Food Prot. 54:58–70.

| 500 | Farber JN, Harris LJ, Parish ME, Beuchat LR, Suslow TV, Gorney JR, Garrett EH, Busta FF. 2003. |
|-----|--|
| 501 | Micro-biological safety of controlled and modified atmosphere packaging of fresh and fresh-    |
| 502 | cut produce. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2:142–160.   |

- Fernández-Fernández E, Vázquez-Odériz ML, Romero-Rodríguez MA. 2002. Sensory
  characteristics of Galician chorizo sausage packed under vacuum and under modified
  atmospheres. Meat Sci. 62:67–71.
- García-Esteban M, Ansorena D, Astiasará I. 2004. Comparison of modified atmosphere
  packaging and vacuum packaging for long period storage of dry-cured ham: effects on colour,
  texture and microbiological quality. Meat Sci. 67:57–63.
- Gill CO, Tan KH. 1980. Effect of carbon dioxide on growth of meat spoilage bacteria. Appl
  Environ Microbiol. 39:317–319.
- Glitsch, K. 2000. Consumer perceptions of fresh meat quality: Cross-national comparison. Br Food
  J. 102:177–194.
- Gokoglu N, Yerlikaya P, Uran H, Topuz OK, 2010. The effect of modified atmosphere packaging
  on the quality and shelf life of frankfurter type-sausages. J Food Qual. 2010. 33:367–380.
- 515 Gwida M, Hotzel H, Geue L, Tomaso H. 2014. Occurrence of *Enterobacteriaceae* in raw meat
- and in human samples from Egyptian retail sellers. Int Sch Res Notices. 1–6.
- 517 Han JH. 2005. New technologies in food packaging: Overview. In: Han JH, editor. Innovations in
- 518 food packaging. Elsevier Academic Press. Amsterdam, the Netherlands. p 3–11.

| 519 | Houben JH, van Dijk A. 2001. Effects of dietary vitamin E supplementation and packaging on |
|-----|--|
| 520 | the colour stability of sliced pasteurized beef ham. Meat Sci. 58:403–407.                 |

- 521 Hur S J, Jin SK, Park JH, Jung SW, Lyu HJ. 2013. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging and
- 522 vacuum packaging on quality characteristics of low-grade beef during cold storage. Asian-
- 523 australas J Anim Sci. 26:1781–1789.
- Jeremiah LE, Gibson LL, Argnosa GC. 1995. The influence of controlled atmosphere and vacuum
  packaging upon chilled pork keeping quality. Meat Sci. 40:79–92.
- Jeremiah LE. 2001. Packaging alternatives to deliver fresh meats using short-or long-term
  distribution. Food Res Int. 34:749–772.
- KERRY JP, Q'sullivan MG, Buckley DJ, Lynch PB, Morrissey PA. 2000. The effects of dietary
  a-tocopheryl acetate supplementation and modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) on the
  quality of lamb patties. Meat Sci. 56:61–66.
- Kim IS, Jin SK, Yang MR, Ahn DU, Park JH, Kang SN. 2014. Effect of packaging method and
  storage time on physicochemical characteristics of dry-cured pork neck products at 10°C.
  Asian-australas J Anim Sci. 27:1623–1629.
- Li X, Lindahl G, Zamaratskaia G, Lundström K. 2012. Influence of vacuum skin packaging on
   color stability of beef longissimus lumborum compared with vacuum and high oxygen
   modified atmosphere packaging. Meat Sci. 92:604–609.
- 537 Martínez L, Djenane D, Cilla I, Beltrán JA, Roncalés P. 2005. Effect of different concentrations

| 538 | of carbon dioxide and low concentration of carbon monoxide on the shelf-life of fresh pork      |
|-----|---|
| 539 | sausages packaged in modified atmosphere. Meat Sci. 71:563–570.                                 |
| 540 | Martinez L, Djenane D, Cilla I, Beltran JA, Ron-cales P. 2006. Effects of varying oxygen        |
| 541 | concentrations on the shelf life of fresh pork-sausages packed in modified atmosphere. Food     |
| 542 | Chem. 94:219–225.   |
| 543 | Metaxopoulos J, Mataragas M, Drosinos EH. 2002. Microbial interaction in cooked cured meat      |
| 544 | products under vacuum or modified atmosphere at 4°C. J Appl Microbiol. 93:363–373.              |
| 545 | Møller JKS, Jensen JS, Olsen MB, Skibsted LH, Bertelsen G. 2000. Effect of residual oxygen on   |
| 546 | colour stability during chill storage of sliced, pasteurised ham packaged in modified           |
| 547 | atmosphere. Meat Sci. 2000. 54:399–405.   |
| 548 | Moore G, Griffith C. 2002. A comparison of surface sampling methods for detecting coliforms on  |
| 549 | food contact surfaces. Food Microbiol. 19: 65–73.   |
| 550 | Muhlisin, Panjono, Kim DS, Song YR, Lee SJ, Lee JK, Lee SK. 2014. Effects of gas                |
| 551 | composition in the modified atmosphere packaging on the shelf-life of longissimus dorsi of      |
| 552 | Korean native black pigs-duroc crossbred during refrigerated storage. Asian-australas J Anim    |
| 553 | Sci. 27:1157–1163.  |
| 554 | Ö zogul F, Polat A, Ö zogul Y. 2004. The effects of modified atmosphere packaging on chemical,  |
| 555 | sensory and microbial changes of Sardines (Sardina pilchardus). Food Chem. 85:49–57.            |
| 556 | Pexara ES, Metaxopoulos J, Drosinos EH. 2002. Evaluation of shelf life of cured, cooked, sliced |

| 557 | turkey fillets, and cooked pork sausages - "piroski" - stored under vacuum and modified |
|-----|---|
| 558 | atmospheres at +4 and +10°C. Meat Sci. 62:33–43.  |
| 559 | Pikul J, Leszcynski DE, Kummerow FA. 1989. Evaluation of three modified TBA methods for |
| 560 | measuring lipid oxidation in chicken meat. J Agric Food Chem. 37:1309–13.               |

- Rubio B, Mart ínez B, Garc ía-Cachán MD, Rovira J, Jaime I. 2008. Effect of the packaging method
  and the storage time on lipid oxidation and colour stability on dry fermented sausage
  salchichón manufactured with raw material with a high level of mono and polyunsaturated
  fatty acids. Meat Sci. 80:1182–1187.
- Rubio B, Martinez B, Gonzalez-Fernandez C, Garcia-Cachan MD, Rovira J, Jaime I. 2007. Effect
  of modified atmosphere packaging on the microbiological and sensory quality and a dry cured
  beef product: "Cecina de lweon". Meat Sci. 75:515–522.
- Rubio B, Martinez B, Sanchez MJ, Gonzalez-Fernandez C, Garcia-Cachan MD, Rovira J, Jaime
  I. 2006. Influence of storage period and packaging method on sliced dry cured beef "Cecina
  de Leon": Effects on microbiological, physicochemical, and sensory quality. Meat Sci.
  74:710–717.
- Ruby JR, Ingham SC. 2009. Use of *Enterobacteriaceae* analysis results for predicting absence of *Salmonella serovars* on beef carcasses. Journal of Food Protection. 72: 260–266.
- Samelis J, Georgiadou KG. 2000. The microbial association of Greek taverna sausage stored at 4
  and 10 degrees C in air, vacuum or 100% carbon dioxide, and its spoilage potential. J Appl
  Microbiol. 88:58–68.

- 577 Santos EM, Diez AM, González-Fernández C, Jaime I, Rovira J. 2005. Microbiological and
  578 sensory changes in "Morcilla de Burgos" preserved in air, vacuum, and modified atmosphere
  579 packaging. Meat Sci. 71:249–55.
- Sørheim O, Aune T, Nesbakken T. 1997. Technological, hygienic, and toxicological aspects of
  carbon monoxide used in modified-atmosphere packaging of meat. Trends Food Sci
  Technol. 8:307–312.
- 583 Sorheim O, Ofstad R, Lea P. 2004. Effects of carbon dioxide on yield, texture, and microstructure
- of cooked ground beef. Meat Sci. 67:231–236
- Stiles ME. 1991. Modified atmosphere packaging of meat, poultry, and their products. In:
  Ooraikul B, Stiles ME, editors. Modified Atmosphere Packaging of Food. Ellis Horwood
  Limited. Chichester, England. p 118–147.
- 588 Viana ES, Gomide LA, Vanetti MC.2005. Effect of modified atmospheres on microbiological,
- color and sensory properties of refrigerated pork. Meat Sci. 71:696–705.
- Wang FS, Jiang YN, Lin CW. 1995. Lipid and cholesterol oxidation in Chinese-style sausage
  using vacuum and modified atmosphere packaging. Meat Sci. 40:93–101
- 592 Wang FS. 2001. Lipolytic and proteolytic properties of dry-cured boneless hams ripened in
- 593 modified atmospheres. Meat Sci. 59:15–22.
- Table 1. Effect of MAP varying in gas composition on pH and water activity a<sub>w</sub> of dry fermented
   sausages during storage

| Parameter  | Days | Control            | MAP1               | MAP2               | MAP3               | MAP4               | SEM  |
|------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
|            | 1    | 6.01 <sup>aA</sup> | 5.87 <sup>bA</sup> | 5.81 <sup>cA</sup> | 5.74 <sup>dA</sup> | 5.62 <sup>eA</sup> | 0.03 |
|            | 15   | 5.83 <sup>aB</sup> | $5.78^{abB}$       | 5.76 <sup>bB</sup> | 5.73 <sup>cB</sup> | 5.58 <sup>dB</sup> | 0.00 |
| рН         | 30   | 5.75 <sup>aC</sup> | 5.71 <sup>bC</sup> | 5.69 <sup>bC</sup> | 5.63 <sup>cC</sup> | 5.56 <sup>dC</sup> | 0.01 |
|            | 45   | 5.61 <sup>aD</sup> | 5.59 <sup>bD</sup> | 5.56 <sup>cD</sup> | 5.51 <sup>dD</sup> | 5.40 <sup>eD</sup> | 0.00 |
|            | SEM  | 0.03               | 0.00               | 0.01               | 0.01               | 0.00               | _    |
|            | 1    | 0.73 <sup>bB</sup> | 0.74 <sup>aA</sup> | 0.74 <sup>aA</sup> | 0.74 <sup>aA</sup> | 0.73 <sup>bA</sup> | 0.03 |
|            | 15   | 0.73 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.73 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.74 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.74 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.73 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.00 |
| $a_{ m w}$ | 30   | 0.75 <sup>aA</sup> | 0.73 <sup>bB</sup> | 0.73 <sup>bB</sup> | 0.72 <sup>cB</sup> | 0.72 <sup>cB</sup> | 0.01 |
|            | 45   | 0.73 <sup>aB</sup> | 0.72 <sup>bC</sup> | 0.72 <sup>bC</sup> | 0.71 <sup>cC</sup> | 0.71 <sup>cC</sup> | 0.00 |
|            | SEM  | 0.00               | 0.00               | 0.00               | 0.00               | 0.00               | _    |

<sup>1)</sup> Treatments are control (vacuum packaging); MAP1, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>/75% N<sub>2</sub>; MAP2, 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50%
N<sub>2</sub>; MAP3, 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub>; MAP4, 100% CO<sub>2</sub>

598 <sup>2)</sup> SEM: standard error of mean. (n=3)

<sup>a-e</sup> Means with different letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05)

<sup>A-D</sup> Means with different letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05)

|                    |      | Treatments <sup>1</sup> |                     |                     |                      |                    |                  |  |
|--------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Parameter          | Days | Control                 | MAP1                | MAP2                | MAP3                 | MAP4               | SEM <sup>2</sup> |  |
|                    | 1    | 8.96 <sup>aA</sup>      | 8.53 <sup>bA</sup>  | 8.41 <sup>bcA</sup> | 8.24 <sup>cA</sup>   | 8.28 <sup>cA</sup> | 0.10             |  |
| TPC (log CFU/g)    | 15   | 8.56 <sup>B</sup>       | 8.32 <sup>A</sup>   | 8.40 <sup>A</sup>   | 8.24 <sup>A</sup>    | 7.90 <sup>AB</sup> | 0.30             |  |
|                    | 30   | 8.32 <sup>aC</sup>      | 8.28 <sup>abA</sup> | 8.06 <sup>bA</sup>  | 7.61 <sup>cB</sup>   | 7.52 <sup>cB</sup> | 0.28             |  |
|                    | 45   | 7.69 <sup>D</sup>       | 7.47 <sup>B</sup>   | 7.36 <sup>B</sup>   | 7.64 <sup>B</sup>    | 7.35 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.34             |  |
|                    | SEM  | 0.22                    | 0.21                | 0.29                | 0.27                 | 0.34               | -                |  |
|                    | 1    | 8.57 <sup>aA</sup>      | 8.43 <sup>abA</sup> | 8.26 <sup>abA</sup> | 8.32 <sup>abA</sup>  | 8.17 <sup>cA</sup> | 0.19             |  |
| LAB (log CFU/g)    | 15   | 8.42 <sup>aA</sup>      | 8.33 <sup>aA</sup>  | $8.16^{abB}$        | 8.05 <sup>abAB</sup> | 7.85 <sup>bB</sup> | 0.20             |  |
|                    | 30   | 8.49 <sup>aA</sup>      | 8.09 <sup>bAB</sup> | 7.59° <sup>C</sup>  | 7.44 <sup>cB</sup>   | 7.58 <sup>cC</sup> | 0.15             |  |
|                    | 45   | 7.37 <sup>aB</sup>      | 7.33 <sup>abC</sup> | 7.31 <sup>abD</sup> | 7.24 <sup>abC</sup>  | 7.15 <sup>bD</sup> | 0.12             |  |
|                    | SEM  | 0.22                    | 0.17                | 0.21                | 0.11                 | 0.10               | -                |  |
|                    | 1    | 3.89 <sup>aB</sup>      | 3.96 <sup>aA</sup>  | 3.82 <sup>aA</sup>  | 3.05 <sup>bA</sup>   | 3.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.06             |  |
| Enterobacteriaceae | 15   | 3.89 <sup>aB</sup>      | 3.42 <sup>bC</sup>  | 3.03 <sup>cB</sup>  | 2.82 <sup>cB</sup>   | 2.86 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.10             |  |
| (log CFU/g)        | 30   | 2.59 <sup>°</sup>       | 2.46 <sup>°</sup>   | 2.48 <sup>C</sup>   | 2.67 <sup>B</sup>    | 2.61               | 0.18             |  |
|                    | 45   | 4.11 <sup>aA</sup>      | 3.32 <sup>bB</sup>  | 3.20 <sup>bB</sup>  | 2.66 <sup>cB</sup>   | 2.44 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.10             |  |
| -                  | SEM  | 0.03                    | 0.11                | 0.11                | 0.12                 | 0.17               | -                |  |
|                    | 1    | 2.71                    | 2.53                | 2.01                | 1.36                 | 1.43               | ND               |  |
|                    | 15   | -                       | -                   | -                   | -                    | -                  | ND               |  |

Table 2. Effect of MAP varying in gas composition on microbial quality characteristics of dryfermented sausages during storage

|                            | 30  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | ND |
|----------------------------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|----|
| <i>E. coli</i> (log CFU/g) | 45  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | ND |
| -                          | SEM | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   |    |
|                            | 1   | 2.87 | 2.80 | 2.61 | 2.55 | 2.57 | ND |
| Salmonella spp.            | 15  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | ND |
| (log CFU/g)                | 30  | -    | -    | -    |      | -    | ND |
|                            | 45  | -    | -    | -    | -    | -    | ND |
| -                          | SEM | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   | ND   |    |

<sup>1)</sup> Treatments are control (vacuum packaging); MAP1, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>/75% N<sub>2</sub>; MAP2, 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50%

605 N<sub>2</sub>; MAP3, 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub>; MAP4, 100% CO<sub>2</sub>

- $^{2}$  SEM: standard error of mean, (n=3)
- <sup>a-c</sup> Means with different letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05)
- <sup>A-D</sup> means with different letters within a column of significantly different (p < 0.05)
- 609 ND: Not determined

## Table 3. Effect of MAP varying in gas composition on TBARS and VBN of dry fermented sausages

## 612 during storage

|           |      | Treatments <sup>1</sup> |                    |                     |                      |                     |                  |  |
|-----------|------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Parameter | Days | Control                 | MAP1               | MAP2                | MAP3                 | MAP4                | SEM <sup>2</sup> |  |
|           | 1    | 1.01 <sup>aA</sup>      | 0.87 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.78 <sup>bB</sup>  | 0.77 <sup>bA</sup>   | 0.58 <sup>cA</sup>  | 0.00             |  |
| TBARS (mg | 15   | 0.95 <sup>aB</sup>      | 0.73 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.84 <sup>bB</sup>  | 0.73 <sup>cA</sup>   | 0.54 <sup>dA</sup>  | 0.00             |  |
| MA/kg)    | 30   | 0.91 <sup>aB</sup>      | 0.77 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.80 <sup>bB</sup>  | 0.70 <sup>cA</sup>   | $0.50^{dB}$         | 0.01             |  |
|           | 45   | 0.85 <sup>aC</sup>      | 0.79 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.94 <sup>aA</sup>  | 0.67 <sup>cB</sup>   | 0.43 <sup>dC</sup>  | 0.00             |  |
|           | SEM  | 0.01                    | 0.00               | 0.00                | 0.00                 | 0.00                |                  |  |
| -         | 1    | 8.87 <sup>cB</sup>      | 7.47 <sup>dC</sup> | 10.36 <sup>aB</sup> | 6.62 <sup>eD</sup>   | 9.52 <sup>bC</sup>  | 0.86             |  |
| VBN (mg%) | 15   | 8.96 <sup>cB</sup>      | 8.78 <sup>cB</sup> | 13.54 <sup>aA</sup> | 13.10 <sup>abB</sup> | 12.16 <sup>bB</sup> | 1.78             |  |
|           | 30   | 10.92°A                 | 11.86°A            | 14.47 <sup>aA</sup> | 13.62 <sup>bA</sup>  | 12.42 <sup>cA</sup> | 1.61             |  |
|           | 45   | 8.68 <sup>B</sup>       | 7.36 <sup>C</sup>  | 8.73 <sup>D</sup>   | 8.49 <sup>C</sup>    | 8.70 <sup>D</sup>   | 1.38             |  |
|           | SEM  | 1.65                    | 1.27               | 1.44                | 0.99                 | 1.77                |                  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> Treatments are control (vacuum packaging); MAP1, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>/75% N<sub>2</sub>; MAP2, 50% CO<sub>2</sub>/50%
N<sub>2</sub>; MAP3, 70%CO<sub>2</sub>/30%N<sub>2</sub>; MAP4, 100% CO<sub>2</sub>

- 615 <sup>2</sup> SEM: standard error of mean, (n=3)
- <sup>a-e</sup> Means with different letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05)
- <sup>A-D</sup> Means with different letters within a column of significantly different (p < 0.05)

|                 | Treatments <sup>1</sup> |                     |                     |                     |                      |                      |                  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Parameter       | Days                    | Control             | MAP1                | MAP2                | MAP3                 | MAP4                 | SEM <sup>2</sup> |
|                 | 1                       | 51.91 <sup>aA</sup> | 47.29 <sup>bA</sup> | 46.40 <sup>bA</sup> | 46.28 <sup>bA</sup>  | 44.58 <sup>bA</sup>  | 2.01             |
|                 | 15                      | 45.64 <sup>aC</sup> | 44.92 <sup>bB</sup> | 44.73 <sup>bA</sup> | 43.18 <sup>abA</sup> | 41.18 <sup>bB</sup>  | 2.22             |
| L* (lightness)  | 30                      | 41.15 <sup>C</sup>  | 40.79 <sup>B</sup>  | 40.96 <sup>B</sup>  | 40.42 <sup>B</sup>   | 40.03 <sup>B</sup>   | 2.21             |
|                 | 45                      | 40.64 <sup>B</sup>  | 40.74 <sup>B</sup>  | 39.76 <sup>B</sup>  | 39.14 <sup>C</sup>   | 39.08 <sup>C</sup>   | 2.41             |
|                 | SEM                     | 2.25                | 2.25                | 2.45                | 2.28                 | 1.82                 | _                |
| a* (redness)    | 1                       | 8.90 <sup>bA</sup>  | 9.63 <sup>abA</sup> | 9.69 <sup>abA</sup> | 10.01 <sup>aA</sup>  | 10.23 <sup>aA</sup>  | 1.09             |
|                 | 15                      | 8.82 <sup>A</sup>   | 9.28 <sup>A</sup>   | 9.33 <sup>A</sup>   | 9.52 <sup>B</sup>    | 9.98 <sup>B</sup>    | 1.19             |
|                 | 30                      | 7.96 <sup>cB</sup>  | 8.10 <sup>bB</sup>  | 8.35 <sup>bB</sup>  | 8.87 <sup>bC</sup>   | 9.65 <sup>aC</sup>   | 0.79             |
|                 | 45                      | 6.56 <sup>cC</sup>  | 7.23 <sup>bC</sup>  | 7.30 <sup>bC</sup>  | 7.99 <sup>bD</sup>   | $8.87^{\mathrm{aD}}$ | 0.67             |
|                 | SEM                     | 0.61                | 0.99                | 0.96                | 0.94                 | 1.19                 | _                |
| b* (yellowness) | 1                       | 10.74 <sup>aA</sup> | 9.89 <sup>bA</sup>  | 9.65 <sup>bA</sup>  | 9.32 <sup>bA</sup>   | 9.15 <sup>bA</sup>   | 0.96             |
|                 | 5                       | 10.15 <sup>aA</sup> | 9.80 <sup>abA</sup> | 9.51 <sup>bA</sup>  | $8.40^{bB}$          | 8.11 <sup>bB</sup>   | 1.48             |
|                 | 30                      | 9.88 <sup>aA</sup>  | $8.56^{abB}$        | 8.32 <sup>abB</sup> | 7.96 <sup>bC</sup>   | 7.82 <sup>bC</sup>   | 1.84             |
|                 | 45                      | 8.17 <sup>B</sup>   | 8.09 <sup>B</sup>   | 8.00 <sup>B</sup>   | 7.50 <sup>C</sup>    | 7.43 <sup>°</sup>    | 1.04             |
|                 | SEM                     | 1.77                | 1.05                | 1.69                | 1.29                 | 0.83                 | _                |

Table 4. Effect of MAP varying in gas composition on instrumental color characteristics of dryfermented sausages during storage

<sup>1)</sup> Treatments are control (vacuum packaging); MAP1, 25%  $CO_2/75\%$  N<sub>2</sub>; MAP2, 50%  $CO_2/50\%$ 

 $622 \qquad N_2; \, MAP3, \, 70\% CO_2/30\% N_2; \, MAP4, \, 100\% \ CO_2$ 

- 623 <sup>2</sup> SEM: standard error of mean, (n=3)
- 624 <sup>a-c</sup> Means with different letters within a row are significantly different (p < 0.05)
- <sup>A-D</sup> means with different letters within a column are significantly different (p < 0.05)
- 626



# 627Table 5. Effect of MAP varying in gas composition on sensory attributes of dry fermented sausages

## 628 during storage

|                   | Treatments <sup>1</sup> |                    |                    |                     |                     |                    |                  |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Parameter         | Days                    | Control            | MAP1               | MAP2                | MAP3                | MAP4               | SEM <sup>2</sup> |
|                   | 1                       | 4.50 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.08 <sup>aA</sup> | 3.76 <sup>bA</sup>  | 3.50 <sup>bA</sup>  | 2.78 <sup>bB</sup> | 0.63             |
| Color             | 15                      | 3.99               | 3.76 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.64 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.48 <sup>A</sup>   | 3.20 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.67             |
|                   | 30                      | 3.73 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.64 <sup>aB</sup> | 2.42°C              | 3.41 <sup>aB</sup>  | 2.28 <sup>cB</sup> | 0.44             |
|                   | 45                      | 2.59 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.02 <sup>aC</sup> | 2.00 <sup>cD</sup>  | 2.46 <sup>abC</sup> | 2.24 <sup>bB</sup> | 1.00             |
|                   | SEM                     | 0.83               | 0.66               | 0.63                | 0.63                | 0.63               | _                |
|                   | 1                       | 5.00 <sup>aA</sup> | 4.54 <sup>aA</sup> | 4.00 <sup>abA</sup> | 3.76 <sup>bA</sup>  | 3.76 <sup>bA</sup> | 0.12             |
| Lactic acid aroma | 15                      | 4.02 <sup>B</sup>  | 4.00 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.42 <sup>A</sup>   | 3.34 <sup>A</sup>   | 3.25 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.64             |
|                   | 30                      | 3.50 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.38 <sup>BC</sup> | 3.22 <sup>A</sup>   | 3.16 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.10 <sup>AB</sup> | 0.31             |
|                   | 45                      | 3.56 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.18 <sup>C</sup>  | 3.09 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.02 <sup>C</sup>   | 2.99 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.86             |
|                   | SEM                     | 0.61               | 0.67               | 0.62                | 0.29                | 0.53               | _                |
|                   | 1                       | 3.60 <sup>bA</sup> | 4.40 <sup>aA</sup> | 4.58 <sup>aA</sup>  | 4.18 <sup>aA</sup>  | 4.05 <sup>aA</sup> | 0.50             |
| Sourness          | 15                      | 3.52 <sup>A</sup>  | 3.30 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.04 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.15 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.00 <sup>B</sup>  | 1.03             |
|                   | 30                      | 3.36 <sup>AB</sup> | 3.12 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.19 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.12 <sup>BC</sup>  | 3.10 <sup>B</sup>  | 0.45             |
|                   | 45                      | 2.90 <sup>B</sup>  | 2.86 <sup>C</sup>  | 3.37 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.00 <sup>C</sup>   | 2.46 <sup>C</sup>  | 1.02             |
|                   | SEM                     | 0.79               | 0.72               | 0.85                | 0.58                | 0.99               | _                |
|                   | 1                       | 4.42 <sup>aA</sup> | 3.72 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.09 <sup>bA</sup>  | 3.79 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.69 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.68             |
|                   | 15                      | 3.68 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.36               | 3.00 <sup>A</sup>   | 3.75                | 3.56               | 0.82             |
|                   | 30                      | 3.46 <sup>B</sup>  | 3.40               | 2.74 <sup>B</sup>   | 3.70                | 3.21               | 0.31             |

|     |  | 45                                    | 3.25 <sup>aB</sup> | 3.19 <sup>a</sup>  | 2.20 <sup>bC</sup>       | 3.56 <sup>a</sup> | 3.01 <sup>a</sup> | 1.17                   |  |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|
|     | Overall  | SEM                                   | 0.78               | 1.03               | 0.63                     | 0.82              | 0.70              | _                      |  |
|     | acceptability  |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 629 | <sup>1)</sup> Treatments are co  | ontrol (vacu                          | um packagi         | ng); MAP           | 1, 25% CO <sub>2</sub> / | /75% N2; I        | MAP2, 509         | % CO <sub>2</sub> /50% |  |
| 630 | N <sub>2</sub> ; MAP3, 70%CC   | 0 <sub>2</sub> /30%N <sub>2</sub> ; N | MAP4, 100%         | ∕₀ CO <sub>2</sub> |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 631 | <sup>2</sup> SEM: standard error of mean. (n=3)  |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 632 | <sup>a-c</sup> Means with different letters within a row are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ )    |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 633 | <sup>A-D</sup> Means with different letters within a column are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 634 | 0= extremely pale to 5= very dark (color),   |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 635 | 0=very weak fermented aroma to 5=very strong fermented aroma (Lactic acid aroma)                       |                                       |                    |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |
| 636 | 0=light sour to 5= s   | strong sour                           | (sourness).        |                    |                          |                   |                   |                        |  |