| TITLE PAGE | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 - Food Scie | ence of Animal Resources - | | | |
| Upload this completed form to website with submission | | | | |
| ARTICLE INFORMATION Fill in information in each box below | | | | |
| Article Type | Research article, Review article or Short Communcation | | | |
| Article Title | The Quality Characteristics of Ready-to-Eat Empal Gentong affected by meat pre-cooking | | | |
| Running Title (within 10 words) | Ready-to-Eat Empal Gentong affected by meat pre-cooking | | | |
| Author | Endy Triyannanto ² , Andi Febrisiantosa ^{1*} , Annisa Kusumaningrum ¹ , Aldicky Faizal Amri ¹ , Safna Fauziah ² , Eki Prilla Sulistyono ² , Bayu Murti Dewandaru ² , Asep Nurhikmat ¹ , Agus Susanto ¹ | | | |
| Affiliation | 1Research Division for Natural Product Technology, Indonesian Institute of Science, Gunungkidul, DI Yogyakarta, Indonesia 2Department of Animal Products Technology, Animal Science Faculty, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia | | | |
| Special remarks – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office | | | | |
| ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) https://orcid.org | Endy Triyannanto (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1682-2186) Andi Febrisiantosa (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8664-4189) Annisa Kusumaningrum (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7073-5502) Aldicky Faizal Amri (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8391-6086) Safna Fauziah (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4352-5256) Eki Prilla Sulistyono (https://orcid/0000-0001-9606-2369) Bayu Murti Dewandaru (https://orcid/0000-0001-9606-2369) Asep Nurhikmat (https://orcid/0000-0002-2447-0395) Agus Susanto (https://orcid/ | | | |
| Conflicts of interest List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.) | The authors declare no potential conflict of interest. | | | |
| Acknowledgements State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.) | The author would like to thank the Ministry of Finance's Education Fund Management Agency for funding this research through the National Research Priority Program (RISTEK BRIN LPDP) 2020. | | | |
| Author contributions (This field may be published.) | Conceptualization: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E., Kusumaningrum A. Data curation: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E., Fauziah S. Formal analysis: Fauziah S, Sulistyono EP, Dewandaru BM Methodology: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E., Amri AF. Software: Triyannanto E. Sulistyono EP, Dewandaru BM Validation: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E, Kusumaningrum A. Investigation: Nurhikmat A, Susanto A, Amri AF, Kusumaningrum A. Writing - original draft: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E, Fauziah S. Writing - review & editing: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E, Fauziah S. | | | |
| Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) (This field may be published.) | This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants. | | | |
| | | | | |
| CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION | | | | |
| (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints) | | | | |

| First name, middle initial, last name | Andi Febrisiantosa |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent | andi.febrisiantosa@gmail.com |
| Secondary Email address | andi001@lipi.go.id |
| Postal address | 55861 |

| Cell phone number | +6281802395805 |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Office phone number | +62274 392570 |
| Fax number | +62 274-391168 |

| 9 | The Quality Characteristics of Ready-to-Eat Empal Gentong affected by meat pre- |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10 | cooking |
| 11 | |
| 12 | Abstract |
| 13 | The purpose of this research was to examine the effectiveness of pre-cooking treatments |
| 14 | on the quality characteristics of ready-to-eat (RTE) empal gentong. Raw beef meat was |
| 15 | precooked in water bath at 90°C for 0 min (C), 10 min (T1), 20 min (T2), and 30 min (T3) |
| 16 | prior to retorting process at 121°C and pressure at 0,7 bars. Results showed that pre- |
| 17 | cooking treatments in all treated samples could reduce fat contents in empal gentong's |
| 18 | meat by 0.02% (T1), 0.28% (T2), and 1.13% (T3) respectively. Highest precooking time |
| 19 | tends to increase the pH and CIE a* values. However, CIE b* values, water holding capacity |
| 20 | (WHC), and sensory analysis were not affected by pre-cooking duration which must have |
| 21 | been affected by sterilization process after precooking. In conclusion, pre-cooking |
| 22 | treatment before sterilization in producing empal gentong is a probable technique to |
| 23 | reduce its fat content and improve its physical quality. A specific treatment at 90°C for |
| 24 | 10 min is recommended to achieve optimum quality of ready-to-eat empal gentong's meat. |
| 25 | Keywords: pre-cooking, meat, ready-to-eat, empal gentong, quality characteristic |
| 26 | |

27 Introduction

Interest in traditional food products has grown in both developed and developing countries (Anders and Caswell 2009). As a country with diverse cultures and traditions, Indonesia has a variety of traditional foods (Rianti et al. 2018). Empal gentong, a traditional food originating in Cirebon, Indonesia, is meat prepared with mixed spices and coconut milk. However, consumers nowadays complain about fat droplets in the broth and the short shelf life of the product. Therefore, retort packaging, which involves sterilization at high temperatures, is used to produce ready-to-eat (RTE) empal gentong.

The quality of RTE empal gentong meat was the focus of this study. Some small and 35 medium level industries still use the conventional method of pre-cooking without a 36 standard. However, several recent studies have aimed to reduce the fat content and 37 improve the visual appeal of meat products. Trivannanto and Lee (2015) showed that pre-38 cooking successfully improves the quality of Korean ginseng chicken soup, as judged by 39 consumer acceptance. Furthermore, Manheem et al. (2013) reported that a cheap and 40 simple pre-cooking process is important for extending the shelf life of food products. 41 Accordingly, our study aimed to identify the optimal pre-cooking method for RTE empal 42 gentong by evaluating the quality characteristics of the meat prepared using various pre-43 cooking treatments. 44

45

46 Materials and Methods

47 Meat preparation and precooking treatment

48 Fresh beef meat was purchased from the local butcher's market in Yogyakarta City of Indonesia, and immediately brought to the laboratory. The fresh beef meat (longissimus 49 dorsi) was cut into cubes with a size of 3x3x3 cm (LxWxH) to be prepared for pre-50 cooking treatment. The meat samples were then packed with sealable PE plastics bag. 51 The precooking process was carried out by heating the meat samples in the water bath at 52 a temperature of 90°C. There were four group treatment of pre-cooking time namely 53 54 control/without pre-cooking (C), 10 min (T1), 20 min (T2) and 30 min (T3) of precooking time with five replications. The curry was separately prepared by mixing the 55 coconut milk, spices, and hot water. The curry was heated at a temperature of 80-90°C 56 for 45 min. 57

58

59 RTE Empal gentong preparation

| 60 | A total of 50 g meat cubes was introduced to multilayer retort pouch with a specific |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 61 | layer arrangement of PET / ALU / ONU / CPP, 16.0 cm x 22.9 cm (WxH) size. About |
| 62 | 300 ml of hot curry was poured into the pouch, then was sealed by using a continuous |
| 63 | sealer machine. Afterward, the sterilization process was carried out using a retort machine |
| 64 | which was operated by holding a pressure of 10.15 psi, 9 min until sterility value is |
| 65 | obtained. After sterilization, a cooling process was carried out in room temperature water |
| 66 | at 22-25 °C for 10 min. The RTE empal gentong samples were then analyzed. |
| 67 | |
| 68 | pH value |
| 69 | Ten grams of empal gentong's meat was chopped and then transferred into 40 mL of |
| 70 | distilled water, homogenized at 10.000 rpm for 60 s using a homogenizer. The pH values |
| 71 | were measured using a pH meter attached with an electrode (Orion Star A111 Benchtop, |
| 72 | Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Singapore). The pH value was performed in triplicate per |
| 73 | treatment (Muhlisin et al. 2013). |
| 74 | |
| 75 | Tenderness |
| 76 | Samples of empal gentong's meat with a thickness of 0.5 cm and 1.5 cm width were |
| 77 | placed on the Warner-Bratzel instrument (Soeparno 2015) |
| 78 | |
| 79 | Water holding capacity |
| 80 | The analysis of the water holding capacity (WHC) in this research using the method of |
| 81 | (Hamm 1972). Samples in amount of 0.3 gr placed on filter paper and pressed between 2 |
| 82 | glass plates, and then given 35 kg load for 5 min. The area which absorbed water was |
| 83 | then counted with planimeter. WHC then calculated with the following formula: |
| 84 | $mgH_2O = \frac{wet area (cm2)}{0,0948} - 8$ |

| 85 | % free water = $\frac{mgH20}{weightsample(mg)} \times 100\%$ |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 86 | The sample used for water content assay was 1 g. Weighed samples then inserted into |
| 87 | filter paper and oven dried at 105 °C for 24 h (Soeparno 2015). |
| 88 | WHC = $\frac{x+y-z}{x} \times 100\%$ |
| 89 | %WHC = TWC - % free water |
| 90 | Where $X = Sample$ weight; $Y = filter$ paper weight; $Z = Sample$ weight + filter paper |
| 91 | weight after being oven; and TWC = Total Water Content. |
| 92 | |
| 93 | Cooking loss |
| 94 | The analysis of the cooking loss in this research using the method of Bouton et al., |
| 95 | (1972). The meat was cut in the direction of the fiber and weighed as much as 25 g. |
| 96 | Afterwards, the meat was put in polyethylene plastic and packed with a vacuum machine. |
| 97 | The meat was cooked in a water bath at 90°C for 0 min (C), 10 min (T1), 20 min (T2), |
| 98 | and 30 min (T3) min. The meat was then cooled and removed from the polyethylene |
| 99 | plastic and then wiped with a tissue and the final weight is weighed. |
| 100 | Cooking Loss= $\frac{x-y}{x} \times 100\%$ |
| 101 | Where $x = initial$ weight; $y = final$ weight |
| 102 | |
| 103 | Proximate analysis |
| 104 | Chemical analysis method for this research were water, fat, protein, and collagen |
| 105 | content by using a food scanner (FoodScan TM Meat Analyser; FOSS, Padova, Italy) with |
| 106 | NIRS (Near Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy) technology. Thirty grams of sample were |
| 107 | grinded and checked in food scanner with a special petri dish. Samples checked in |
| | |

108 triplication (Triyannanto et al. 2019)

109 Sensory analysis

110 Sensory analysis following the method described by Triyannanto and Lee, (2015). The total of 11 male and female semi-trained panellists aged 17-21 years conducted a sensory 111 112 analysis for RTE empal gentong. Sensory procedures were explained in detail to the panellists before conducting a sensory test. A pack questionnaire was given to be filled 113 114 during a sensory analysis. Every sample was labelled with 3 different numbers to decline 115 the subjective score possibility. To support the sensory analysis lamp room with a 1,200lux brightness were applied. Panellists are required to rinse their mouth after the analysis 116 117 for each different sample. These procedures were designed to avoid cross-contamination 118 of the sensory characteristics in each sample. Furthermore, the panellist was obliged to fill the questionnaire that has been provided. Sensory analysis in this research was 119 contained of four parameters namely, color, tenderness, taste, texture, and flavour. 120 Parameter scales were set at; 5: very like, 4: Like, 3: plain 2: dislike, and 1: very dislikes. 121

122

123 Statistical analysis

124 SPSS Statistics (version 25.0; (IBM, 2017) for Windows Evaluation Version was used 125 to analyze all data. The data were analyzed using one way analysis of variance and 126 Duncan's multiple range test for significant differences (p<0.05).

127

128 Results and Discussion

pH value

Table 1 shows that pre-cooking time significantly affected the pH value of the meat (p <0.05). The pH value was 6.31 in the control and tended to increase with longer precooking times. The pH values in this study might have been affected by the heating process, which causes amino acids to lose their carboxyl groups. A decrease in the number of acidic groups was also observed by Hamm and Deatherage (1960), who showed that 135 ground longissimus dorsi muscle lost almost one-third of its carboxyl groups when heated

136 at 20-70°C for 30 min in a water bath contained by a covered metal vessel.

137

138 Water-holding capacity

Table 1 shows that the pre-cooking time did not significantly affect the water-holding 139 capacity of the meat (p > 0.05). The water-holding capacity was dependent on the amount 140 of denaturation of the meat protein. The absence of a significant effect was possibly 141 caused by the complete denaturation of protein during the sterilization process at 121° C, 142 which resulted in a constant water-holding capacity among all treatments. High pressure 143 thermal processing after pre-cooking results in complete protein denaturation. In 144 accordance with this, Sun et al. (2016) reported that in beef, pork, and chicken, 145 commercial sterilization at 121°C for 10 min leads to protein-bound water but does not 146 significantly affect the protein and fat content in beef and pork. Moreover, Soeparno 147 (2015) showed that myofibril protein coagulates at 30°C and completely denatures at 148 55°C, which is lower than the commercial sterilization temperature. Furthermore, Gómez 149 et al. (2020) reported that high pressure and temperature do not significantly affect the 150 cooking loss rate or water-holding capacity. 151

152

153 Tenderness

The tenderness of the meat was measured by determining the content of connective tissue, such as collagen. As shown in Table 2, decreased penetrometer values indicated that tenderness increased significantly (p < 0.05). Pre-cooking produced penetrometer values of 4.26 kg/cm² (T1), 4.23 kg/cm² (T2), and 4.13 kg/cm² (T3), which were lower than those of the control (6.40 kg/cm²). A lower penetrometer value objectively shows that less energy and pressure are required for chewing. Collagen hydrolysis during pre160 cooking resulted in increased tenderness. Lawrie and Ledward (2006) reported that 161 cooking affects meat structure, softening the connective tissue by converting collagen 162 into gelatin. Moreover, Soeparno (2015) stated that tenderness reflects the amount of 163 collagen present and that long boiling times cause changes in the structure of muscle 164 proteins, especially actin and myosin. The breakdown of actin and myosin can influence 165 the mechanical strength of connective tissue (Bouton and Harris, 1972).

166

167 Cooking loss

The cooking loss observed in each pre-cooking condition is presented in Table 2. An 168 extrinsic factor that affected cooking loss was pre-cooking duration. Meat subjected to 169 longer pre-cooking treatments tended to exhibit significantly greater cooking losses than 170 those subjected to shorter treatments. Meat in the T3 group, pre-cooked for 30 min, 171 exhibited the greatest cooking loss. This loss might consist of water and other water-172 soluble components, such as proteins. High pre-cooking temperatures up to 90°C 173 174 decreased the initial weight of the empal gentong meat by almost half. This result was in 175 accordance with that found by Tornberg (2005), who stated that the greatest cooking loss in beef occurs at 60-80°C, which is lower than the pre-cooking temperature used in our 176 study. Hearne et al. (1978) also reported that higher endpoint temperatures result in 177 greater cooking loss in bovine semitendinosus meat. 178

179

180 Instrumental color

The instrumental color values, CIE L* (lightness), a* (redness), and b* (yellowness), are presented in Table 1. The CIE L* and a* values of RTE empal gentong meat in the T2 group were lower than those in the T1 group, indicating that these values tended to decline with longer pre-cooking times (p > 0.05). However, the highest values were

observed in meat pre-cooked for 30 min (T3) (p < 0.05). As reported by Muhlisin et al. 185 (2013), chuncheon dalkalbi meat with a lower CIE L* value exhibits a darker color. The 186 effect of pre-cooking time on the CIE L* and a* values of empal gentong meat in this 187 study was not clear. Certain ingredients of RTE empal gentong, such as turmeric, ginger, 188 and other herbs, which naturally tend to be yellow in color, might have been responsible 189 for the CIE L* and a* values during processing. Longer pre-cooking time had no effect 190 on the CIE a* value (p > 0.05). It seemed that sterilization at 121 °C was responsible for 191 192 more defects than the pre-cooking duration, which produced a non-significant CIE b* value. Myoglobin, responsible for the red color of meat, turns grayish brown at 75°C 193 (Hunt et al., 1999), which is lower than the pre-cooking temperature of 90°C and far lower 194 than the sterilization temperature of 121°C used in this study. Moriyama and Takeda 195 (2010) reported that myoglobin is mostly destroyed at 70-100°C. 196

197

198 Proximate composition

199 Table 2 shows the proximate composition of RTE empal gentong subjected to the 200 various pre-cooking times. Significant differences in moisture, protein, fat, and collagen were observed between the control and experimental groups (p < 0.05). As shown in 201 Table 2, the moisture content of the control was 67.16 % (w/w), but that of the T1, T2, 202 and T3 groups was reduced by 1.54-5.92%. The lower moisture content of the meat 203 samples subjected to pre-cooking treatments might be related to the heat-induced 204 denaturation of myofibrillar protein, which can adversely affect the water-holding 205 capacity (Triyannanto and Lee 2015). This result is in accordance with the results shown 206 in Table 1, which indicated greater cooking loss with longer pre-cooking duration. 207

The crude protein content of the control was 23.93%, while that of the T1, T2, and T3 groups was reduced by 0.18-0.84%. Cooking at a high temperature for a long time causes the protein content to decrease. Tornberg (2005) reported that the heating process results in denaturation of myofibril proteins and changes in protein structure. In addition, the soluble protein content decreases by approximately 90% as the meat temperature increases from 23° C to 80° C (Murphy and Berrang, 2002). In accordance with this, the decrease in protein content observed in our study paralleled the decrease in collagen composition.

216 The crude fat content of the empal gentong control samples was 6.26%. Pre-cooking significantly reduced this value by 0.02% (T1), 0.28% (T2), and 1.13% (T3). In this study, 217 218 pre-cooking prior to sterilization was a suitable way to reduce the fat content (p < 0.05). However, the longer duration of pre-cooking required to achieve the decrease in fat 219 content might also decrease meat quality, manifested as a higher percentage of cooking 220 221 loss. Therefore, pre-cooking for 10 min could be a solution for producing RTE empal 222 gentong with a lower fat content as well as a higher proximate content. The RTE empal gentong in the T1 group had better quality than that in the other pre-cooking treatment 223 groups, although there were no significant differences between the T1 group and the 224 control (p > 0.05). This result is in accordance with a prior study conducted by 225 Trivannanto and Lee (2015), who showed that precooking at 90°C for 10 min is an 226 effective way to improve the quality of Korean ginseng chicken soup. 227

Collagen content did not differ significantly between the control (2.53%) and T1 groups (2.43%), while that of the T2 and T3 groups was significantly reduced by 0.10-0.47%. There was no significant difference in collagen content between the T2 and T3 groups (p > 0.05). The results showed that denaturation induced by pre-cooking tended to reduce collagen levels. Tornberg (2005) reported that collagen denaturation occurred at 53-63°C and that gelatin was formed with further heating. Some of the gelatin observed in this research might dissolve in the empal gentong broth during the sterilization process.

As shown in Table 3, sensorial values in all treatment groups were not affected by pre-235 cooking conditions (p > 0.05). The sterilization process, with a temperature of 121 °C and 236 pressure of 10.15 psi, probably had a greater effect than pre-cooking on sensorial values. 237 From this study, it could be concluded that sterilization at a high temperature and pressure 238 has a greater influence on all sensory qualities of empal gentong meat than the duration 239 of pre-cooking. As reported by Triyannanto and Lee (2015), heat exposure during 240 sterilization at 120°C for 65 min had a greater impact than pre-cooking on ginseng 241 chicken soup products. However, although sterilization has a significant effect on sensory 242 qualities, it is necessary for producing RTE empal gentong that is free of spoilage-243 inducing microbes and pathogens. 244

245

246 Conclusion

The current conventional empal gentong production without pre-cooking produce high fat content, which tend to be unpleasant to consumer's perspective. Pre-cooking treatment can be used in manufacturing RTE empal gentong to optimize its quality. Specific precooking condition at 90°C for 10 min is recommended to maintain its proximate with a lower fat content. This finding should be useful in the commercial production of RTE empal gentong or other relevant products, giving an optional outcome with low fat but high proximate content product as well as economically visible.

254

255 Conflicts of interest

256 The authors declare no potential conflict of interest

257

258 Acknowledgements

- 259 The author would like to thank the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for
- 260 funding this research through the National Research Priority Program (RISTEK BRIN
- 261 LPDP) 2020-2021.
- 262
- 263 Author Contributions
- 264 Conceptualization: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E, Kusumaningrum A, Fauziah S. Data
- 265 curation: Febrisiantosa A, Triyannanto E, Amri AF. Formal analysis: Febrisiantosa A,
- 266 Fauziah S, Sulistyono EP, Dewandaru BM, Amri AF. Methodology: Febrisiantosa A,
- 267 Fauziah S, Triyannanto E, Nurhikmat A, Susanto A. Investigation: Febrisiantosa A,
- ²⁶⁸ Fauziah S, Kusumaningrum A, Sulistyono EP, Dewandaru BM. Writing original draft,
- 269 review & editing: Febrisiantosa A, Triyyananto E, Fauziah S.
- 270
- 271 References
- 272 Anders S, Caswell JA. 2009. International Law and Trade Policy for Developing
- 273 Countries. J Int Law Trade Policy 10:77–93.
- 274 https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.48794
- 275 Bouton PE, Harris P V. 1972. The effects of cooking temperature and time on some
- 276 mechanical properties of meat. J Food Sci 37:140–144.
- 277 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1972.tb03404.x
- Gómez I, Janardhanan R, Ibañez FC, Beriain MJ. 2020. The effects of processing and
- 279 preservation technologies on meat quality: Sensory and nutritional aspects. Foods
- 280 9:1–30. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9101416
- Hamm R. 1972. Kolloidchemie des Fleischesdes Wasserbindungs-vermoegen des
- 282 Muskeleiweisses in Theorie und Praxis. Verlag Paul Parey, Berlin.
- Hamm R, Deatherage FE. 1960. Changes in Hydration, Solubility and Charges of

284 Muscle Proteins During Heating of Meat. J Food Sci 25:587–610.

285 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1960.tb00004.x

- Hearne LE, Penfield MJ, Goertz GE. 1978. Heating effects on bovine semitendinosus:
- shear, muscle fiber measurements, and cooking losses. J Food Sci 43:10–13
- Hunt MC, Sørhelm O, Slinde E. 1999. Color and heat denaturation of myoglobin forms
- 289 in ground beef. J Food Sci 64:847–851. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 290 2621.1999.tb15925.x
- 291 Lawrie RA, Ledward D. 2006. Lawrie's Meat Science: Seventh Edition
- 292 Manheem K, Benjakul S, Kijroongrojana K, Faithong N, Visessanguan W. 2013. Effect
- of pre-cooking times on enzymes, properties, and melanosis of Pacific white
- shrimp during refrigerated storage. Int Aquat Res 5:1–11.
- 295 https://doi.org/10.1186/2008-6970-5-1
- 296 Moriyama Y, Takeda K. 2010. Critical temperature of secondary structural change of
- myoglobin in thermal denaturation up to 130°C and effect of sodium dodecyl
- sulfate on the change. J Phys Chem B 114:2430–2434.
- 299 https://doi.org/10.1021/jp908700j
- Muhlisin, Kim DS, Song YR, Cho YJ, Kim CJ, An BK, Kang CW, Lee SK. 2013.
- 301 Effect of cooking time and storage temperature on the quality of home-made retort
- 302 pouch packed Chuncheon Dakgalbi. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 33:737–743.
- 303 https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2013.33.6.737
- 304 Murphy RY, Berrang ME. 2002. Effect of Steam- and Hot-Water Post-Process
- 305 Pasteurization on Microbial and Physical Property Measures of Fully Cooked
- 306 Vacuum-Packaged Chicken Breast Strips. J Food Sci 67:2325–2329.
- 307 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2002.tb09548.x
- 308 Rianti A, Novenia AE, Christopher A, Lestari D, Parassih EK. 2018. Ketupat as

| 309 | traditional food of Indonesian culture. J Ethn Foods 5:4–9. |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 310 | https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jef.2018.01.001 |
| 311 | Soeparno. 2015. Ilmu dan Teknologi Daging. Gadjah Mada University Press, |
| 312 | Yogyakarta |
| 313 | Sun X, Tang J, Wang J, et al. 2016. Formation of free and protein-bound |
| 314 | carboxymethyllysine and carboxyethyllysine in meats during commercial |
| 315 | sterilization. Meat Sci 116:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.01.009 |
| 316 | Tornberg E. 2005. Effects of heat on meat proteins - Implications on structure and |
| 317 | quality of meat products. In: Meat Science. pp 493–508 |
| 318 | Triyannanto E, Fauziah S, Rahmatulloh S, Diqna HI, Putra TID, Rusman. 2019. |
| 319 | Application of conventional, vacuum, and retort packaging on the physicochemical |
| 320 | and sensory evaluation of ready-To-eat (RTE) ayam kalasan at ambient |
| 321 | temperature during two weeks. In: IOP Conference Series: Earth and |
| 322 | Environmental Science |
| 323 | Triyannanto E, Lee KT. 2015. Effect of pre-cooking conditions on the quality |
| 324 | characteristics of ready-to-eat Samgyetang. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour |
| 325 | 35:494–501. https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2015.35.4.494 |
| 326 | |
| 327 | |
| 328 | |
| 329 | |
| 330 | |
| 331 | |
| 332 | |
| 333 | |

- 334 Tables
- 335

Table 1. pH value, Tenderness, WHC, Cooking Loss and Instrumental Color of Meat RTE

| | Pre-cooking conditions | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Physical | С | T1 | T2 | T3 |
| Parameters | (Not pre- | (90°C/10 | (90°C/20 | (90°C/30 min) |
| | cooked) | min) | min) | |
| pH value | 6.31±0.01 ^b | 6.33±0.06 ^b | 6.34±0.01 ^b | 6.41±0.02 ^a |
| Tenderness | 6.40±0.20 ^a | 4.26±0.25 ^b | 4.23±0.25 ^b | 4.13±0.15 ^b |
| (kg/cm^2) | | | $\setminus \vee$ | |
| WHC ^{NS} | 43.00±3.60 | 42.33±3.21 | 36.33±0.57 | 34.00±7.00 |
| Cooking | - | 39.00±1.73 ^b | 41.67 ± 1.15^{b} | 46.67±2.30 ^a |
| loss | | | | |
| CIE L* | 15.53±0.25 ^b | 14.30±0.95° | 13.66±0.15° | 20.20±0.00 ^a |
| a* | 4.66±0.05 ^{ab} | 4.43 ± 0.25^{b} | $3.90{\pm}0.88^{b}$ | $5.43{\pm}0.11^{a}$ |
| b* ^{NS} | 14.53±0.05 | 11.03 ± 2.37 | $12.40{\pm}2.07$ | 14.86 ± 0.26 |

337 Empal Gentong Depending on Pre-cooking Conditions

338 Results are expressed as mean±SD.

 a,b Values within each row with different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

340 ^{NS} Not significantly different (p>0.05)

341

342

- 344
- 345
- 346

347 Table 2. Proximate Composition of RTE Empal Gentong's Meat Depending on Pre-

348 cooking Conditions

| Durations for | Pre-cooking conditions | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Composition | С | T1 | Τ? | Т3 |
| (%) | (Not pre- | (90°C/10 | $(90^{\circ}C/20 \text{ min})$ | $(90^{\circ}C/30 \text{ min})$ |
| (/// | cooked) | min) | (50 C/20 mm) | (90 C/30 mm) |
| Moisture | 67.16±0.10 ^a | 65.62±0.38 ^b | 65.49±0.04 ^b | 61.24±0.21 ^c |
| Protein | 23.98±0.14 ^a | $23.80{\pm}0.27^{a}$ | $23.57 {\pm} 0.50^{ab}$ | 23.14 ± 0.09^{b} |
| Fat | 6.26±0.10 ^a | 6.24±0.06 ^a | 5.98±0.03 ^b | 5.13±0.10 ^c |
| Collagen | 2.53±0.29 ^a | 2.43 ± 0.08^{a} | 2.25±0.17 ^b | 2.06 ± 0.06^{b} |
| Results are expressed a | s mean±SD. | | | |
| ^{b,c} Values within each 1 | row with different su | perscripts are signification | antly different (p<0.05). | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

364Table 3. Sensory Characteristics of Meat RTE Empal Gentong Depending on Pre-cooking

365 Conditions

| | Pre-cooking conditions | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| Sensory | С | T1 | T2 | T3 |
| analysis | (Not pre- | (90°C/10 | (90°C/20 | (90°C/30 min) |
| | cooked) | min) | min) | |
| Color ^{NS} | 3.92±0.49 | 4.00±0.40 | 3.96±0.53 | 3.96±0.53 |
| Texture ^{NS} | 3.48 ± 0.82 | 3.64±0.86 | 3.76±0.96 | 3.96±0.78 |
| Flavor ^{NS} | 3.72±0.61 | 3.72±0.61 | 3.76±0.59 | 3.80±0.76 |
| Taste ^{NS} | 3.40 ± 0.86 | 3.44±0.86 | 3.48±0.87 | 3.40±0.76 |
| Acceptability | 3.60±0.64 | 3.64±0.70 | 3.72±0.54 | 3.64±0.63 |
| NS | | | | |

366 Results are expressed as mean±SD.

367 ^{NS} Not significantly different (p>0.05)

368