TITLE PAGE - Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources -Upload this completed form to website with submission

4	-
ARTICLE INFORMATION	Fill in information in each box below
Article Title	Antioxidant properties and diet-related a-glucosidase and lipase inhibitory
	activities of yogurt supplemented with safflower petal extract
Running Title (within 10 words)	Properties of Yogurt with Safflower Petal Extract
Author	Heeok Hong ^{1#} , Jeong Min Lim ^{1#} , Damini Kothari ¹ , So Hee Kwon ¹ , Soo-Ki Kim ^{1,*}
Affiliation	1. Department of Animal Science and Technology, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea
Special remarks – if authors have additional information to inform the editorial office	
ORCID (All authors must have ORCID) https://orcid.org	Heeok Hong (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6315-7097) Jeong-Min Lim (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5071-961X) Damini Kothari (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3499-3330) So Hee Kwon (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6066-543X) Soo-Ki Kim (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3499-3330)
Conflicts of interest List any present or potential conflict s of interest for all authors. (This field may be published.)	The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.
Acknowledgements State funding sources (grants, funding sources, equipment, and supplies). Include name and number of grant if available. (This field may be published.)	We would like to thank Ju Hee Kim and Woong Lae Kim in our laboratory for their support for the analysis of experiments.
Author contributions (This field may be published.)	 # These authors contributed equally to this work. Conceptualization: Hong H, Lim JM, Kwon SH, Kim SK. Data curation: Hong H, Lim JM, Kwon SH, Kim SK. Formal analysis: Lim JM, Kwon SH. Methodology: Hong H, Lim JM, Kothari D, Kwon SH. Software: Lim JM, Kwon SH. Validation: Hong H, Kim SK. Investigation: Hong H, Lim JM, Kothari D, Kwon SH, Kim SK. Writing - original draft: Hong H, Lim JM, Kim SK. Writing - review & editing: Hong H, Kim SK.
Ethics approval (IRB/IACUC) (This field may be published.)	This manuscript does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

L 5 6

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR CONTACT INFORMATION

For the <u>corresponding</u> author (responsible for correspondence, proofreading, and reprints)	Fill in information in each box below	
First name, middle initial, last name	Soo-Ki Kim	
Email address – this is where your proofs will be sent	sookikim@konkuk.ac.kr	
Secondary Email address		
Postal address	Department of Animal Science and Technology, Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Korea	
Cell phone number	+82-10-2965-3728	
Office phone number	+82-2-450-3728	
Fax number	+82-2-458-3728	

Abstract

Recently, yogurt has been extensively studied to further enhance its functions using edible 10 11 plant extracts. This study was conducted to investigate whether safflower petal as a natural food 12 additive can be used to develop functional yogurt with improved health benefits. Safflower petals were extracted with ethanol (SPE) and hot water (SPW), and then safflower yogurt was 13 prepared by adding 0%-1.0% of those extracts to plain yogurt. With an increase in the 14 fermentation duration, the pH of SPE and SPW yogurt samples was decreased, whereas 15 16 titratable acidity and microbial counts were increased. The concentration of total polyphenols and total flavonoids, the activity of antioxidants, and the inhibitory effect on reactive oxygen 17 species (ROS) were higher in SPW yogurt than SPE yogurt. Furthermore, α-glucosidase and 18 19 lipase activity inhibitory effects of SPW yogurt were higher than those of SPE yogurt. In particular, free radical-scavenging activities, ROS inhibitory effect and α-glucosidase activity 20 21 inhibitory effects were significantly increased in SPW yogurt in a dose-dependent manner. 22 Overall, these results suggest that safflower petal extract possesses antioxidant activities and that it can downregulate α -glucosidase and lipase activities. The safflower petal extract may 23 24 have potential benefits as a natural food additive for the development of functional yogurt.

25 Keywords: yogurt, safflower petal, α-glucosidase, lipase, antioxidant activity

26

27 Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a serious chronic metabolic disorder, associated with life-threatening complications (Elbashir et al., 2018). It is characterized by a state of chronically elevated blood glucose levels (hyperglycemia) with altered nutrient metabolism, such as carbohydrates, fats, and proteins, in the body (American Diabetes Association, 2014). Moreover, the oxidative stress state associated with the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) increases in both

type I and type II diabetes (Omari et al., 2019; Sabu and Kuttan, 2002). ROS generated during
oxidative metabolism can cause various disorders.

 α -Glucosidase enzyme inhibitors, as antidiabetic drugs, can lower blood glucose levels by 35 reducing the intestinal absorption of carbohydrates (Buchholz and Melzig, 2016). Additionally, 36 hyperlipidemia is a common feature in patients with diabetes mellitus and is one of the factors 37 38 that is associated with considerably increases the risk of premature atherosclerosis (Schofield et al., 2016). It is known that pancreatic lipase regulates the digestion and absorption of dietary 39 lipids (Mhatre et al., 2019). Therefore, inhibition of this enzyme may help prevent 40 complications by regulating lipid levels in the blood of diabetic patients through reducing 41 42 dietary fat absorption into the body.

Despite the growing interest in functional foods that modulate physiological activities by
inhibiting these enzymes, the possibility of developing successful and targeted natural products
to safely manage these diseases remains unexplored.

Yogurt, obtained by fermenting milk using lactic acid bacteria, contains proteins, vitamins such as vitamins A and B, and minerals such as calcium and manganese. Recently, yogurt has been extensively studied to further enhance its functions using bioactive substances from edible plants. Numerous studies have indicated that the addition of these substances increases the concentration of polyphenols and flavonoids, thus increasing the antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of yogurt (Halah and Nayra, 2011; Kang et al., 2018; Lim, 2018).

Carthamus tinctorius L., commonly known as safflower, belonging to the Asteraceae family (Delshad et al., 2018), is an annual herbaceous crop. It has been cultivated in India, Iran, and China for a long time (Asgarpanah and Kazemivash, 2013). Safflower is used as a traditional herbal medicine to promote blood circulation, regulate menstruation, and alleviate pain of joints (Delshad et al., 2018). Some studies have reported that safflower has more than 200 compounds including flavonoids, phenylethanoid glycosides, coumarins, fatty acids, steroids, and polysaccharides (Asgarpanah and Kazemivash, 2013). Furthermore, in in vitro and in vivo
studies, hydroxysafflower yellow A, a major bioactive compound in safflower, has been found
to have a strong oxidative radical-scavenging effect (Tian et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2018).

The present study has focused on whether the addition of safflower extract containing various phytochemicals to yogurt is effective in the antioxidant activity and inhibition of α -glucosidase and pancreatic lipase. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the biochemical, microbiological, sensory characteristics, and physiological effects including α -glucosidase and pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with safflower petal (SP) extract in order to enhance the functionality of yogurt.

67

68 Materials and Methods

69 Plant materials and extract preparation

Dried safflower petals were purchased from a local market (Daejodong, Seoul, Korea). For 70 71 ethanol extraction (SPE), 100 g of dried safflower petals was precipitated with 900 mL of 99.9% ethanol in a shaking incubator at room temperature for 15 h. For water extraction (SPW), 100 72 g of dried safflower petals was soaked in 900 mL of 100°C hot water for 1 h using a reflux 73 74 condenser (Reflux-A, Reservoir; LK Lab Korea Inc., Namyangju, Korea). These extracts were centrifuged at 13 000 rpm for 15 min; then, the supernatant was collected and passed through 75 qualitative filter paper No. 1 (Toyo Roshi Kaisha, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and 0.22 µm syringe 76 77 filter (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). The aqueous extract was concentrated using a vacuum freeze-dryer at -80°C (UnifreezTM FD-8; Daihan Scientific, Wonju, Korea). The concentration 78 of SPE and SPW was 50 mg/mL. 79

80

81 Preparation of yogurt supplemented with SP extract

SPE and SPW were added to sterilized milk (Maeil Dairies Co., Ltd. Seoul, Korea) at 82 83 concentrations of 0%–1.0% and homogenized using Homogenizer T 25 (Ika, Staufen, Germany) at 25°C for 10 min, and then pasteurized at 85°C for 15 min. Thereafter, the samples were 84 immediately cooled to 43 °C and inoculated with a commercial yogurt starter culture (0.02%, 85 v/v) of lactic acid bacteria (Lyofast YAB 450 AB; Sacco s.r.l., Codaragok, Italy) containing 86 Streptococcus thermophilus, Lactobacillus delbrueckii spp. bulgaricus, Lactobacillus 87 88 acidophilus, and Bifibobacterium animalis spp. lactis. Yogurt added with 0% safflower extract was used as control. These yogurt samples were fermented at 43°C for 6 h and stored at 4°C 89 until further analysis. 90

91

92 Proximate composition, pH, titratable acidity, and viscosity

Moisture and total ash content was measured using the methods of Association of Official
Analytical Chemists (2000). The concentration of proteins, crude fats, lactose, and total solids
was measured using Mikloscan (Milkoscan Minor 78110; Foss Co., Hillerød, Denmark). The
pH of yogurt samples was measured using a digital pH meter (Model 735P; IS Technology Co.,
Incheon si, Korea). Titratable acidity (TA) was determined by adding 9 mL of dH₂O to 1 g of
yogurt sample and then titrating the yogurt mixture to pH 8.3 with 0.1 N NaOH. The amount
of acid produced was calculated as follows:1

100 TA (% lactic acid) = dilution factor × V_{NaOH} × 0.1 N × 0.009 × 100

101 where, V_{NaOH} is the volume of NaOH required to neutralize the acid.

102 Viscosity of yogurt supplemented with SP was measured using a viscometer (Model LVDV 1+;

Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Inc., Middleboro, USA) with spindle No. 63 at 50 rpm
for 5–8 min.

105

106 Viable cell count

107 Viable cell count in yogurt was determined on a *Streptococcus thermophilus* agar (Sigma108 Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) plate after incubating at 37 °C for 48 h. The results are expressed as
109 CFU/mL.

110

120

111 2,2-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical-scavenging activity assay

- 112 2,2-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) inhibition was determined as described previously 113 (Kang et al., 2018). Briefly, each yogurt extract (16 μ L) was mixed with 320 μ L of 0.15 mM 114 DPPH solution (Sigma-Aldrich). The mixture was shaken and incubated at room temperature 115 for 15 min. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 515 nm using an ELISA reader 116 (Synergy 2; BioTek Instruments Inc.). Inhibition of DPPH oxidation (%) was calculated as 117 follows:
- 118 % Inhibition = (Acontrol Asample) / Acontrol \times 100
- 119 where, A is the absorbance at 515 nm.

121 **2,2'-Azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical-scavenging activity assay**

2,2'-Azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) radical-scavenging activity 122 123 was determined using the method of Kang et al. (2018). The stock solution comprised 7.4 mM ABTS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 2.5 mM potassium persulfate solutions. The mixture was prepared 124 by mixing the two stock solutions at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) and allowed to react for 16 h in dark. 125 The ABTS solution was diluted with tertiary dH₂O to the appropriate absorbance (7.00 ± 0.05) , 126 127 which was measured at 734 nm. The ABTS solution (180 µL) was mixed with 20 µL of yogurt extract, and the mixture was covered with aluminum foil and placed in the dark at room 128 129 temperature for 30 min. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 734 nm using an ELISA reader (Synergy 2; BioTek Instruments Inc.). Each sample was measured in triplicate, 130 131 and percent inhibition was calculated using the following equation:

132 % Inhibition = (Acontrol - Asample) / Acontrol \times 100

133 where, A is the absorbance at 734 nm

134

135 Total polyphenol and total flavonoid content

136 Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using the method of Wei et al. (2011) with modifications. Briefly, each yogurt extract (100 µL) was mixed with 100 µL of 1 N Folin-137 Ciocalteu reagent (Sigma-Aldrich); this mixture was thoroughly mixed and incubated at room 138 temperature for 3 min. Na₂CO₃ (200 µL, 1 N) was added to the mixture, which was allowed to 139 react at room temperature for 90 min. The developed color in the mixture was measured using 140 141 an ELISA reader (Synergy 2; BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, USA) at 725 nm. The TPC is expressed as gallic acid equivalent (Sigma-Aldrich). The regression line of the gallic acid 142 143 standard was used to determine the TPC in yogurt extract as micrograms of gallic acid 144 equivalent per milliliter (µg GAE/mL).

Total flavonoid content (TFC) was measured using the method of Abeysinghe (2007) with modifications. Briefly, each yogurt extract (100μ L) was mixed with diethylene glycol (500μ L) and NaOH (50μ L, 1 N). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 60 min. The developed color in the mixture measured using an ELISA reader (Synergy 2; BioTek Instruments Inc.) at 420 nm. The TFC is expressed as quercetin equivalent (Sigma-Aldrich). A standard curve was generated using the quercetin standard solution, and the results are expressed as quercetin equivalent per milliliter.

152

153 Cell lines and cell culture

The human colorectal cell line (HT-29) used in this study was purchased from the American
Type Culture Collection (Manassas, USA). HT-29 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium
(Lonza, Walkersville, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlas Biologicals,
Fort Collins, USA), 100 U/mL penicillin (Gibco, Grand Island, USA), and 100 µg/mL
streptomycin (Gibco) at 37°C under 5% CO₂. The cells were re-fed with the medium every 2 d

until 80% confluence. 159

160

161 **Measurement of ROS**

162 ROS were measured using the stain 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFDA, Sigma-Aldrich). Briefly, the cells were seeded in six-well plates, incubated overnight, and then treated 163 164 with diluted yogurt extract for 16 h. Thereafter, the cells were treated with 1 μ g/mL 165 lipopolysaccharide (Sigma- Aldrich)-containing medium for 6 h. The cells were then incubated 166 with DCFDA at a final concentration of 10 µM for 30 min and washed twice with cold PBS. The plates were subsequently measured using the fluorescence microscope (Model IX71, 167 168 Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Photographs were captured using the Olympus DP71 camera and DP controller software (Olympus Optical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Finally, 169 170 ROS were quantified using Image J software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, USA).

171

172 Measurement of α -glucosidase inhibition

173 α -Glucosidase activity inhibitory effect was determined using a modified assay reported by Kwon et al. (2006). Briefly, 50 µL of yogurt extract was added to 100 µL of 0.1 M phosphate 174 buffer (pH 6.9) containing α-glucosidase solution (1 U/mL, Sigma-Aldrich) in 96-well plates. 175 The plates were incubated at 25°C for 10 min. After pre-incubation, 50 µL of 5 mM p-176 nitrophenyl-a-D-glucopyranoside solution in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) was added to 177 each well. The mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 5 min. The absorbance of the mixture was 178 measured at 405 nm before and after incubation using an ELISA reader (Synergy 2; BioTek 179 180 Instruments Inc.). The absorbance of yogurt extract was compared with that of the control, which was 50 μ L of buffer solution. The α -glucosidase activity inhibition is expressed as 181 182 percent inhibition and was calculated using the following equation:

% Inhibition = $[(A control - A extract) / A control] \times 100$ 183

184 where, A is the absorbance at 405 nm

185

186 Measurement of pancreatic lipase inhibition

- 187 Pancreatic lipase inhibition was determined using the modified method of Kim et al. (2007) using *p*-nitrophenyl butyrate (NPB). Briefly, the enzyme solution was prepared by adding 30 188 µL of lipase solution from porcine pancrease Type VI-S (Sigma-Aldrich). This solution was 189 composed of 10 mM morpholinepropanesulfonic acid and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic 190 191 acid (pH 6.8) in 850 µL of Tris buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl and 5 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.0). Yogurt extract (100 µL) was mixed with an enzyme substrate solution (880 µL) containing 10 mM p-192 NPB and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The absorbance of the sample was measured at 400 nm 193 using an ELISA reader (Synergy 2; BioTek Instruments Inc.) and was compared with that of 194 195 the control buffer solution, without the extract. The lipase activity inhibition is expressed as percent inhibition and was calculated using the following equation: 196
- 197 % Inhibition = $[(Acontrol Aextract) / Acontrol] \times 100$
- 198 where, A is the absorbance at 400 nm
- 199

200 Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) of three experiments. Statistical

- analysis of the data was performed using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; SPSS 25,
- 203 SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) followed by Duncan's multiple range test and Student *t*-test for
- 204 comparison of means. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

205

206 Results and Discussion

207 Proximate composition of yogurt supplemented with SP extract

208 The nutritional composition of yogurt supplemented with SPE and SPW is shown in Table 1.

209 The moisture content of SPE yogurt increased with an increase in the concentration of SPE 210 added. The moisture content of SPE yogurt was 81.67 - 82.13%, which was significantly lower than that of plain yogurt (p<0.05). In SPE yogurt, the concentration of proteins, fats, lactose, 211 212 and total solids increased with an increase in the concentration of SPE. The lactose concentrations in 0.5% and 1.0% SPE yogurt were 13.02% and 13.11%, which were 213 significantly higher than those in 0% and 0.1% SPE (p<0.05). The concentration of nutrients in 214 SPE yogurt tended to be higher than that in SPW yogurt. In particular, the protein 215 concentrations in SPE yogurt were 4.33 - 4.40%, which were significantly higher than those of 216 SPW yogurt (p<0.05). The supplementation of yogurt with red ginseng extract changed the 217 218 composition of yogurt, that is, with an increase in red ginseng extract concentration, the moisture content and protein and lactose concentrations increased (Jung et al., 2016). These 219 220 findings are similar to our data. According to Pires et al. (2018), the supplementation of various 221 plant petal extracts to yogurt marginally increased the nutritional composition such as protein and lactose concentrations, except the moisture content. Overall, yogurt composition was 222 223 affected by the addition of SP extract.

224

225 Changes in pH, TA, microbial count, and viscosity of yogurt with SP extract

As shown in Fig. 1A and 1D, the pH of SPE and SPW yogurt decreased significantly during fermentation (p<0.05). In contrast, the TA level in SPE and SPW yogurt was significantly increased during fermentation as shown in Fig. 1B and 1E (p<0.05). The pH and TA of all yogurt samples were similar during the initial and final stages of fermentation. These results are consistent with those of previous studies, that is, the pH decreased but the TA level increased due to acid production in red ginseng extract- and ginseng extract-supplemented yogurt (Jung et al., 2016; Jang et al., 2018).

233 The viable cell counts in SPE and SPW yogurt during fermentation are shown in Fig. 1C and

1F. They ranged from 7.49 to 9.77 Log_{10} (CFU/g) and 7.43 to 9.99 Log_{10} (CFU/g), respectively. 234 235 The number of viable cells in SPE was not significantly different from that in SPW yogurt. However, the number of viable cells in SPE and SPW yogurt was slightly lower than that in 236 237 plain vogurt at the end of fermentation. It has been reported that in vogurt supplemented with cinnamon ethanol extract, the viable cell counts were marginally decreased at the end of 238 fermentation (Choi et al., 2016). However, yogurt fermented with Korean traditional plant 239 240 extracts showed an increase in lactic acid bacteria count during fermentation (Joung et al., 2016; 241 Jung et al., 2016).

The viscosity of yogurt supplemented with SP extracts at different concentrations is shown in 242 243 Fig. 1G and H. The viscosity of yogurt with SPE and SPW tended to be slightly lower than that of plain yogurt. The viscosity of 1.0% SPW and 0.5% SPE yogurt was significantly decreased 244 245 compared with plain yogurt (p < 0.05). It is known that viscosity is related to the aggregation of 246 casein micelles (Joyce et al., 2017). Sung et al. (2015) reported that the viscosity of yogurt supplemented with 5% freeze-dried mulberry fruit juice was significantly lower than that of the 247 248 control. Tseng and Zhao (2013) reported that the viscosity of yogurt supplemented with wine grape pomace was decreased; they suggested that this was probably because the addition of a 249 high concentration of wine grape pomace into yogurt resulted in the breakdown of the 250 coagulated milk. Furthermore, it has been reported that carthamin yellow from safflower 251 252 significantly decreased whole blood viscosity, plasma viscosity, and erythrocyte aggregation index, in an animal study (Li et al., 2009). 253

254

255 Antioxidant activity, TPC, and TFC

As shown in Table 2, the DPPH radical-scavenging activity of SPW yogurt was 5.81%–10.66%,
which was considerably higher than that of SPE yogurt, which was 1.03%–3.24%. Moreover,
the ABTS radical-scavenging activity of SPW yogurt was 40.00%–49.67%, but the activity was

259 not detected in SPE yogurt. These results are consistent with those of Kang et al. (2018), who 260 reported that the antioxidant activity of yogurt supplemented with water extract of fermented pepper was higher than that of methanol extract. Here, the antioxidant activities of yogurt 261 262 seemed to increase with the concentration of SPE and SPW. In particular, the antioxidant activity of 1.0% SPW yogurt was the highest, and it was significantly higher than that of plain 263 yogurt (p<0.05). These results can be attributed to the TPC and TFC in the SP. Similar to the 264 265 antioxidant effects, the TPC and TFC in yogurt increased with the increase in the concentration 266 of SPW and SPE. The TPC and TFC in yogurt supplemented with SPW 1.0% were 393.13 and $320.90 \,\mu$ g/mL, respectively, which were the highest among the yogurt samples (p<0.05). The 267 268 TPC in yogurt supplemented with SPE and SPW was 93.55–115.12 and 282.52–393.19 µg/mL, respectively, and the TFC was 52.53-77.76 and 180.97-320.90 µg/mL, respectively. It is 269 270 known that edible safflower yellow pigments, which belong to the C-glucosylquinochalcone 271 family of flavonoids, are water soluble (Li et al., 2018). This suggests that hot water extracted more bioactive substances such as polyphenols and flavonoids from SP, in this study. Kruawan 272 and Kangsadalampai (2006) reported that the water extract of Carthamus tinctorius L. presented 273 high antioxidant activity and TPC. In summary, the results demonstrated that SP extracted with 274 hot water had greater antioxidant capacity, as evidenced by an increase in the TPC and TFC. 275

276

277 Inhibition of ROS production in LPS-stimulated human colon adenocarcinoma

The effect of SPE yogurt on intracellular ROS levels was determined in order to elucidate its antioxidant activity, through which SPE can protect cells against LPS-induced damage. LPS, a major component of bacterial cell walls, induces the production of inflammatory mediators such as ROS (Lee et al., 2015). ROS play an important role in oxidative stress associated with the development of chronic diseases such as cancer and type II diabetes (Lee et al., 2015). Therefore, this study was focused on LPS-induced ROS production in vitro. As shown in Fig. 2, SPE and SPW yogurt significantly reduced ROS production in LPS-induced HT-29 cells (p<0.001). Ma et al. (2016), based on their study in LPS-induced hepatocellular (HepG2) cells, reported that SP yellow B possesses a high ROS-scavenging activity. As mentioned above, edible safflower yellow pigments are water soluble, and therefore, the ROS-scavenging ability of SPW yogurt with a high TPA and TFC was higher than that of SPE yogurt. Thus, these results demonstrated the antioxidant effect of SP extract-supplemented yogurt in vitro.

290

291 α-Glucosidase and lipase activity inhibitory effects

It is well known that α-glucosidase and pancreatic lipase are involved in the digestion and 292 293 absorption of carbohydrates and lipids, respectively (Buchholz and Melzig, 2016; Elbashir et al., 2018). In this study, the activities of these enzymes were measured to determine whether 294 SP could regulate enzymes related to diabetic complications such as hyperglycemia and 295 296 hyperlipidemia. As shown Fig. 3B, SPW yogurt significantly inhibited the a-glucosidase activity in a dose-dependent manner (p<0.05). Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of SPW yogurt 297 298 on pancreatic lipase activity was the highest at a concentration of 0.5% (Fig. 3D). However, these enzyme inhibitory effects of SPE yogurt were significantly lower than those of plain 299 yogurt, except the α-glucosidase activity inhibitory effect of 0.1% SPE yogurt (Fig. 3A and C; 300 p<0.05). The α -glucosidase activity inhibitory effect of 0.1% SPE yogurt was significantly 301 increased compared with yogurt samples with other concentrations of SPE (p<0.05). Several 302 studies have suggested that various plants inhibit the activities of α -glucosidase and pancreatic 303 lipase (Elbashir et al. 2018; Gholamhoseinian et al., 2008; Yoshioka et al., 2019). Elbashir et 304 305 al. (2018) suggested that the strong α -glucosidase activity inhibitory effect of these plants is related to their antioxidant capacities due to the presence of bioactive compounds including 306 307 polyphenols, flavonoids, and tannins. In this study, SPW yogurt had more TPC and TFC, and showed higher free radical-scavenging activities than SPE yogurt. Therefore, SP extract-308

309 supplemented yogurt might alleviate hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia by downregulating the310 digestion and absorption of carbohydrates and lipids.

Taken together, the number of viable LAB in safflower yogurt was similar to that the plain 311 vogurt, which was more than Korea Food Standard $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ CFU/mL})$ and the pH was 4.26-4.31, 312 which were similar to that of the plain yogurt (pH 4.3). These results indicated that SP extract-313 supplemented yogurt had no negative effects on yogurt characteristics compared with plain 314 yogurt. The addition of SP extract resulted in an increase in the TPC and TFC, which increased 315 the antioxidant activity of SP extract-supplemented yogurt. The inhibitory effect on enzymes 316 that are involved in carbohydrate and lipid digestion and absorption resulted in a positive effect 317 on the regulation of hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia. In addition, although the changes in 318 the storage characteristics of SP extract-supplemented yogurt were not evaluated in this study, 319 they were considered stable because there were no significant negative changes in the quality 320 321 of yogurt stored at 4°C for 21 d. In conclusion, SP extract has potential application in the production of high value-added products, as it can enhance health benefits of various foods 322 323 including yogurt. Further animal studies are needed to confirm the action mechanisms and in vivo effects to validate the potential benefits of yogurt supplemented with SP extract in 324 managing weight and blood glucose. 325

326

327 References

Abeysinghe DC, Li X, Sun C, Zhang W, Zhou C, Chen K. 2007. Bioactive compounds and
antioxidant capacities in different edible tissues of citrus fruit of four species. Food Chem
104:1338-1344. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.01.047.

American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes
Care. 2014; 37: 581-590.

- 333 Asgarpanah J, Kazemivash N. 2013. Phytochemistry, pharmacology and medicinal properties
- of Carthamus tinctorius L. Chin J Integr Med 19: 153-9. doi: 10.1007/s11655-013-1354-5.
- AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, 17th ed. AOAC
 International Gaithersburg, MD, USA.
- 337 Buchholz T, Melzig MF. 2016. Medicinal plants traditionally used for treatment of obesity and
- 338 diabetes mellitus-screening for pancreatic lipase and *a*-amylase inhibition. Phytotherapy Res
- 339 30: 260-266. doi: 10.1002/ptr.5525.
- 340 Choi YJ, Jin HY, Yang HS, Lee SC, Huh CK. 2016. Quality and storage characteristics of
- 341 yogurt containing Lacobacillus sakei ALI033 and cinnamon ethanol extract. J Anim Sci
- 342 Technol 58: 16. doi: 10.1186/s40781-016-0098-0.
- Delshad E, Yousefi M, Sasannezhad P, Rakhshandeh H, Ayati Z. 2018. Medical uses of
 Carthamus tinctorius L. (safflower): a comprehensive review from Traditional Medicine to
 Modern Medicine. Electron Physician 10:6672-6681. doi:10.19082/6672.
- Elbashir SMI, Devkota HP, Wada M, Kishimoto N, Moriuchi M, Shuto T, Misumi S, Kai H,
 Watanabe T. 2018. Free radical scavenging, α-glucosidase inhibitory and lipase inhibitory
 activities of eighteen Sudanese medicinal plants. BMC Complement Altern Med 18: 282. doi:
 10.1186/s12906-018-2346-y.
- 350 Gholamhoseinian A, Fallah H, Sharifi-far F, Mirtajaddini M. 2008. The inhibitory effect of 351 some Iranian plants extracts on the alpha glucosidase. Iranian J Basic Med Sci 11: 1-9.
- Halah MF, Nayra SM. 2011. Use of natural plant antioxidant and probiotic in the production of
- 353 novel yogurt. J Evol Biol Res 3:12-18.
- Jang HJ, Jung J, Yu HS, Lee NK, Paik HD. 2018. Evaluation of the Quality of Yogurt Using
- 355 Ginseng Extract Powder and Probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum NK181. Korean J Food Sci
- 356 Anim Resour 38: 1160-1167. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2018.e47.
- Joung JY, Lee JY, Ha YS, Shin YK, Kim Y, Kim SH, Oh NS. 2016. Enhanced microbial,

- functional and sensory properties of herbal yogurt fermented with Korean traditional plant
 extracts. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resou 36: 90-99. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2016.36.1.90.
- Joyce AM, Brodkorb A, Kelly AL, O'Mahony JA. 2017. Seperation of the effects of denaturation and aggregation on whey-casein protein interactions during the manufacture of a model infant formula. Dairy Sci Technol 96: 787-806. doi: 10.1007/s13594-016-0303-4.
- Jung J, Paik HD, Yoon HJ, Jang, HJ, Jeewanthi RKC, Jee HS, Li X, Lee NK, Lee SK. 2016.
- Physicochemical characteristics and antioxidant capacity in yogurt fortified with red ginseng
 extract. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 36: 412-420. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2016.36.3.412.
- 505 extract. Korean J 1000 Sci Annin Resour 50, 412-420, doi: 10.3651/ Rosia.2010.50.5.412.
- Kang SH, Yu MS, Kim JM, Park SK, Lee CH, Lee HG, Kim SK. 2018. Biochemical,
 Microbiological, and Sensory Characteristics of Stirred Yogurt Containing Red or Green
 Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* cv. Chungyang) Juice. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resour 38: 451467. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2018.38.3.451.
- Kim JH, Kim HJ, Park HW, Youn SH, Choi DY, Shin CS. 2007. Development of inhibitors
 against lipase and α-glucosidase from derivatives of monascus pigment. FEMS microbiology
 letters, 276: 93-98.
- Kruawan K, Kangsadalampai K. 2006. Antioxidant activity, phenolic compound contents and
 antimutagenic activity of some water extract of herbs. Thai J Pharm Sci 30: 28-35.
- Kwon YI, Vattem DA, Shetty K. Evaluation of clonal herbs of Lamiaceae species for
 management of diabetes and hypertension. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 15: 107-118.
- Lee KP, Sudjarwo GW, Kim JS, Dirgantara S, Maeng WJ, Hong H. 2015. The antiinflammatory effect of Indonesian Areca catechu leaf extract in vitro and in vivo. Nutr Res
 Pract 8: 267-271. doi: 10.4162/nrp.2014.8.3.267.
- 380 Li HX, Han SY, Wang XW, Ma X, Zhang K, Wang L, Ma ZZ, Tu PF. 2009. Effect of the
- 381 carthamins yellow from Carthamus tinctorius L. on hemorheological disorders of blood stasis
- 382 in rats. Food Chem Toxicol 47: 1797-1802. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2009.04.026.

- Li X, Gao Z, Li T, Sarker SK, Chowdhury S, Jiang Z, Mu Z. 2018. Effects of pH Values on
 Physicochemical Properties and Antioxidant Potential of Whey Protein Isolated-safflower
 Yellow Complexes. Food Sci Technol Res 24: 475-484. doi: 10.3136/fstr.24.475.
- Lim ES. 2018. Preparation and functional properties of probiotic and oat-based synbiotic yogurts fermented with lactic acid bacteria. Appl Biol Chem 61: 25-37. doi: 10.1007/s13765-017-0333-5.
- 389 Ma Z, Li C, Qiao Y, Lu C, Li J, Song W, Zhai X, Niu J, Ren Q, Wen A. 2016. Safflower yellow
- B suppresses HepG2 cell injury induced by oxidative stress through the AKT/Nrf2 pathway.

391 Int J Mol Med 37: 603-612. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2016.2462.

- Machewad GM, Ghatge P, Chappalwar V, Jadhav B, Chappalwar A. 2012. Studies on
 extraction of safflower pigments and its utilization in ice cream. J Food Process Technol 3:8.
 doi: 10.4172//2157-7110.1000172.
- Mantzouris D, Karapanagiotis I, Panayiotou C. 2014. Comparison of extraction methods for
 the analysis of Indigofera tinctoria and Carthamus tinctorius in textiles by high performance
 liquid chromatography. Microchem J 115: 78-86. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2014.02.010.
- Mhatre SV, Bhagit AA, Yadav RP. 2019. Proteinaceous Pancreatic Lipase Inhibitor from the
 Seed of Litch chinensis. Food Technol Biotechnol 57: 113-118. doi:
 10.17113/ftb.57.01.19.5909.
- 401 Omari NE, Sayah K, Fettach S, Blidi OE, Bouyahya A, Faouzi MEA, Kamal R, Barkiyou M.
- 402 2019. Evaluation of in vitro antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of *Aristolochia longa* extracts.
- 403 Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2019: Article ID 7384735. doi: 10.1155/2019/7384735.
- 404 Pires TC, Dias MI, Barros L, Barreira JC, Santos-Buelga C, Ferreira IC. 2018. Incorporation of
- 405 natural colorants obtained from edible flowers in yogurts. LWT 97: 668-675. doi:
 406 10.1016/j.lwt.2018.08.013.
- 407 Sabu M, Kuttan R. 2002. Anti-diabetic activity of medicinal plants and its relationship with

- 408 their antioxidant property. J Ethnopharmacol 81: 155-160.
- Sung JM, Kim YB, Kum JS, Choi YS, Seo DH, Choi HW, Park JD. 2015. Effects of freeze-409 dried mulberry on antioxidant activities and fermented characteristics of yogurt during 410 411 refrigerated storage. Korean J Food Sci Anim Resou 35: 807-814. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2015.35.6.807. 412
- Tian J, Li G, Liu Z, Fu F. 2008. Hydroxysafflor yellow A inhibits rat brain mitochondrial
 permeability transition pores by a free radical scavenging action. Pharmacology 82:121-126.
 doi:10.1159/000141653.
- Tseng A, Zhao Y. 2013. Wine grape pomace as antioxidant dietary fibre for enhancing
 nutritional value and improving storability of yogurt and salad dressing. Food Chem 138: 356365. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.09.148.
- Wei X, Luo M, Xu L, Zhang Y, Lin X, Kong P, Liu H. 2011. Production of fibrinolytic enzyme
 from Bacillus amyloliquefaciens by fermentation of chickpeas, with the evaluation of the
 anticoagulant and antioxidant properties of chickpeas. J Agri Food Chem 59:3957-3963. doi:
 10.101/jf1049535.
- 423 Yoshioka Y, Yoshimura N, Matsumura S, Wada H, Hoshino M, Makino S, Morimoto M. 2019.
- 424 a -Glucosidase and Pancreatic Lipase Inhibitory Activities of Diterpenes from Indian Mango
- 425 Ginger (Curcuma amada Roxb.) and Its Derivatives. Molecules 24, 4071. 426 doi:10.3390/molecules24224071.
- 427 Zhao Y, Sun H, Li X, Zha Y, Hou W. 2018. Hydroxysafflor yellow A attenuates high glucose-
- 428 induced pancreatic β -cells oxidative damage via inhibiting JNK/c-jun signaling pathway.
- 429 Biochem Biophys Res Commun 505: 353-359. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.09.036.
- 430

433	Figure leger	ıds

435	Fig. 1. Changes in pH, titratable acidity, viable cell counts, and viscosity during
436	fermentation of yogurt supplemented with safflower petal extract. $(A,D)pH,(B,E)$
437	titratable acidity, (C, F) viable cell counts, and (G, H) viscosity. (A-C) Ethanol extracts:
438	•, 0%; \circ , 0.1%; \triangledown , 0.5%; \triangle , 1.0%. (D-F) Hot water extracts: •, 0%; \Box , 0.1%; \blacksquare , 0.5%;
439	\diamond , 1.0%. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
440	
441	Fig. 2. Antioxidant effects of yogurt supplemented with safflower petal extract on
442	human colorectal cells. Cells treated with (A) ethanol extract and (B) hot water extract.
443	SPE: safflower petal ethanol extract; SPW: safflower petal hot water extract.
444	
445	Fig. 3. α -Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of
445 446	Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A,
445 446 447	 Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different
445 446 447 448	 Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
445 446 447 448 449	 Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
445 446 447 448 449 450	 Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
445 446 447 448 449 450 451	 Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452	Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).
445 446 447 448 449 450 451 452 453	Fig. 3. α-Glucosidase and porcine pancreatic lipase activity inhibitory effects of yogurt supplemented with different concentrations of safflower petal extract. (A, C) safflower petal ethanol extract, (B, D) safflower petal hot water extract. Different uppercase letters indicate a significant difference (p<0.05).

Component	Concentration of safflower petal	Total amount (%)	
Component	extract (%)	SPE	SPW
	0.0	83.19 ± 0.34^{b}	83.19 ± 0.34^{b}
Moisture	0.1	$82.13\pm0.14^{\rm a}$	82.59 ± 0.36^b
	0.5	81.99 ± 0.53^a	81.41 ± 0.05^{a}
	1.0	$81.67\pm0.32^{\mathrm{a}}$	81.42 ± 0.06^a
	0.0	0.80 ± 0.02	0.80 ± 0.02
	0.0	0.80 ± 0.02	0.80 ± 0.02 0.81 + 0.03
Ash	0.5	0.80 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.03
	0.5	0.81 ± 0.02	0.82 ± 0.02
	1.0	0.81 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.03
	0.0	3.95 ± 0.11^{a}	3.95 ± 0.11
	0.1	4.33 ± 0.15^{bB}	$3.93\pm0.25^{\rm A}$
Protein	0.5	4.37 ± 0.10^{bB}	$4.01\pm0.15^{\rm A}$
	1.0	4.40 ± 0.10^{bB}	$3.98\pm0.06^{\rm A}$
		3 63 + 0 06	3.63 ± 0.06^{b}
	- 0.0	3.03 ± 0.00 3.63 ± 0.15	3.03 ± 0.00 3.40 ± 0.10^{a}
Fat	0.1	3.03 ± 0.13 3.70 ± 0.26	3.40 ± 0.10 3.73 ± 0.16^{b}
		3.70 ± 0.20	3.73 ± 0.10 3.73 ± 0.23^{b}
		5.75 ± 0.21	5.75 ± 0.25
N	0.0	11.77 ± 0.06^{a}	11.77 ± 0.06^a
T /	0.1	12.01 ± 0.26^a	12.03 ± 0.06^{b}
Lactose	0.5	13.02 ± 0.06^b	13.07 ± 0.12^{c}
	1.0	13.11 ± 0.06^{bB}	13.07 ± 0.06^{cA}
	0.0	15.75 ± 0.45	15.75 ± 0.45
Total	0.1	15.89 ± 0.36	15.76 ± 1.01
solids	0.5	15.95 ± 0.59	16.04 ± 0.68
	1.0	16.05 ± 0.44	16.02 ± 0.21

 Table 1. Proximate analysis of yogurt supplemented with safflower petal extract

Values are mean \pm SD (n = 3).

Different small letters in the same column and capitalized letters in the same row are significantly different (p<0.05).

SPE: safflower petal ethanol extract. SPW: safflower petal hot water extract.

		Concentration of	Total amount	
		safflower petal extract	SPE	SPW
		(%)		
-	TPC (µg/mL)	0.0	115.12 ± 28.47	282.52 ± 4.47^{a}
		0.1	93.55 ± 37.28	380.52 ± 8.60^{b}
		0.5	94.49 ± 30.49	381.46 ± 8.61^{b}
		1.0	103.86 ± 37.17	393.19 ± 0.81^{b}
_	TFC (µg/mL)	0.0	52.53 ± 10.00^{a}	180.97 ± 30.97^{a}
		0.1	57.12 ± 3.98^{ab}	210.80 ± 2.29^{b}
		0.5	73.17 ± 4.59^{bc}	$270.44 \pm 11.92^{\circ}$
		1.0	77.76 ± 3.97°	320.90 ± 2.29^{d}
-	Antioxidant			
	activity			
	DPPH (%)	0.0	3.24 ± 0.62	5.81 ± 0.61^{a}
		0.1	1.03 ± 0.58	6.69 ± 0.78^{a}
		0.5	2.60 ± 0.20	7.17 ± 3.94^{a}
		1.0	2.79 ± 0.85	10.66 ± 1.21^{b}
-	ABTS (%)	0.0	ND	40.00 ± 1.54^{a}
		0.1	ND	48.00 ± 3.00^{b}
		0.5	ND	48.33 ± 1.76^{b}
		1.0	ND	49.67 ± 0.88^{b}

457 **Table 2. Antioxidant activity of, and total polyphenol content (TPC) and total**

458 flavonoid content (TFC) in yogurt supplemented with safflower petal extract

459 Values are mean \pm SD (n = 3).

460 Different superscripts in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05).

461 SPE: safflower petal ethanol extract; SPW: safflower petal hot water extract; ND: not

detected; DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl; ABTS: 2,2'-azino-bis (3-

463 ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)

464







Figure 2

Figure 3





